

Fiscal multipliers, public debt anchor and government credibility in a behavioural macroeconomic model

Amélie Barbier-Gauchard, Thierry Betti, Théo Metz

BETA, University of Strasbourg (France)



Aim of the paper:

- to fill a gap in the literature on fiscal discipline and government performance using a behavioural macroeconomic model
- government performance : both in terms of stabilising the economy (*via* fiscal multipliers) and of fiscal discipline

At the crossroad of three areas of literature:

- literature on fiscal discipline
- literature on the determinants of fiscal multipliers
- literature on fiscal credibility

Research contribution:

- behavioural macroeconomics approach to document fiscal credibility
- an analysis of fiscal credibility and its impact on fiscal multipliers and public debt stability

Main results:

- when agents are optimistic about the future output gap and public debt, the fiscal multiplier tends to be larger whatever the nature of the fiscal shock.
- fiscal expansion has less of a negative effect on public debt.
- agents' expectations about public debt and the fiscal credibility of the government affect indicators of government performance (the fiscal multiplier and public debt stability).

Why a "behavioral" macroeconomic model ?

- A standard DSGE approach with **rational expectations** cannot handle mechanisms related to agents' responses to fiscal news, government credibility and so on...
- Different contributions highlight the importance of expectations formations to study the effects of fiscal policy shocks
- *Cognitive discount factor* in Gabaix (AER, 2020), *Fiscal news and noises* in Fève & Petriunti (2016, EER)
- *Animal spirits* in De Grauwe & Foresti (JEBO, 2020)

Standard 3 equations New Keynesian model framework (log-linearized equations) :

IS curve:

$$y_t = a_1 \tilde{E}_t y_{t+1} + (1 - a_1) y_{t-1} - a_2 (r_t - \tilde{E}_t \pi_{t+1} + (\tau_t - \tilde{E}_t \tau_{t+1})) + a_3 (g_t - \tilde{E}_t g_{t+1}) + \epsilon_t \quad (1)$$

New-Keynesian Phillips curve:

$$\pi_t = b_1 \tilde{E}_t \pi_{t+1} + (1 - b_1) \pi_{t-1} + b_2 y_t + \eta_t \quad (2)$$

Taylor Rule:

$$r_t = c_1 (\pi_t - \pi^*) + c_2 y_t + c_3 r_{t-1} + \mu_t \quad (3)$$

Fiscal policy block

AR(1) Public expenditure:

$$g_t = f_1 g_{t-1} + w_t \quad (4)$$

Simple rule for the tax rate on revenue:

$$\tau_t = z_1 \tau_{t-1} + z_2 (\tilde{E}_t b_{t+1} - b^*) + z_3 y_{t-1} + \delta_t \quad (5)$$

Public debt accumulation:

$$b_t = r_{t-1} + x_1 (b_{t-1} - \pi_{t-1}) + x_2 g_t - x_3 (y_t + \tau_t) + v_t \quad (6)$$

Heuristics in forecasting

Two types of agents

[Brock and Hommes(1997), Brock and Hommes(1998)]:

Targeter / fundamentalist agents

$$\tilde{E}b_{t+1}^f = b^* \quad (7)$$

Extrapolator / chartist agents

$$\tilde{E}b_{t+1}^c = b_{t-1} \quad (8)$$

Thus the expected debt in $t+1$ is written as :

$$\tilde{E}b_{t+1} = \alpha_{b,t}^f \tilde{E}b_{t+1}^f + \alpha_{b,t}^c \tilde{E}b_{t+1}^c \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{E}b_{t+1} = \alpha_{b,t}^f b^T + \alpha_{b,t}^c b_{t-1} \quad (10)$$

Forecasting rule selection

Using the Mean Square Forecasted Error criterion to select the best rule each period [De Grauwe(2012)]:

$$U_{b,t}^f = - \sum \omega_q (b_{t-q-1} - \tilde{E}_{t-q-2}^f b_{t-q-1})^2 \quad (11)$$

$$U_{b,t}^c = - \sum \omega_q (b_{t-q-1} - \tilde{E}_{t-q-2}^c b_{t-q-1})^2 \quad (12)$$

With $\omega_q = (1 - \rho)\rho^q$, ρ considered as the memory of agents [Sargent et al.(1993)].

$$U_{b,t}^f = \rho U_{b,t-1}^f - (1 - \rho)(b_{t-1} - \tilde{E}^f b_{t-1})^2 \quad (13)$$

$$U_{b,t}^c = \rho U_{b,t-1}^c - (1 - \rho)(b_{t-1} - \tilde{E}^c b_{t-1})^2 \quad (14)$$

$$\alpha_{b,t}^f = P(U_{b,t}^f + \varepsilon_f > U_{b,t}^c + \varepsilon_c) = \frac{e(\lambda U_{b,t}^f)}{e(\lambda U_{b,t}^f) + e(\lambda U_{b,t}^c)} \quad (15)$$

$$\alpha_{b,t}^c = 1 - \alpha_{b,t}^f \quad (16)$$

Defining Animal Spirits

Thus we can compute animal spirits that create waves/cycles of optimism and pessimism

[De Grauwe(2012), Akerlof and Shiller(2010)]

$$S_{b,t} = \begin{cases} -\alpha_{b,t}^c + \alpha_{b,t}^f & \text{if } b_{t-1} > b^* \\ \alpha_{b,t}^c - \alpha_{b,t}^f & \text{if } b_{t-1} < b^* \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Which can be rewritten as : (because $\alpha_{b,t}^c + \alpha_{b,t}^f = 1$)

$$S_{b,t} = \begin{cases} 1 - 2\alpha_{b,t}^c & \text{if } b_{t-1} > b^* \\ -1 + 2\alpha_{b,t}^c & \text{if } b_{t-1} < b^* \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

- How to define **government credibility** ?
- Index based on [End and Hong(2022)]

$$PrivBias_t = |\tilde{E}_{t-1}b_t - b_t| \quad (19)$$

→ A value close to 0 indicates that the agents' expectations are in line with the actual level of debt that arises, and can be interpreted as a good anchoring of expectations by the agents.


$\beta = 0.99$	$\sigma = 2$	$\pi^* = 2 \%$	
$b^* = 0 \%$	$\rho = 0.5$	$\lambda = 2$	
$a_1 = 0.5$	$a_2 = 0.2$	$a_3 = 0.25$	demand equation
$b_1 = 0.1$	$b_2 = 0.05$		Phillips curve equation
$c_1 = 1.25$	$c_2 = 1$	$c_3 = 0.9$	Taylor Rule equation
$f_1 = 0.6$			public expenditure equation
$z_1 = 0.9$	$z_2 = 0.05$	$z_3 = 0.4$	tax equation
$x_1 = 1.01$	$x_2 = 0.4$	$x_3 = 0.33$	public debt equation

- The model is simulated over 1000 periods with random shocks
- We add a fiscal policy shock at the 100th period
- We observe the difference of the dynamic w/o the fiscal shock
- We run this simulation 2000 times (the economy is not at the same state at each run)

Main results (1): IRFs

- IRFs (positive public expenditure shock):



Figure: Mean impulse response functions of the variables after an increase in public expenditure



Main results (2): State dependency of fiscal multiplier



- Optimism/Pessimism and fiscal multipliers (positive PE shock)

Figure: State dependency of fiscal multiplier




Main results (3): Government credibility

- Impact of government credibility on fiscal multiplier and debt volatility



Main results (4): Government credibility

- Impact of fiscal expansion on government credibility



- Key takeaways
 - ① Ricardian equivalence is subject to optimism/pessimism of the market about debt sustainability
 - ② Fiscal credibility affects the fiscal multiplier and the dynamic of public debt
- Future research avenues
 - ① Implementing other way to model bounded rationality process (Gabaix, 2020)
 - ② Introducing financial markets (government spread, imperfect financial markets, spillover effects in on open economy) ?

References



William A Brock and Cars H Hommes.

A rational route to randomness.

Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, pages 1059–1095, 1997.



William A Brock and Cars H Hommes.

Heterogeneous beliefs and routes to chaos in a simple asset pricing model.

Journal of Economic dynamics and Control, 22(8-9): 1235–1274, 1998.



Paul De Grauwe.

Lectures on behavioral macroeconomics.

Princeton University Press, 2012.



Thomas J Sargent et al.

Bounded rationality in macroeconomics: The arne ryde memorial lectures.

OUP Catalogue, 1993.

Fiscal multipliers, public debt anchor and government credibility in a behavioural macroeconomic model

Amélie Barbier-Gauchard, Thierry Betti, Théo Metz

BETA, University of Strasbourg (France)

