


What has happened to inequality and poverty in post-apartheid South Africa

Dr Max Price
Vice Chancellor
University of Cape Town



OUTLINE


Examine trends post-apartheid (since 1994)

- Income inequality
 - Overall, by race, by gender
- Poverty
 - Overall, by race, by gender
- Composition of income and impact of social grants
- Trends in social spending and welfare
 - Impact on Asset distribution
 - Impact on health and education

Some explanations for trends Some options for the future



Shares of Total Income By Decile






Income Gini Coefficients

| | 1993 | 2000 | 2008 |
|--------------|------|------|------|
| African | 0.54 | 0.60 | 0.62 |
| Coloured | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.54 |
| Asian/Indian | 0.47 | 0.51 | 0.61 |
| White | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.50 |
| Overall | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.70 |




Employment Shares by Gender



56% of all new jobs filled by women




Real Monthly Average Earnings by Gender





Racial and gender breakdown of those in the top earnings decile, 1995 and 2007





Income Inequality

- Real GDP growth 1994 to 2009 = 3.5%pa = 68%
- Income inequality increased one of highest Gini Coeff in the world
- Increase is mainly intra-racial
- Inter-racial inequality reduced considerable fall in the share of Whites among top earners
- Gender inequality reduced
 - More women in employment (but more unemployed)
 - Average earnings of women increased relative to men
 - Percent of women in top earnings decile increased from 20% in 1995 to 33% in 2007



Poverty Indices for a line of R515 per month (US \$4 per day)

| Year | P ₀ | P ₁ |
|------|----------------|----------------|
| 1993 | 0.56 | 0.32 |
| 2000 | 0.54 | 0.29 |
| 2008 | 0.54 | 0.28 |

Foster-Greer-Thorbecke (FGT) poverty indices:

P0 – the poverty headcount ratio P1 - the mean poverty gap



OUTLINE


Examine trends post-apartheid (since 1994)

- Income inequality
 - Overall, by race, by gender
- Poverty
 - Overall, by race, by gender
- Composition of income and impact of social grants
- Trends in social spending and welfare
 - Impact on Asset distribution
 - Impact on health and education

Some explanations for trends Some options for the future




Composition of Household Income





Composition of Household Income - 1993





Unemployment

Increased inequality d/t relative access to jobs and increase in salaries for scarce skills


Economic growth not been jobless but less than increase in those in labour force

1995 to 2007:

- 3.1 million increase in jobs
- But: 3.6 million increase in those who want employment but who are unemployed.
- Although women's share of employment has risen, women have also been more vulnerable to unemployment than men.




Composition of Household Income - 1993






Composition of Household Income - 2008






Growth of Per Capita Social Spending





Expansion of Grants to Children






Main Government Grants

| Grant Type | 2010 value in Rands (and PPP\$) per month | Value as a % of median monthly per capita income |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Old Age Pension | R1080 (PPP\$230) | 175 |
| Disability Grant | R1080 (PPP\$230) | 175 |
| Child Support Grant | R250 (PPP\$53) | 40 |
| Foster Care Grant | R710 (PPP\$150) | 115 |




Composition of Household Income - 2008





Lorenz Curves 2008





Gini Coefficients With and Without Grant Income

| | | 1995 | | | 2005 | |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|------------|
| | With grants | Without grants | Difference | With grants | Without grants | Difference |
| African | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.03 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 0.10 |
| Coloured | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.03 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 0.04 |
| Asian/Indian | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.01 |
| White | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.01 |
| Overall | 0.64 | 0.66 | 0.02 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.05 |


The state of the s

Poverty Including and Excluding Grants

| | Including grants | | Excluding grants | |
|------|------------------|------|------------------|------|
| Year | P(0) | P(1) | P(0) | P(1) |
| 1993 | 0.56 | 0.32 | 0.60 | 0.40 |
| 2000 | 0.54 | 0.29 | 0.57 | 0.37 |
| 2008 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.60 | 0.44 |



Distributions Including and Excluding Grants, 2008






OUTLINE

Examine trends post-apartheid (since 1994)

- Income inequality
 - Overall, by race, by gender
- Poverty
 - Overall, by race, by gender
- Composition of income and impact of social grants
- Trends in social spending and welfare
 - Impact on Asset distribution
 - Impact on health and education
- Some explanations for trends
- Some options for the future




Access to Public Assets and Services






Average Years of Education by Expenditure Decile






2009 Enrolment Rates by Age






Child Mortality Trends






HIV Prevalence in Children Under 15





Concentration Curves for Total Social Spending






Concentration Ratios

| | 2000 | 2006 | Pro poor ? |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------------|
| School education | -0.121 | -0.128 | \checkmark |
| Tertiary education | 0.528 | 0.641 | × |
| All social grants | -0.371 | -0.359 | ✓ |
| - Child support | -0.247 | -0.318 | ✓ |
| - Disability | -0.291 | -0.288 | \checkmark |
| - Old-age pension | -0.412 | -0.436 | ✓ |
| Health | -0.118 | -0.137 | \checkmark |
| - Public clinics | -0.177 | -0.257 | \checkmark |
| - Public hospitals | -0.105 | -0.103 | \checkmark |
| Housing | 0.160 | 0.070 | ✓ |
| Total across services | -0.112 | -0.152 | \checkmark |

A Constant of Cons

Kernel Densities of Asset Indices





Summary re Inequality

- Analysis of 'Asset' inequality tells a different story from income inequality. Income Gini has worsened, asset Gini coeffs improved
- No attempts yet to put a value on assets so cannot calculate social wage and therefore impact on poverty levels – but highlights danger of ignoring
- Question: why decreasing asset inequality has not been translated into decreased income inequality?
 - Most should have increased productivity
 - Yet very low returns to improvements in absolute and relative investment in assets



OUTLINE

Examine trends post-apartheid (since 1994)

- Income inequality
 - Overall, by race, by gender
- Poverty
 - Overall, by race, by gender
- Composition of income and impact of social grants
- Trends in social spending and welfare
 - Impact on Asset distribution
 - Impact on health and education
- Some explanations for trends
- Some options for the future



Reasons for persistent poverty and inequality

Multi-causal, debated, beyond this paper. Some e.g.

- Opening economy, global competition and slow restructuring of industry
- Strong labour movement, unions in government, high wages (esp. public sector, sets benchmark)
- V. progressive labour legislation perhaps country not ready (hire and fire)
- Strong affirmative action policy impact on wages and productivity
- Corruption in public and private sectors




Reasons for persistent poverty and inequality

- Low skills, poor education system low productivity
- Health AIDS and TB, productivity and turnover




Educational Attainment of 25-59 Year Olds by Birth Cohort






Kernel Densities of Literacy and Numeracy Scores - Grade 8 to 12





South Africa's HDI Indices





CONCLUSIONS 1

- Income inequality rose, especially within race, reduced between whites and others
- Income inequality between men and women reduced
- Nature of inequality changing dramatically due to social grants
- Headcount poverty slightly decreased, while mean poverty gap substantially reduced
- Asset inequality improved reflecting pro-poor social spending
- Risk!!! Fiscal sustainability of grants and social spending



CONCLUSIONS 2

Desperate debates: Nationalisation debate! Wealth tax! Land grabs!

- Key problem is human resources capability
 - Health
 - NB Education fix schooling
 - Post-school system
 - But ... long term
- Public works and state investment in economy
- Uncompetitive wages in relation to productivity
 - Do social grants aggravate this?
 - Youth wage subsidy?
 - Clothing sector deal
 - Conditional grants (e.g. Brazil) may not be possible





UCT-LSE partnership

Challenges are there – come help us address them

