C²LI

Climate Change Litigation Initiative

C²LI is a platform which allows users to explore three scenarios in over 30 countries. It provides information focusing on standing, grounds and remedies. It also refers to cases not specifically about climate change that may be relevant for future climate cases around the three C²LI scenarios.

DISCOVER WHO WE ARE

Search the Initiative



LEARN MORE ABOUT THE SCENARIOS	EARCH NOW

METHODOLOGY

Search on the Map



Scenario 1



Marjan Minnesma (2R), director of environment NGO Urgenda, hold banners outside the Supreme Court prior its ruling in the Urgenda case on December 20, 2019 in The Hague. – The Dutch supreme court on December 20, 2019 ordered the government to slash greenhouse gases by at least 25 percent by 2020 in a landmark case brought by Urgenda environmental group. (Photo by Sem VAN DER WAL / ANP / AFP). Netherlands OUT (Photo by SEM VAN DER WAL/ANP/AFP via Getty Images)

A plaintiff considers that their State is breaching the law by having an overall climate policy that is not ambitious enough to mitigate and/or to adapt to climate change.

With less than ten years to avoid the most dangerous consequences of climate change, States need to take ambitious action to cut GHG emissions and to adapt to climate change. For those countries who have signed up to the Paris Agreement, developing an ambitious climate plan is not an option, it is a legal obligation under international law. Plaintiffs may argue that the level of ambition put forward in such national climate law and policies is not high enough and may resort to the courts to request for more domestic climate action. Cases following under this scenario have increased over the past five ten years and have been argued on many different grounds.

C2LI explores this scenario by highlighting, where possible issues of standing, grounds and remedies in the countries that have experienced the scenario, but also within countries where such a scenario is a possibility. The <u>Urgenda case</u> in the Netherlands is a typical example of a climate case that falls under scenario 1.

Scenario 2



In addition to challenging a national climate law or policy as a whole, plaintiffs may consider that specific projects authorised by the government could lead to an unlawful increase in GHG emissions or to maladaptation. Projects within this scenario could be new runways within an airport, new coal power plants or, from an adaptation perspective, a flood defence programme that does not meet the necessary standards. Cases under this scenario have a longer history and are often argued (also) on procedural grounds, such as the lack of a necessary EIA or lack of adequate public participation. However, different and more novel arguments are also brought to the attention of the Courts. Cases are usually brought seeking an injunction relief to prevent the project from construction in the first place or to stop it before it starts operating. In other instances, cases are brought to make the projects more effective, especially when it comes to adaptation related projects. C2LI explores this scenario by highlighting, where possible issues of standing, grounds and remedies in the countries that have experienced this scenario, but also within countries where such a scenario is a possibility. The EarthLife case in South Africa is a typical example of a climate case that falls under scenario 2. to add text

Scenario 3



Friends of the Earth supporters unfold a banner outside the district court in The Hague, Jan. 29. Photographer: Mike Corder/AP

A plaintiff considers that a private actor is breaching the law by carrying out operations that contribute negatively to climate change.

The first two C2LI scenarios fall broadly in the sphere of what can be called "public climate change litigation" directed towards the State as a whole or a government entity. The third scenario falls into what can be termed as "private climate change litigation" and it includes cases brought against companies operating within carbon intensive sectors for their contribution to climate change. The third scenario, however, can also include cases against those organisations who invest in the operations of the carbon intensive sector. Cases falling under this scenario are rarer, but we are starting to see a rise in their numbers from different jurisdictions. Plaintiffs will bring these cases on a number of different grounds and will be usually seeking not only to halt the operations of the company, but also the award of damages (ie monetary compensation).

C2LI explores this scenario by highlighting, where possible issues of standing, grounds and remedies in the countries that have experienced this scenario, but also within countries where such a scenario is a possibility. The <u>McVeigh</u> case in Australia and the Shell case in the Netherlands are examples of a climate case that falls under scenario 3.

A plaintiff is "a person who makes a formal complaint against somebody in court". C2LI focuses its attention on cases brought forward by an individual, group of individuals or non-governmental organisations. We are aware that there may be other plaintiffs who could make formal complaints within the three C2LI scenarios. We will do our best to include them in the initiative going forward.

Search

C²LI is a platform which allows users to explore three scenarios in over 30 countries. It provides information focusing on standing, grounds and remedies. It also refers to cases not specifically about climate change that may be relevant for future climate cases around the three C²LI scenarios.

Country:

Select or type 🔹 🔻

Scenario:

- O1 Cases Against the State Challenging National Climate Policy
 Learn more
- O2 Cases Against the State
 Project-Specific Litigation
 Learn more
- O3 Cases Against Private Actors Learn more

SEARCH

Although these summaries aim to be as complete and current as possible, new case developments are ongoing across jurisdictions and my not be completely captured by the summaries.

Country:

KENYA

Kenya 🗙

01 - Cases Against the State

Challenging National Climate Policy

An individual challenging

the State for ineffective

climate action.

READ MORE

 \mathbf{T}

Scenario: Select or type

KENYA

02 - Cases Against the State **Project-Specific Litigation**

An individual challenging her government for authorising a specific project that leads to increased emissions or ineffective adaptation.

READ MORE

KENYA

03 - Cases Against Private Actors

▼

An individual challenging a private actor for operations that allegedly lead to more climate change.

READ MORE

C²LI

Climate Change Litigation Initiative

C²LI is a platform which allows users to explore three scenarios in over 30 countries. It provides information focusing on standing, grounds and remedies. It also refers to cases not specifically about climate change that may be relevant for future climate cases around the three C²LI scenarios.

DISCOVER WHO WE ARE

About Us

Find out who we are and what we are doing.



DIRECTOR

READ MORE

Makane Moïse Mbengue



Jolene Lin

READ MORE

DIRECTOR Francesco Sindico READ MORE



LEGAL ANALYST
Iona McEntee
READ MORE



LEGAL ANALYST Pau de Vilchez Moragues READ MORE



LEGAL ANALYST

READ MORE

LEGAL ANALYST Lennart Wegener READ MORE



LEGAL ANALYST
Kate McKenzie
READ MORE



LEGAL ANALYST

Juan Auz READ MORE



LEGAL ANALYST Gastón Medici-Colombo READ MORE



LEGAL ANALYST Esmeralda Colombo

READ MORE



LEGAL ANALYST Amelia Burnette READ MORE



SENIOR RESEARCH ASSISTANT

Catherine Hall



SENIOR RESEARCH ASSISTANT
Hayley-Bo Dorrian-Bak
READ MORE



SENIOR RESEARCH ASSISTANT Humzah Khan READ MORE

C²LI

Climate Change Litigation Initiative

C²LI is a platform which allows users to explore three scenarios in over 30 countries. It provides information focusing on standing, grounds and remedies. It also refers to cases not specifically about climate change that may be relevant for future climate cases around the three C²LI scenarios.

DISCOVER WHO WE ARE