

# crisis states programme

development research centre

**Working Paper no.20** 

MINERAL RESOURCE ABUNDANCE AND VIOLENT POLITICAL CONFLICT: A CRITICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE RENTIER STATE MODEL

Jonathan DiJohn
Development Research Centre
LSE

December 2002

Copyright © Jonathan DiJohn, 2002

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior permission in writing of the publisher nor be issued to the public or circulated in any form other than that in which it is published.

Requests for permission to reproduce any part of this Working Paper should be sent to: The Editor, Crisis States Programme, Development Research Centre, DESTIN, LSE, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE.

# **Crisis States Programme Working papers series no.1**

English version: ISSN 1740-5807 (print) ISSN 1740-5815 (on-line) Spanish version: ISSN 1740-5823 (print) ISSN 1740-5831 (on-line)



## **Crisis States Programme**

# Mineral Resource Abundance and Violent Political Conflict: A critical assessment of the Rentier State model

Jonathan DiJohn DESTIN, LSE

#### Introduction

Since the end of the Cold War, interest in analysing conflict in low and middle-income countries has expanded exponentially. The demise of the Cold War inspired a new search for the causes of conflict in poor economies since it could no longer be claimed that wars in late developing countries were the result of 'external' conflict between superpowers. There has been a proliferation of research agendas and labels for conflict, which include the study of 'civil wars', 'new wars', intra-state conflicts, 'complex emergencies' and so on. One of the more influential propositions of recent times is the so-called "resource curse" argument—the idea that abundance of natural resources causes poor growth, and raises the incidence, intensity and duration of conflict. While natural resource abundance has long been considered beneficial to economic and political development, the recent poor economic performance of oil exporters and the growing incidence of civil wars in mineral-rich economies has revived the idea that their resource abundance may be more of a curse than a blessing. The main model used to explain the mechanisms through which resource abundance generates negative outcomes is the rentier state model. The basic logic of this model is that large levels of natural resource rents relative to income generate disproportionate levels of rent-seeking. These supposedly increase the level of distributive conflicts, which increases both the incidence of civil war and levels of corruption. The rentier state model thus has two variants: first, mineral resource-rich late developers are more prone to violence; second, mineraldominant economies generate higher levels of corruption and lower rates of long-run growth.

This paper critically examines the first variant of the rentier state model both on methodological and empirical grounds. The core argument of the first variant, which has been called "political Dutch Disease", is that rentier state leaders, by relying on 'unearned" income (in the form of mineral rents and/or aid), do not develop a set of reciprocal obligations with citizens via the nexus of domestic taxation. The rentier state model has been useful in bringing issues of the source of taxation and resource mobilization back into a discussion of state capacity and accountability. The model also posits that the more leaders can finance state activities through 'unearned' income', the more likely predatory behaviour, including violence, will follow. The policy implications that follow from this reasoning are that aid may fuel further violence and that natural resource (and particularly, mineral) extraction can promote violence in poor countries. Section 2 presents the main premises of the first variant of the rentier state model. Section 3 examines empirically the validity of the idea that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For review, see C. Cramer, 'Angola and the Theory of War', mimeo, Department of Development Studies, School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London; Cramer, C., 'Homo Economicus Goes to War: Methodological Individualism, Rational Choice and the Political Economy of War', World Development, 30:11 (2002b); De Soysa, 'The Resource Curse: Are Civil Wars Driven by Rapacity or Paucity?, in M. Berdal and D. Malone (eds.), Greed and Grievance: Economic Agendas in Civil War. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 2002a; De Soysa, 'Paradise is a Bazaar? Greed, Creed, and Governance in Civil War, 1989-1999', Journal of Peace Research, 39:4 (2002b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cramer (2002a), p.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The economic concept of Dutch Disease refers to the potential negative effects natural resource windfalls and accompanying appreciations of exchange rates can have for the rest of the economy. One of the potential dangers of oil booms, for example, is that exchange rate appreciation renders the manufacturing sector less competitive and thus can generate de-industrialisation.

mineral-dominant poor economies generate significantly higher levels of political violence than non-mineral dominant poor economies. Section 4 provides a conclusion, suggestions for future research and some implications for policy.

#### **Rentier State and Political Violence**

For the rentier state theorists, the classification of a rentier economy, or a rentier state as a unit of analysis, is based on the World Bank classification of a mineral economy. 4 From this perspective, a mineral economy is one where mineral production constitutes at least 10 percent of gross domestic production and where mineral exports comprise at least 40 percent of total exports. In this classification, Venezuela, for instance, can be considered a rentier state, or what Karl calls a 'petro-state', throughout the period 1930-1995.<sup>5</sup> Mineral rich economies are considered distinct and thus defensible as a separate category of analysis by rentier state theorists because these economies generate natural resource rents that emanate from 'point' resources rather than from 'diffuse' resources such as land under small farms. Point rents, according to Auty are associated with staples that are relatively capital intensive and thereby concentrate ownership. 6 'Point' resources can include plantations where the crop requires immediate processing such as sugarcane. In contrast, where the staple or resource poses more modest investment barriers to entry, as with rice and maize, and some tree crops such as coffee and cocoa, the rents are likely to be more widely dispersed through the population. 'Point' resources are also similar in that they are 'enclave' industries in the sense that they generate fewer production, consumption and socio-economic linkages in poor economies than more 'diffuse' resources. Within this classification of point resource economies, oil economies are considered to be the proto-typical example.<sup>8</sup>

The main premise of the rentier state model of governance is that when states gain a large proportion of their revenues from external sources, such as resource rents, the reduced necessity of state decision-makers to levy domestic taxes causes leaders to be less accountable to individuals and groups within civil society. The theory of the rentier state develops two main strands. The first argument identifies a supposed mismatch between jurisdiction and authority, and develops the proposition that such a mismatch generates predatory states, greater distributional conflicts, and the militarization of politics, all of which increase the risk of civil war and humanitarian emergencies. The second argument is that the relatively higher level of rents generates greater levels of rent-seeking and corruption than in non-mineral economies. I will consider the first argument in this paper.

<sup>4</sup> See Nankani, 'Development Problems of Mineral Exporting Countries', World Bank Staff Working Paper 354, Washington, DC: World Bank, 1979.

<sup>7</sup> A. Hirshman, 'A generalized linkage approach to development, with special reference to staples', in A. Hirschman, Essays in Trespassing: Economics to politics and beyond. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981; R. M. Auty & A. H. Gelb, 'Political Economy of Resource-Abundant States', in R.M. Auty (ed.), Resource Abundance and Economic Development, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001, p.141.

<sup>8</sup> See Karl (1997), pp.17-19; Auty & Gelb (2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> T.L. Karl, The Paradox of Plenty: Oil Booms and Petro States, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1997, p.17-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Auty (2000), p.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The rentier state models are also part of a growing trend of reviving the 'staples thesis'—the notion that natural factor endowments or technology shape the relations of production, or institutional evolution of a society (see S. Engerman & K. L. Sokoloff, 'Factor Endowments, Institutions and Differential Paths to Growth Among New World Economies: A View from Economic Historians in the United States', in S. Haber (ed.), How Latin America Fell Behind, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1997; Karl (1997); Inter-American Development Bank (IADB), *Facing Up to Inequality in Latin America*, Economic and Social Progress in Latin America 1998-1999 Report, Washington: Inter-American Development Bank, 1998, pp.96-100; Inter-American Development Bank (IADB), *Development Beyond Economics*, Economic and Social Progress in Latin America 2000 Report, Washington: Inter-American Development Bank, 2000). As Auty & Gelb (2001) propose: "Natural resource endowments define and shape social groups as well as determine sectors of comparative

One important version of the idea that rentier states generate greater violence has been developed by Mick Moore.<sup>10</sup> The logic of Moore's model is as follows. The core argument is that contemporary rentier states, by relying on 'unearned" income, do not develop a set of reciprocal obligations with citizens via the nexus of domestic taxation. Drawing on the work of Tilly, <sup>11</sup> Moore compares the process of state formation in rentier states with the process of state formation in Europe. In the latter cases, state-making proceeded through two main processes: the threat of war through inter-state military competition; and an intrastate process of resource mobilization that involved explicit political bargains and relations between state rulers and interest groups. The latter process induced the creation of state-society links, markets, and bureaucratic capacity, including tax collection capacity. In the process of 'war making states', the nature of states changed. State leader's despotic power was reduced, but their infrastructural power (capacity to penetrate society, to extract resources from it and cooperate with social classes to achieve collective goals) increased. The interpretation that Moore, and others such as Karl and Reno, draw from this historical period is that the emergence of representative government, and more generally, of interdependence and mutual obligation and accountability between states and citizens is more likely when there are incentives and mechanisms for states to increase their revenues through domestic taxation, which, in turn, requires political bargaining with citizens.<sup>12</sup>

Moore argues that the mechanisms through which state formation takes place in the poorest late developers, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, are very different from the European experience analyzed by Tilly. For one, states in sub-Saharan Africa have not been established by inter-state warfare, which rules out the possibility that external threat and Darwinian competition will shape state formation. <sup>13</sup> After 1945, legitimate successors to colonial rule were sufficient grounds for recognition of borders by the international community.

However, the main difference, in contemporary late developers is the much greater availability of unearned income in the form of aid flows and mineral rents, which according to Moore generates a series of outcomes that worsens governance and increases the risk of political violence. The first outcome of increased unearned income is a growing independence of states from citizens. This increased autonomy of states from citizens can increase the ability of state leaders to act in predatory ways, or at the very least reduces the need for state leaders to develop long-run political bargains with interest groups. This, in turn, makes taxation and revenues more unpredictable, which may increase arbitrary confiscation when volatile mineral rents suddenly collapse. The second retarding effect on state capacity of unearned income is the decline in bureaucratic capacity. With little bureaucratic presence in tax collection and limited information about what goes on at the grassroots level, states may be vulnerable to organized predators including guerrillas and private armies. The third way mineral rents can aggravate the predatory nature of states is via the relative ease with which coercion can be purchased. Compared to 17th century Europe,

advantage; they also can imply a greater or lesser 'natural' share of revenue in the hands of a central authority"

Mick Moore, 'Death without taxes: democracy, state capacity and aide dependence in the fourth world', in M. Robinson, and G. White (eds.), The Democratic Developmental State: Politics and Institutional Design. Oxford Studies in Democratisation, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998; and 'Political Underdevelopment: what

causes "Bad Governance"?", *Public Management Review*, 3:3 (2001).

11 C. Tilly, 'Reflections on the History of European State-Making', in C. Tilly (ed.), *The Formation of National* States in Western Europe. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1975; , Coercion, Capital, and European States, A.D. 990-1990, Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 1990.

12 Karl (1997) and W. Reno, 'Shadow States and the Political Economy of Civil Wars', in M. Berdal and D.

Malone (eds.), Greed and Grievance: Economic Agendas in Civil War. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 2000. <sup>13</sup> With the exception of the continent's wars of independence.

mineral wealth provides financing possibilities for purchasing arms, which has become a more accessible market in the post-Cold War period. This reduced need for more labour-intensive military operations supposedly increases the independence of state leaders from making bargains with a wider section of the citizenry. The risk of predation is thus increased in rentier states by the growing power of states vis-à-vis society and may lead to an increase in the militarization of politics.

Supporters of the rentier state model suggest that reducing a state's 'unearned income' from either mineral rents or international aid will enhance the prospects of peace. Policy recommendations include either reducing the levels of international aid and/or monitoring aid more effectively through conditionality; <sup>14</sup> or avoiding extractive industries altogether and concentrating efforts in order to diversify mineral-dominant economies towards agriculture and manufacturing. <sup>15</sup>

There are several assumptions in the rentier state model that drive the results. First of all, leaders are implicitly assumed to "own" the natural resources, that is, they are assigned the 'property rights' over resources. How rulers appropriate and maintain power is not adequately analysed. By assigning "rights" to leaders (whether in the state or civil society), the whole problematic of how "common pool resources" are managed is neglected, when the real problem of common pool resources is, in fact, analysing the processes through which rights are assigned, enforced, maintained and changed. In other words, it is assumed that there are no collective actors within the society that can impose some *domestic* conditionality on how those who occupy the state exercise their power.

Second, leaders are assumed to have predatory as opposed to developmental aims. The neglect of the political processes through which a leader appropriates power limits our understanding of the motivations of state leaders. The state is not a thing, such as 'a predator', but a set of social relations. The existence of natural resource abundance does not preclude the possibility that state leaders share income from resource rents with groups that comprise their political support base. Even if it is assumed that the leader has absolute power and is thus the 'owner' or 'residual claimant' in an economy, it does not necessarily follow that leaders will act in predatory ways. Following Olson, a leader that has a long time horizon, what he calls a 'stationary bandit', has the incentive to maximise the rate of economic growth as this will maximize the resources accruing to the state in the long-run. <sup>18</sup> A dictator who does not have to tax citizens to maintain power, still can rationally have developmental as opposed to predatory motivations. Predatory behaviour on the part of leaders - that is, making money out of perpetuating civil war - cannot be assumed or simply described, but needs to be explained. Predation will occur as a consequence of the failure to adopt much more lucrative and broad-based legitimacy-enhancing developmental aims. The decision of leaders to purposefully engage in rapacious acts to accumulate capital thus assumes that they have made a prior decision that long-run economic development is either

<sup>15</sup> M. Ross, 'How Does Natural Resource Wealth Influence Civil War?' Mimeo, Department of Political Science, UCLA (mlross@polisci.ucla.edu), 2001a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Moore (1998, 2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> M. Ols on, *The Theory of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1965; G. Libecap, *Contracting for Property Rights*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989; E. Ostrom, *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> In the neoclassical theory of the firm, the residual claimant refers to the firm owner (A. Alchain & H. Demsetz, 'Production, Information Costs, and Economic Organization', *American Economic Review*, 62, 1972). The firm owner in this theory is assigned the right to appropriate the residual, that is, profits, of the firm's team production. According to this theory, private ownership of firms provides the incentives for owners to monitor team production efficiently.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> M. Olson, 'Dictatorship, Democracy and Development', American Political Science Review, 87 (1993).

undesirable or politically and/or economically infeasible. However, the conditions under which predatory behaviour dominates developmental behaviour in a mineral-dominant economy is not addressed in the rentier state model.

Apart from Moore's historical political economy perspective on the violence-inducing effects of predatory rentier states, mainstream economic analyses have also recently proposed that presence of mineral resource wealth increases the incidence, duration and intensity of civil wars. There are two mechanisms proposed about how this may occur. The first is a "looting" mechanism proposed by Collier and Hoeffler. If rebel organizations have the opportunity to extract and sell resources (or extort money from those who do), then they are more likely to launch a civil war. Collier and Hoeffler note that natural resources offer rebel groups a funding opportunity because they produce rents that are location-specific and can be looted on a sustained basis. The possibility of looting or extorting money from manufacturing firms is less because these firms are more mobile. The looting mechanism would suggest that rebel organizations should be raising money prior to the start of civil war, a prediction interestingly not borne out by the evidence. What is more important for the rentier state argument is that the looting mechanism is not so relevant since mineral rents are point resources, not diffuse, and thus should be less likely to be 'lootable'.

The second mechanism involves disputes or grievances over the distribution of mineral rents. There are several scholars who have found distributive conflicts generally increase the risk of civil war.<sup>23</sup> If resource extraction leads to land expropriation, environmental damage and large-scale migration and displacement, then it is possible that extraction-related disputes could increase the risk of civil war.

The idea that the mere existence of mineral rents necessarily generates greater conflict is consistent with mainstream theories of rent-seeking. <sup>24</sup> Is it, however, necessarily the case that

<sup>22</sup> P. Le Billion, 'The Political Ecology of War: natural resources and armed conflicts', *Political Geography*, 20 (2001) argues that the type and location of resources matter for the duration (though not the incidence) of a civil war. The two main distinctions with respect to resources are: those that are close to the capital (and hence easier for governments to capture) and those that are distant (and hence easier for rebels to hold) and between "point source" resources which are concentrated in a small area (and therefore easily controlled by a single group) and "diffuse" resources which are scattered over a large area (and hence harder for any one group to capture). These two categories he suggests produce a fourfold typology of conflict: point resources near a capital create violent incentives to control the state and hence produce coup d'etats; point resources that are far from the capital produce secession movements; diffuse resources near the capital produce rebellions and rioting; and diffuse resource far from the capital lead to "warlordism".

<sup>23</sup> J. Auvinen, 'Political conflict in less developed countries 1981-1989', *Journal of Peace Research*, 34:2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Following on the work of J. Hirschleifer ('The Dark Side of the Force', *Economic Inquiry*, Jan 1994; 'Conflict and Settlement', in J. Eatwell, M. Milgate, and P. Newman (eds.), *New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, London: Macmillan, 1987), P. Collier & A. Hoeffler, 'On the Economic Consequences of War', *Oxford Economic Papers*, 50 (1998); *Greed and Grievance in Civil War*, Washington D.C: World Bank, 2001; and P. Collier, 'Doing Well Out of War: an economic perspective', in M. Berdal and D. Malone (eds.), *Greed and grievance: economic agendas in civil war*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 2000, develop models to show that war is more likely where the gains from the likelihood of victory outweigh the costs of co-ordinating a rebellion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Collier & Hoeffler (1998) estimate that the correlation between resource dependence and civil war is curvilinear, suggesting that the risk of civil war declines when resource dependence reaches exceptionally high levels, at which point, "the increased tax revenue eventually augments the capacity of the government to defend itself sufficiently to offset the enhanced finances of the rebels." Other studies such as de Soysa (2000) find the relationship linear.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Ross (2001a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> J. Auvinen, 'Political conflict in less developed countries 1981-1989', *Journal of Peace Research*, 34:2 (1997); W. Nafziger & J. Auvinen, 'Economic Development, Inequality, War and State Violence', *World Development*, 30:11 (2002).

Development, 30:11 (2002).

<sup>24</sup> For a critical review of rent-seeking theories, see M. H. Khan, 'Rent-Seeking as a Process: Inputs, Rent-Outcomes and Net Effects', in Khan, M.K., and Jomo, K.S. (eds.), *Rents, Rent-Seeking and Economic Development* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000.

the increases in natural resource rents, of whatever type, induce increases in rent-seeking? The answer would depend on the political conditions that induce struggle in the first place and the relative power of competing groups to engage in rent-seeking struggles, including violent ones. One of the possible factors that may induce rent-seeking struggle could be a dispute over the distribution of rights and assets sanctioned by the state. If there is at least a passive acceptance of the distribution of rights and rents that emanate from mineral income, then rent-seeking struggles may be low. This means that the issue of *political legitimacy* needs to be central to any analysis of the impact of mineral abundance or rent-seeking on political outcomes, including patterns of conflict and violence. It is when the distribution of rights is perceived as illegitimate by significant groups within a society that conflict and violence becomes more likely.<sup>25</sup> It is not clear, *a priori*, why mineral-dominant economies generate a more unjust or illegitimate distribution of rights and income than non-mineral dominant economies. Even if it were the case that mineral economies generate a greater inequality of income, the evidence suggests that injustice and inequality do not inevitably generate conflict.<sup>26</sup>

The possibility of violent rent-seeking activities also depends on the expectations of the combatants. Engaging in conflict requires collective action, which, in turn, requires that political entrepreneurs can persuade groups to support resistance and rebellion against the status quo. For this to happen, however, it is necessary for political entrepreneurs to mobilize the marginalized groups into a relevant political force. Because collective action is subject to problems of free-riding, <sup>27</sup> there is no necessary reason that the opportunity to engage in rent-seeking struggles will generate a collective response. <sup>28</sup> If the organisational and collective capacity of a potential rebel group is low, then rent-seeking activities will, in turn, be low since the probability of victory is small. There is no reason to assume that mineral rents will generate a balance of political power that induces greater rent-seeking, including its violent manifestations.

### The Rentier state model and political violence: Is there convincing evidence?

Several studies have found that natural resources and civil war are highly correlated. This would appear to support the idea that rentier states are more likely to generate violence. According to Collier and Hoeffler, the incidence of civil war increases with the dependence of a state on natural resource exports.<sup>29</sup> In Collier and Hoeffler's earlier work, natural resources include both agricultural and mineral exports.<sup>30</sup> According to de Soysa, the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> For a discussion of political legitimacy as passive acceptance of reigning institutional arrangements see J. Putzel, 'Survival of an imperfect democracy in the Philippines', *Democratization*, 6:1 (1997), pp.198-223. <sup>26</sup> See Cramer (2002b), pp.1848-49 for review of evidence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Olson (1965)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The problem of collective action may be reduced if it is possible for political leaders to frame inequalities and injustices along ethnic, regional or religious lines. When politics turns to framing conflicts along ethnic, regional and/or religious lines, conflicts over distribution of resources tend to become more indivisible, or of the 'us versus them' variety. Following A. Hirschman, 'Social Conflicts as Pillars of Democratic Market Societies', in A. Hirschman, *A Propensity to Self-Subversion*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1995, a conflict over resources is indivisible when assets or income are perceived as 'either-or'. Divisible conflicts, on the other hand, are conflicts over getting 'more or less' (i.e. such as in the capital-labour struggle) and do not take on a 'winner-take-all' characteristic. While it can be argued that indivisible conflicts may generate more violence because of the decisiveness that appropriating rents has on group welfare, there is again, no reason to assume that politics in mineral-dominant economies is necessarily framed in terms of indivisible conflicts over resources.

resources. <sup>29</sup> Collier & Hoeffler (1998, 2000). In most of the studies cited, except De Soysa (2000), civil wars are defined as conflicts that a) occur within the recognized boundaries of a single state; b) involve combat between the state and at least one recognized rebel force; and c) result in at least one thousand combat-related deaths over some period of time, usually one year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Collier & Hoeffler (1998).

incidence of civil war is not correlated with the per capita availability of natural resources, defined as the stocks of both renewable resources and non-renewables.<sup>31</sup> However, de Soysa does find that, once the independent variable of natural resources includes only mineral resources, the correlation with the incidence of civil war becomes highly significant. In Collier and Hoeffler's later work, based on data covering the period 1960-1999, they find that a state that depends heavily on the export of oil and minerals faces a risk of civil war of 23 percent for any given five-year period; an identical country with no natural resource exports has a civil war risk of just 0.5 percent.<sup>32</sup> That is, in otherwise similar economies (controlling for income per capita, ethnic fragmentation, income inequality, etc), dependence on oil and/or minerals increases the risk of civil war by 46 times!

A recent survey of the above evidence generates a more indeterminate picture. According to Ross, the incidence of civil wars in oil and gas exporters is not much greater than for other natural-resource exporters.<sup>33</sup> In the period, 1990-2000, 32 out of 161 countries surveyed had civil wars; which means that for any random country, there was a 0.199 chance (i.e., approximately one in five) of a country suffering a civil war at some point in the 1990's. Without controlling for income per capita, civil wars occurred at slightly lower rates among states that were highly dependent on resource exports in four different categories of resource dependence: oil and gas; other mineral exporters (not including gemstones); food crop exporters; and non-food crop exporters. When controlling for income per capita (that is by dividing the resource export-to-GDP ratios by each country's income per capita producing a figure that simultaneously reflects both resource dependence and per capita wealth), then resource dependent countries appear to have a noticeably higher risk of civil war. However, there is no obvious difference among resource types—that is, all types of resource dependence seem to make conflicts more likely, once per capita income has been accounted for. This evidence does not support the rentier state hypothesis. Mineral-dominant poor economies seem no more prone to political violence than non-mineral-dominant natural resource exporting poor economies.

There are, however, several methodological problems with the econometric evidence that makes it difficult to draw policy conclusions. The first concerns the very wide (and rather) arbitrary range in the definitions of what constitutes 'war' and 'violence' in the econometric studies. In the Collier and Hoeffler paper, a conflict is defined as 1,000 or more battle deaths in a year. This very high threshold of deaths makes the 'event' of war very rare and is really attempting to measure large-scale or very intensive wars. In the de Soysa study, conflict is measured at a much lower threshold of 25 battle-related deaths on the justification that a lower threshold will reflect better the nature of 'eco-violence' and/or criminalized violence. The several makes the 'event' of war very rare and is really attempting to measure large-scale or very intensive wars. In the de Soysa study, conflict is measured at a much lower threshold of 25 battle-related deaths on the justification that a lower threshold will reflect better the nature of 'eco-violence' and/or criminalized violence.

It is thus an open question as to what the appropriate threshold of deaths should be to define a 'war, or 'political violence'. Why, for example, should homicides that result from *gang wars* and drug-running mafia activities not count as 'battle-related deaths', and therefore, 'war'. Gang murder, like tax evasion, is surely an instance of a failure to accept the political rules of the game (i.e. thou shall commit murder) and thus constitutes, in part, a rebellion against the institutional structure of the state. Furthermore, it is not clear that political violence only involves deaths related to rebellion *against the state*. Since the state is the set of institutions in charge of defending the rule of law, the inability of the state to defend citizens from violent

<sup>31</sup> De Soysa (2000), pp.123-124.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Collier & Hoeffler (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> M. Ross, (2002), 'Oil, Drugs, and Diamonds: How Do Natural Resources Vary Their Impact on Civil War?' Produced for the *International Academy Project on Economic Agendas in Civil Wars* (mlross@polisci.ucla.edu), 2002

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>Collier & Hoeffler (1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> De Soysa (2000).

aggression from other civil society members constitutes a form of 'political' violence. In many Latin American or South African cities (e.g. Sao Paulo, Medellin, Caracas, Johannesburg), the annual homicide rates generate more deaths per year than the minimum threshold of even 1,000 battle deaths per year used by Collier and Hoeffler.<sup>36</sup> The absence of effective policing and the presence of the state (especially in shantytowns) and/or the right to possess a gun, both political issues, contribute to the death toll in these cities. In this sense, homicide is a type of 'political' violence or 'war'. Long ago, Hobbes pointed out that the source of conflict is largely a question of conflicts within civil society and not just between the state and civil society. State weakness can be as easily the source of violence as state predation. The methodology of defining categories is always open to (philosophical) interpretation, and therefore, it is advisable to be extremely cautious in using any econometric study as the focal point of policy-making concerning political violence.

The second concern is that correlation does not demonstrate causality. For instance, it is at least as probable that civil wars might produce or sustain resource dependence. This could occur if conflict raised transaction costs and risk and thus reduced the amount of manufacturing investment, which tends to have a longer gestation period. At the same time, the mineral investment may continue through conflict since the returns are higher given the higher level of ground rent and given that investment in the sector cannot flee because mineral resources are location-specific. Even though Collier and Hoeffler employ lagged independent variables in their regressions, this does not rule out reverse causality; since civil wars are not recognized as "beginning" until they have generated at least a thousand combatrelated deaths, they might be preceded by significant enough levels of violence and political conflict that is a disincentive to long-run manufacturing investment, generating a higher level of resource dependence before the civil war technically begins.

The case of Angola in the 1960's is instructive.<sup>37</sup> According to Cramer, oil and diamonds had little to due with the onset of war in the 1960's.<sup>38</sup> Minerals were a very small part of total exports and gross domestic product at the onset of political conflict. War and policy-making in the 1960's can be seen to have created a dependence on mineral exports. The Angolan economy was undergoing a dramatic structural change. Manufacturing accounted for 25 percent of GDP by independence in 1961 and the late 1960's and early 1970's saw Angola achieve one of the most rapid manufacturing growth rates in sub-Saharan Africa. The onset of war along with inefficient industrial policies led to falls in agricultural and industrial production on the eve of oil windfalls in the early 1970's. The direction of causality seems to be the reverse of that posited by the rentier state model.<sup>39</sup>

Third, the correlation could also be spurious since both civil war and mineral resource dependence might be independently caused by some missing third variable, such as weak rule of law, poor macroeconomic management, or corruption. A state where the rule of law is weak will also generate high transaction costs, which may reduce manufacturing or nonmineral agricultural investment with long-gestation periods such as coffee. As a result, the economy may become more dependent on mineral exports. For instance, there is strong evidence that the onset of civil war in Angola made the economy more dependent on resource exports. 40 To take another example, the weak rule of law in the mineral-rich Aceh province of Indonesia has both provided the opportunity for independence fighters to organize and

<sup>36</sup> Collier & Hoeffler (1998).

<sup>39</sup>This is not to deny that oil revenues allowed the MPLA in Angola to wage one of the most expensive civil wars in Africa, maintaining large armed forces and arms imports.

40 W. Minter, 'Apartheid's contras: an inquiry into the roots of war in Angola and Mozambique', London: Zed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> This paragraph draws primarily on Cramer (2002a), pp.13-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Cramer (2002a).

Books, 1994; Cramer (2002a).

undertake rebellions and has hindered the diversification of the economy. Violence in Aceh after the fall of the Suharto regime in 1998 followed nearly 35 years of relatively more peaceful (if surely coercive, and at times, brutal) forms of conflict containment and management during the Suharto regime. The failure to specify a model fully limits the possibility of explaining the *cycles* of violent and non-violent institutions of conflict settlement in a mineral-rich country or region. It is also possible that an economy might face an increased risk of civil war through a different process. The result could be a statistically-significant correlation between resource-dependence and civil war even though neither factor would cause the other.

Fourth, it is also possible that war may *prevent* an economy from becoming more resource abundant in the first place. If state leaders are to appropriate oil revenues, for instance, they need to secure and enforce property rights in the territory where there is oil. Oil rents, like all rents, themselves require the specification of rights, which do not occur naturally. Moreover, state leaders need to be able to either extract taxation from multinationals, or what is even more difficult, extract the mineral through public enterprise production. Wars can just as easily prevent a state becoming a more abundant mineral producer. The case of war preventing the further development of oil in the Sudan in the 1990's is a case in point. In this case, the causality between resource abundance and war would be the opposite of the rentier state argument.

Fifth, there is a neglect of the effect of prior wars as a cause of conflict. In the poorest region, sub-Saharan Africa (where most of the civil wars have occurred in the period 1960-1999), a main trait of many current conflicts is that they occur in countries or sub-regions that have had a previous conflict. Consider the following Table 1.

 Table 1. Persistence and Contagion: Wars in Africa, 1989-1999

Country	War before	War next door						
Angola	Yes	Zaire/Congo						
Burundi	(1970's)	Rwanda						
Congo-Brazzaville	No	Zaire/Congo						
Djibouti	No	All neighbours						
Guinea Bissau	(1970's)	Casamance, Senegal						
Liberia	No	No						
Mali	(1960's)	Algeria, Mauritania						
Rwanda	(1960's)	Uganda						
Senegal (Casmance)	border wars	border wars						
Sierra Leone	No	Liberia						
Somalia	Yes	Ethiopia						
Sudan	Yes	Ethiopia, Uganda, Chad						
Uganda insurrections	Yes	Sudan, D.R. Congo						
Zaire/D.R. Congo	(1960's, 70's)	Rwanda, Angola						
Border Wars								
Mauritania-Senegal	yes (Mauritania)	Mauritania) Western Sahara						
Ethiopia-Eritrea	yes (both)	Sudan, Somalia						

Source: De Waal, *Who Fights? Who Cares? War and Humanitarian Action in Africa*, Trenton, NJ: Africa World Press, 2000), Table, p. 5

In the period, 1989-1999, de Waal shows that there are two important elements of war in Africa: first is the persistence of war and second, wars are readily transmissible from one country to another. Of the sixteen cases of war de Waal highlights, seven had recent wars

before and a further five suffered wars within twenty years of their most recent conflict. Fifteen of the wars occurred in the countries where there was a recent war in a neighbouring country (the so-called 'war next door' syndrome). Only one case, Liberia, is an exception. Of these sixteen cases, seven cases (Burundi, Djibouti, Mali, Rwanda, Somalia, Sudan, Uganda, and Ethiopia-Eritrea) were *non*-mineral dominant economies. The prevalence of the "wars before, war next door" syndrome in both mineral resource-rich and mineral-resource poor, suggest that the dynamics of persistence and contagion are the result of contingent issues of political economy. <sup>41</sup>

Sixth, all of the statistical studies discussed are guilty of selection bias. By definition, most countries that do not have a diversified agricultural and manufacturing base become mineral dependent. In historical terms, almost all countries began as mineral-dominant economies. For instance, the US, Canada, Norway, Sweden, the Netherlands, Australia, and Malaysia were, in earlier stages of development, more mineral-dominant, less diversified economies. The key policy question to ask is why natural resource revenues are used in ways that sustain economic growth and diversification in some countries and not in others. Lack of economic diversification and poor economic growth are why economies are mineral dependent. If that is the case, then it makes sense to ask why political conflicts prevented growth in some mineral dependent economies and not in others. This is also an important problematic because the majority of countries suffering civil wars and humanitarian emergencies have experienced several years, or even decades, of prolonged stagnation and/or decline in economic growth. <sup>42</sup> In the period 1980-1991, 40 of 58 (69%) African and Asian countries experienced negative growth. In contrast, only 9 of 53 had experienced negative growth in the period 1960-1980. 43 While it is a complex issue to explain why there is such a difference in this total between periods, one economic factor has been the deflationary impact of structural adjustment programs throughout the region. An important political factor has been the end of the regulation of the arms trade after the Cold War.

What is even more devastating for the resource curse argument is that, even when allowing for selection bias, it is not the case that there is any determinate relationship between the incidence of civil war and humanitarian emergencies and mineral dependence. Consider Table 2:

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> A. de Waal, *Who Fights? Who Cares? War and Humanitarian Action in Africa*, Trenton, NJ: Africa World Press, 2000, pp.1-34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Nafziger & Auvinen (2002), p.155.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Nafziger & Auvinen (2002), p.155. There are several factors that may have contributed to this: a) poor and declining agricultural export growth, b) increasing debt burdens, and c) the deflationary impact of ongoing structural adjustment policies. On the last point, see P. Mosely, T. Subasat & J. Weeks, 'Assessing Adjustment in Africa', *World Development*, 23:9 (1995); UN Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), 'Trade and Development Report', Geneva: United Nations, 1998; and J. Sender, 'Reassessing the Role of the World Bank in Sub-Saharan Africa', in J. Pincus and J. Winters (eds.) *Reinventing the World Bank*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2002.

Table 2. War Casualties in Humanitarian Emergencies (1992-1994) in Mineral Dominant Economies and Non-Mineral Dominant Economies

	Mineral/fuel exports, 1980	Number of Casualties					
	(% total exports)						
Natural Resource- dominant Countries							
Angola	90+ %	100,000					
Liberia	45	20,000-50,000					
Afghanistan	40	6,000					
Peru	64	3,100					
Algeria	98	2,000-3,000					
Iraq	98	2,000					
Azerbaijan	90+	2,000-7,000					
Non-Mineral Dominant <u>Countries</u>							
Rwanda	4%	200,000-500,000					
Burundi	0	100,000					
Mozambique	7	100,000					
Bosnia-Herzegovina	na	10,000-30,000					
Croatia	<10	10,000					
Sudan	2	6,000					
Somalia	0	6,000					
Tajikistan	<10	4,000-30,000					
Sri Lanka	15	4,000					
Turkey	8	4,000					
Colo mbia	3	3,500					
South Africa	11	3,000-4,000					
Georgia	na	2,000					
Guatemala	6	2,000					
Myanmar	11	2,000					

Source: Nafziger (1996), Table 1, p.2; World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2000

Table 2 divides countries that were experiencing a complex emergency in the period 1992-1994 into mineral-dominant and non-mineral dominant economies. Of the 22 countries that had a complex emergency in that period, only 7 were mineral-dominant export economies. In terms of number of casualties in absolute terms, the non-mineral dominant economies experienced far more casualties than the mineral-dominant economies, which does not support the case that the intensity of civil conflict is likely to be greater in mineral-dominant economies.

A similar story on the indeterminacy of resource abundance on the incidence and intensity of civil conflict emerges when we examine the number of refugees and internally displaced persons in 1995, a year when comparative data is available. Consider Table 3:

Table 3. Refugees and Internally Displaced People (1995) in Mineral Dominant and Non-Mineral Dominant Economies

	Mineral/fuel	Refugees	Internally	Total	Share of total		
	exports, 1980		displaced		Population		
	(% total		uispiacea		1 opulation		
	exports)		persons		(%)		
Mineral Dominant Economies							
Afghanistan	40%	2, 328,000	500,000	2,828,000	14.1		
Angola	90+	313,000	1,500,000	1,813,000	16.4		
Liberia	45	725,000	1,000,000	1,725,000	56.7		
Iraq	98	623,000	1,000,000	1,623,000	8.0		
Sierra Leone	34	363,000	1,000,000	1,363,000	30.2		
Azerbaijan	90+	390,000	670,000	1,060,000	14.0		
Peru	64	na	480,000	480,000	2.0		
Non-Mineral Dominant Economies							
Bosnia-Herzegovina	na	906,000	1,300,000	2,206,000	63.0		
Sudan	2%	448,000	1,700,000	2,148,000	7.6		
Rwanda	400%	1,545,000	500,000	2,045,000	25.7		
Turkey	8	15,000	2,000,000	2,015,000	3.3		
Sri Lanka	15	96,000	1,000,000	1,096,000	6.0		
Myanmar	11	160,000	750,000	910,000	2.0		
Somalia	0	480,000	300,000	780,000	8.4		
Ethiopia	8	500,000	111,000	611,000	1.1		
Colombia	3	na	600,000	600,000	1.7		
Mozambique	7	97,000	500,000	597,000	3.7		
Eritrea	<10	325,000	200,000	525,000	14.9		
South Africa	11	10,000	500,000	510,000	1.2		
Burundi	0	290,000	216,000	506,000	7.9		
Tajikistan	<10	174,000	300,000	474,000	7.8		
Croatia	<10	200,000	225,000	425,000	9.6		
Lebanon	<10	na	400,000	400,000	13.2		
Armenia	<20	200,000	185,000	385,000	10.7		
Georgia	<10	105,000	280,000	385,000	7.1		

Source: Nafziger (1996), Table 2, p.3; World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2000

Of the 25 countries that generated the greatest number of refugees and internally displaced people, only 7 were in mineral-dominant economies. Of the cases where the total number of refugees and internally displace persons exceeded 10 percent of the population, both groups of economies had 5 cases each. This small sample corroborates evidence that when a conflict does occur, it is likely to be more intense in mineral-dominant economy. A Nevertheless, there is no evidence that the likelihood of a conflict is greater in mineral-dominant economies—even after allowing for selection bias.

#### Conclusion

In sum, there seems to be little convincing evidence that mineral abundance per se causes conflict although there is some evidence that once a conflict is underway, some types of natural resources may facilitate the prolongation of war. The evidence thus suggests that factor endowments do not determine politics. The indeterminacy of natural resource wealth

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Ross, 2001.

and violence suggests that the nature of conflicts in mineral-dominant economies does not exist prior to politics. Because political bargaining surrounding common pool mineral rents is historically specific, the case study and comparative political economy approach will be useful in furthering our understanding of political violence in poor economies.

A historically grounded research on the origins and nature of political organizations and their support base may enable us to develop a framework for understanding the extent to which conflict becomes more or less divisible. A systematic analysis of competing political party strategies and their effects in generating more or less divisible parameters of contestation should prove useful. The advantage of the case-study and comparative approach over the variable-oriented approaches is significant. The salience and intensity of ethnic, regional and/or religious cleavages is contingent on political party organization, co-optation and other strategies. Collier's and Moore's approaches cannot accommodate these important contingencies, sequences of action and interactions of political action. The most influential models of conflict do not examine important relationships between political parties and the state, the structural characteristics of inter and intra-party competition, and as such, cannot illuminate historically specific processes of conflict/cleavages in a given society. Ethnic conflict and natural resource plunder do not, like class, exist *prior* to politics.

The indeterminacy of natural resource abundance on conflict also suggests that examining historically specific processes of political conflict and conflict management in economies and the effect these processes have on economic growth and diversification defines a major research agenda to understand the genealogy of war in poor economies. Given the importance of low per capita income and economic decline in increasing the risk of war, I will examine, in a companion paper, the possibility that mineral resource abundance leads to conflict by perpetuating growth-restricting governance, Dutch Disease, and underdevelopment. While there may be nothing more practical than a good theory, it is clear that simplistic and deterministic theories of resource abundance, as posited in the rentier state model, do not adequately capture the range and interaction of factors that constitute a *complex* emergency. The focus on the role of natural resource abundance as a cause of conflict simply delves deeply into the surface of conflicts in poor economies. As a result, the rentier state model, while useful in bringing issues of the source of taxation and resource mobilization back into a discussion of state capacity and accountability, is an inadequate framework and guide for more profound, penetrating and lasting interventions to peace-building, state capacitybuilding and economic reconstruction in conflict-ridden societies.

Finally, if it is reasonable to conclude that politics and policy have been decisive to the trajectory of mineral-dominant economies, then several policy implications may be suggested. The first is that more effective intervention in humanitarian emergencies will require an account of the causes of conflict that move beyond economic and factor endowment determinism. Second, attention should shift toward understanding how past government policies affect the processes of growth and diversification of mineral-dominant economies. Very negative economic performance surely contributes to undermining regime and government legitimacy and therefore may increase widespread support for abrupt and even violent changes. Third, greater attention should be paid to understanding the political economy dynamics of *regional war zones* that transcend the nation-state. The econometric evidence focuses on the nation-state as the unit of analysis. This misses the importance of how easily war can spill over into neighbouring countries and perpetuate what Wallensteen

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> See A. Hirschman, 'Social Conflicts as Pillars of Democratic Market Societies', in A. Hirschman, *A Propensity to Self-Subversion*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1995 on divisibility.

and Sollenberg call "regional conflict complexes". Fourth, more attention might be paid to the patterns of the arms trade and the extent to which changing patterns of production and distribution of arms in the post-Cold War period exacerbates ongoing violent conflicts in mineral dependent and more diversified economies.

<sup>46</sup> P. Wallensteen & M. Sollenberg, 'Armed Conflict and Regional Conflict Complexes, 1989-97', *Journal of Peace Research*, 35:5 (1998).

#### References

Alchain, A. and Demsetz, H., 'Production, Information Costs, and Economic Organization', *American Economic Review*, 62 (1972)

Auty, R. M. and Gelb, A. H., 'Political Economy of Resource-Abundant States', in R.M. Auty (ed.), *Resource Abundance and Economic Development*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001

Auvinen, J., 'Political conflict in less developed countries 1981-1989', *Journal of Peace Research*, 34:2 (1997)

Collier, P., 'Doing Well Out of War: an economic perspective', in M. Berdal and D. Malone (eds.), *Greed and grievance: economic agendas in civil war*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 2000

Collier, P and Hoeffler, A., 'On the Economic Consequences of War', *Oxford Economic Papers*, 50 (1998)

Collier, P and Hoeffler, A., *Greed and Grievance in Civil War*, Washington D.C: World Bank. Policy Research Working Paper 2355, Development Research Group, World Bank, 2000

Collier, P and Hoeffler, A., *Greed and Grievance in Civil War*, Washington D.C: World Bank, 2001

Cramer, C., 'Angola and the Theory of War', mimeo, Department of Development Studies, School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London, 2002a

Cramer, C., 'Homo Economicus Goes to War: Methodological Individualism, Rational Choice and the Political Economy of War', World Development, 30:11 (2002b)

De Soysa, 'The Resource Curse: Are Civil Wars Driven by Rapacity or Paucity?, in M. Berdal and D. Malone (eds.), *Greed and Grievance: Economic Agendas in Civil War*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 2002a

De Soysa, 'Paradise is a Bazaar? Greed, Creed, and Governance in Civil War, 1989-1999', *Journal of Peace Research*, 39:4 (2002b)

De Waal, A., Who Fights? Who Cares? War and Humanitarian Action in Africa, Trenton, NJ: Africa World Press, 2000

Engerman, S. and K. L. Sokoloff, 'Factor Endowments, Institutions and Differential Paths to Growth Among New World Economies: A View from Economic Historians in the United States', in S. Haber (ed.), *How Latin America Fell Behind*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1997

Hirshleifer, J., 'Conflict and Settlement', in J. Eatwell, M. Milgate, and P. Newman (eds.), *New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, London: Macmillan, 1987

Hirshleifer, J., 'The Dark Side of the Force', Economic Inquiry, Jan 1994

Hirschman, A., 'A generalized linkage approach to development, with special reference to staples', in A. Hirschman, *Essays in Trespassing: Economics to politics and beyond*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981

Hirschman, A., 'Social Conflicts as Pillars of Democratic Market Societies', in A. Hirschman, *A Propensity to Self-Subversion*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1995

Inter-American Development Bank (IADB), Facing Up to Inequality in Latin America, Economic and Social Progress in Latin America 1998-1999 Report, Washington: Inter-American Development Bank, 1998

Inter-American Development Bank (IADB), *Development Beyond Economics*, Economic and Social Progress in Latin America 2000 Report, Washington: Inter-American Development Bank, 2000

Karl, T.L., *The Paradox of Plenty: Oil Booms and Petro States*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1997

Khan, M.H., 'Rent-Seeking as a Process: Inputs, Rent-Outcomes and Net Effects', in Khan, M.K., and Jomo, K.S. (eds.), *Rents, Rent-Seeking and Economic Development* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000

Le Billion, P., 'The Political Ecology of War: natural resources and armed conflicts', *Political Geography*, 20 (2001)

Libecap, G., Contracting for Property Rights. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989

Minter, W., 'Apartheid's contras: an inquiry into the roots of war in Angola and Mozambique', London: Zed Books, 1994

Moore, M., 'Death without taxes: democracy, state capacity and aide dependence in the fourth world', in M. Robinson, and G. White (eds.), *The Democratic Developmental State: Politics and Institutional Design. Oxford Studies in Democratisation*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998

Moore, M., 'Political Underdevelopment: what causes "Bad Governance"?', *Public Management Review*, 3:3 (2001)

Mosely, P.; Subasat, T. and Weeks, J., 'Assessing Adjustment in Africa', World Development, 23:9 (1995)

Nafzinger, W., 'The Economics of Complex Humanitarian Emergencies: Preliminary Approaches and Findings', WIDER Working Papers No. 119, WIDER, Helsinki, 1996

Nafziger, W. and Auvinen, J., 'Economic Development, Inequality, War and State Violence', *World Development*, 30:11 (2002)

Nankani, 'Development Problems of Mineral Exporting Countries', World Bank Staff Working Paper 354, Washington, DC: World Bank, 1979

North, D., Structure and Change in Economic History. New York: Norton, 1981

Olson, M., *The Theory of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1965

Olson, M., 'Dictatorship, Democracy and Development', *American Political Science Review*, 87 (1993)

Ostrom, E., *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990

Putzel, J., 'Survival of an imperfect democracy in the Philippines', *Democratization*, 6:1 (1997), pp.198-223

Reno W., 'Shadow States and the Political Economy of Civil Wars', in M. Berdal and D. Malone (eds.), *Greed and Grievance: Economic Agendas in Civil War*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 2000

Ross, M., 'How Does Natural Resource Wealth Influence Civil War?' Mimeo, Department of Political Science, UCLA (mlross@polisci.ucla.edu), 2001a

Ross, M., 'Extractive Sectors and the Poor', *An Oxfam America Report*, Washington DC: Oxfam America Report, 2001b

Ross, M., 'Oil, Drugs, and Diamonds: How Do Natural Resources Vary Their Impact on Civil War?' Produced for the *International Academy Project on Economic Agendas in Civil Wars* (mlross@polisci.ucla.edu), 2002

Sender, J., 'Reassessing the Role of the World Bank in Sub-Saharan Africa', in J. Pincus and J. Winters (eds.) *Reinventing the World Bank*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2002

Tilly, C., 'Reflections on the History of European State-Making', in C. Tilly (ed.), *The Formation of National States in Western Europe*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1975

Tilly, C., Coercion, Capital, and European States, A.D. 990-1990, Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 1990

UN Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), 'Trade and Development Report', Geneva: United Nations, 1998

Wallensteen, P. and Sollenberg, M., 'Armed Conflict and Regional Conflict Complexes, 1989-97', *Journal of Peace Research*, 35:5 (1998)

World Bank, World Development Indicators. Washington DC: World Bank, 2000

#### **Working Papers in Series (up to August 2003)**

- WP1 Crisis States Programme, 'Concept and Research Agenda' (April 2001) Also available in Spanish
- WP2 Crisis States Programme, 'Research Activities' (April 2001)
- WP3 Crisis States Programme, 'States of Crisis in South Asia' (April 2001)
- WP4 Crisis States Programme, 'Research in Latin America' (April 2001) Also available in Spanish
- WP5 Crisis States Programme, 'South Africa in Southern Africa' (April 2001)
- WP6 Dennis Rodgers, 'Making Danger a Calling: Anthropology, violence, and the dilemmas of participant observation' (September 2001) *Also available in Spanish*
- WP7 Hugh Roberts, 'Co-opting Identity: The manipulation of Berberism, the frustration of democratisation and the generation of violence in Algeria' (December 2001) *Also available in Spanish*
- WP8 Shaibal Gupta, 'Subaltern Resurgence: A reconnaissance of Panchayat election in Bihar' (January 2002)
- WP9 Benedict Latto, 'Governance and Conflict Management: Implications for donor intervention' (February 2002) *Also available in Spanish*
- WP10 Jo Beall, 'The People Behind the Walls: Insecurity, identity and gated communities in Johannesburg' (February 2002) *Also available in Spanish*
- WP11 Jo Beall, Owen Crankshaw & Susan Parnell, 'Social Differentiation and Urban Governance in Greater Soweto: A case study of post-Apartheid reconstruction' (February 2002) *Also available in Spanish*
- WP12 E. A. Brett, 'Liberal Theory, Uneven Development and Institutional Reform: Responding to the crisis in weak states' (July 2002)
- WP13 John Harriss, 'The States, Tradition and Conflict in North Eastern States of India' (August 2002)
- WP14 David Keen, 'Since I am a Dog, Beware my Fangs: Beyond a 'rational violence' framework in the Sierra Leonean war' (August 2002)
- WP15 Joseph Hanlon, 'Are Donors to Mozambique Promoting Corruption?' (August 2002)
- WP16 Suzette Heald, 'Domesticating Leviathan: Sungusungu groups in Tanzania' (September 2002)
- WP17 Hugh Roberts, 'Moral Economy or Moral Polity? The political anthropology of Algerian riots' (October 2002)
- WP18 James Putzel, 'Politics, the State and the Impulse for Social Protection: The implications of Karl Polanyi's ideas for understanding development and crisis' (October 2002)
- WP19 Hugh Roberts, 'From Segmentarity to Opacity: on Gellner and Bourdieu, or why Algerian politics have eluded theoretical analysis and vice versa' (December 2002) *Also available in French*
- WP20 Jonathan DiJohn, 'Mineral-Resource Abundance and Violent Political Conflict: A critical assessment of the rentier state model' (December 2002)
- WP21 Victoria Brittain, 'Women in War and Crisis Zones: One key to Africa's wars of under-development' (December 2002)
- WP22 Apurba Baruah, 'Tribal Traditions and Crises of Governance in North East India, with special reference to Meghalaya' (March 2003)
- WP23 Giovanni M. Carbone, 'Emerging Pluralist Politics in Mozambique: the Frelimo-Renamo Party System' (March 2003)
- WP24 Francisco Gutiérrez Sanín, 'Fragmentación electoral y política tradicional en Colombia piezas para un rompecabezas en muchas dimensiones' (March 2003) English version forthcoming
- WP25 Francisco Gutiérrez Sanín, 'Los tiempos de las involuciones democráticas' (March 2003) English version forthcoming
- WP26 Manoj Srivastava, 'The Dyamics of achieving 'Power' and 'Reform' as a Positive-Sum Game: A report on the preliminary ethnographic explorations of the politics-governance nexus in Madhya Pradesh, India' (March 2003)
- WP27 Francisco Gutiérrez Sanín, 'Criminal Rebels? A discussion of war and criminality from the Colombian experience' (April 2003)
- WP28 Luis Eduardo Fajardo, 'From the Alliance for Progress to the Plan Colombia: A retrospective look at US aid to Colombia' (April 2003)
- WP29 Jean-Paul Faguet, 'Decentralisation and local government in Bolivia' (May 2003) Also available in Spanish
- WP30 Maria Emma Wills & Maria Teresa Pinto, 'Peru's failed search for political stability (June 2003)
- WP31 Robert Hunter Wade, 'What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organisation and the shrinking of 'development space' (June 2003)
- WP32 Carlos Medina & Hermes Martínez, 'Violence and drug prohibition in Colombia' (August 2003)

# www.crisisstates.com

The aim of the Crisis States Programme (CSP) at DESTIN's Development Research Centre is to provide new understanding of the causes of crisis and breakdown in the developing world and the processes of avoiding or overcoming them. We want to know why some political systems and communities, in what can be called the "fragile states" found in many of the poor and middle income countries, have broken down even to the point of violent conflict while others have not. Our work asks whether processes of globalisation have precipitated or helped to avoid crisis and social breakdown.

#### **Crisis States Programme collaborators**

In India:

Asia Development Research Institute (Patna, Bihar) NEIDS, North-East Hill University (Shillong)

In South Africa:

Wits Institute of Social & Economic Research (WISER) Sociology of Work Workshop (SWOP) Department of Sociology (University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg)

In Colombia:

IEPRI, Universidad Nacional de Colombia Universidad de los Andes Universidad del Rosario

# **Research Objectives**

- We will assess how constellations of power at local, national and global levels drive processes of institutional change, collapse and reconstruction and in doing so will challenge simplistic paradigms about the beneficial effects of economic and political liberalisation.
- We will examine the effects of international interventions promoting democratic reform, human rights and market competition on the 'conflict management capacity' and production and distributional systems of existing polities.
- We will analyse how communities have responded to crisis, and the incentives and moral frameworks that have led either toward violent or non-violent outcomes.
- We will examine what kinds of formal and informal institutional arrangements poor communities have constructed to deal with economic survival and local order.



**Director: Dr James Putzel** Administrator: Wendy Foulds

**Editorial Assistant: Jonathan Curry-Machado** 

**Development Research Centre, Development Studies Institute (DESTIN),** LSE, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE Fax: +44 (0)20 7955 6844

Tel: +44 (0)20 7849 4631

e-mail: csp@lse.ac.uk

