# International Standard Setting for Financial Reporting

and the Role of National Standard Setters

## Ian Mackintosh Chairman, ASB

www.frc.org.uk/asb



## Agenda

- Convergence
  - National and IFRS
  - IASB/FASB [and Japan]
- Conceptual framework
- National standard setters in an IFRS world
- IFRS and smaller companies



## Why converge?

- Comparability
- Reduced compliance costs, including abolition of reconciliation (for larger companies)
- · Different standards do not make sense



## How to converge (nationally)

- 'Big bang'
  - but standards constantly changing
- Phased approach
  - but how long?
  - And how to phase?
- · Convergence means same words, same meaning
  - are any changes justifiable or necessary?



## Convergence: IASB/FASB

- Product to date:
  - Share based payment Discontinued operations

  - Financial instruments (most of)
    Business combinations (Phase I)
- Coming soon
  - Business combinations (Phase II)
  - Fair value
  - Segments
  - Borrowing costs
  - Grants
  - Comprehensive income
  - Revenue recognition

# IASB/FASB convergence

- Both bodies devoting significant resource
- IASB standards notably influenced by US style and level of detail
- More evidence of US influence on IASB than vice
- Must we try and influence both bodies and not just the IASB?





## **Conceptual framework**

- · Fundamental importance
- Fresh work is overdue
- Frameworks are incomplete:
  - Measurement
  - Presentation

#### Convergence!



## Conceptual framework: two camps

Objective of financial statements

Make buy/sell/hold decisions Future cash flows

Assist decisions by portraying financial performance and

position Historic

Reliability

Perspective of financial

Forward looking

Primary qualitative characteristic

Markets Perfect

Relevance

Imperfect

Measurement basis

'Fair value'

Cost (but not nec historical)

Comprehensive income

Meaningful

Not meaningful

Transactions

Not very important

Important



## Conceptual framework

- · This is a summary and a caricature
- · No-one knows all the right answers
- Debate tends to be polarised—thoughtful people will do better!



#### **Conceptual framework: Particular Matters**

- Objectives
- Users
- Qualitative Characteristics
- **Due Process**
- **User Needs in Different Types of Entities**
- Standard Setters/Preparers



## National standard setters in an IFRS world

- Communication
  - With constituents
  - With IASB and FASB
  - With other standard setters (and EFRAG)
- Interpretations
- Thought leadership
- · 'Domestic issues' (including smaller companies and public benefit sector)



## National standard setters: **Working Together**

- NSS
- WSS
- Europe



## IFRS and smaller companies

- IFRS focus needs of investors in large, listed companies—especially international companies
- Costs of preparation may be too great for smaller companies
- · User needs may be different
- IASB SME project still at an early stage—few specific proposals
- · Can smaller companies wait?
- · May have a major effect on national convergence



International Standard Setting for Financial Reporting and the Role of National Standard Setters

> lan Mackintosh Chairman, ASB

> > www.frc.org.uk/asb



13