

[Fixed on Flexible Rethinking Exchange Rate Regimes after the Great Recession](#)

CFM-DP2017-21

Giancarlo Corsetti<sup>1,2,3,5</sup>, Keith Kuester<sup>2,4</sup> and Gernot J. Müller<sup>2,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Macroeconomics, <sup>2</sup>Centre For Economic Policy Research, <sup>3</sup>Institute for New Economic Thinking, <sup>4</sup>University of Bonn, <sup>5</sup>University of Cambridge, <sup>6</sup>University of Tübingen

The zero lower bound problem during the Great Recession has exposed the limits of monetary autonomy, prompting a re-evaluation of the relative benefits of currency pegs and monetary unions (see e.g. Cook and Devereux, 2016). We revisit this issue from the perspective of a small open economy. While a peg can be beneficial when the recession originates domestically, we show that a float dominates in the face of deflationary demand shocks abroad. When the rest of the world is in a liquidity trap, the domestic currency depreciates in nominal and real terms even in the absence of domestic monetary stimulus (if domestic rates are also at the zero lower bound)|enhancing the country's competitiveness and insulating to some extent the domestic economy from foreign deflationary pressure.