

Volatility and arbitrage

Robert Fernholz
INTECH

Joint research with
Ioannis Karatzas and Johannes Ruf

London School of Economics
April 22, 2016

Introduction

In an equity market, if there is “adequate volatility”, then there is relative arbitrage. We shall investigate what “adequate volatility” might mean, when there is long-term arbitrage, and when there is arbitrage over arbitrarily short intervals.

The market

Suppose we have a market of stocks X_1, \dots, X_n represented by positive continuous semimartingales that satisfy

$$d \log X_i(t) = \gamma_i(t) dt + \sum_{\nu=1}^d \xi_{i\nu}(t) dW_\nu(t),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $d \geq n$, (W_1, \dots, W_d) is a d -dimensional Brownian motion, and the processes γ_i and $\xi_{i\nu}$ are measurable, adapted to the Brownian filtration, and locally integrable or square-integrable. The process X_i represents the total capitalization of the i th company. The *market weights* are

$$\mu_i(t) = \frac{X_i(t)}{X_1(t) + \dots + X_n(t)},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Covariance

The ij th *covariance process* σ_{ij} is defined for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{ij}(t) &\triangleq \frac{d\langle \log X_i, \log X_j \rangle_t}{dt} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^d \xi_{i\nu}(t) \xi_{j\nu}(t), \quad \text{a.s.}\end{aligned}$$

If the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix $\sigma(t) = (\sigma_{ij}(t))$ are uniformly bounded away from zero over an interval $[0, T]$, then the market is said to be *strongly nondegenerate* over the interval.

Portfolios

A *portfolio* π is defined by its *weight processes*, π_1, \dots, π_n , which are bounded, measurable, adapted to the Brownian filtration, and add up to one. The *portfolio value process* Z_π represents the (positive) value of the portfolio and satisfies

$$d \log Z_\pi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(t) d \log X_i(t) + \gamma_\pi^*(t) dt, \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

where the *excess growth rate process* γ_π^* is defined by

$$\gamma_\pi^*(t) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(t) \sigma_{ii}(t) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \pi_i(t) \pi_j(t) \sigma_{ij}(t) \right).$$

Due to the first equation, γ_π^* is effectively observable.

The market portfolio

The *market portfolio* μ is defined by the market weights μ_1, \dots, μ_n , and

$$Z_\mu(t) = X_1(t) + \dots + X_n(t), \quad \text{a.s.},$$

with appropriate initial conditions.

The *ijth relative covariance process* τ_{ij} is defined for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{ij}(t) &\triangleq \frac{d\langle \log \mu_i, \log \mu_j \rangle_t}{dt} = \frac{d\langle \log(X_i/Z_\mu), \log(X_j/Z_\mu) \rangle_t}{dt} \\ &= \sigma_{ij}(t) - \sigma_{i\mu}(t) - \sigma_{j\mu}(t) + \sigma_{\mu\mu}(t), \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Diverse markets

A market is *diverse* over the interval $[0, T]$ if there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mu_i(t) < 1 - \delta, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Lemma. If a market is strongly nondegenerate and diverse over $[0, T]$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\inf_{t \in [0, T]} \tau_{ii}(t) > \varepsilon, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Proof. (F (2002).) Let $x(t) = (\mu_1(t), \dots, \mu_i(t) - 1, \dots, \mu_n(t))$, so $\tau_{ii}(t) = x(t)\sigma(t)x^T(t) \geq c\|x(t)\|^2 > c(1 - \mu_i(t))^2 > c\delta^2$, a.s. \square

Relative arbitrage

For $T > 0$, there is *relative arbitrage* versus the market on $[0, T]$ if there exists a portfolio π such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[Z_\pi(T)/Z_\mu(T) &\geq Z_\pi(0)/Z_\mu(0)] = 1, \\ \mathbb{P}[Z_\pi(T)/Z_\mu(T) &> Z_\pi(0)/Z_\mu(0)] > 0.\end{aligned}$$

It is *strong relative arbitrage* if

$$\mathbb{P}[Z_\pi(T)/Z_\mu(T) > Z_\pi(0)/Z_\mu(0)] = 1.$$

We are interested in conditions under which volatility produces relative arbitrage.

Functionally generated portfolios

Suppose that \mathbf{S} is a positive C^2 function defined on a neighborhood of the open simplex

$$\Delta^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1, x_i > 0\}.$$

Then \mathbf{S} *generates* a portfolio π such that

$$d \log (Z_\pi(t)/Z_\mu(t)) = d \log \mathbf{S}(\mu(t)) + d\Theta(t), \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, where the *drift process* Θ is of bounded variation. The weights π_i and drift process Θ are determined by the partial derivatives of \mathbf{S} and the covariance matrix of the market. (F (2002).)

Relative variance and relative arbitrage

Proposition 1. If there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\tau_{kk}(t) > \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, a.s., then there exists strong relative arbitrage versus the market over $[0, T]$.

Proof. (FKK (2005).) For $p > 1$, consider the function $\mathbf{S}(x) = x_k^p$, defined for $x \in \Delta^n$, the unit simplex in \mathbb{R}^n . The function \mathbf{S} generates the portfolio π with weights

$$\pi_i(t) = \begin{cases} p - (p-1)\mu_i(t), & \text{for } i = k, \\ -(p-1)\mu_i(t), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the value process Z_π satisfies

$$d \log (Z_\pi(t)/Z_\mu(t)) = d \log \mu_k^p(t) - \frac{p^2 - p}{2} \tau_{kk}(t) dt, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Relative variance and relative arbitrage

Essentially, the portfolio π holds p dollars of X_k and $-(p-1)$ dollars of the market portfolio. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(Z_\pi(T)/Z_\mu(T)) - \log(Z_\pi(0)/Z_\mu(0)) \\ &= \log(\mu_k^p(T)/\mu_k^p(0)) - \frac{p^2 - p}{2} \int_0^T \tau_{kk}(t) dt \\ &\leq -p \log \mu_k(0) - \frac{(p^2 - p)\varepsilon T}{2}, \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

If p is large enough, then Z_π will underperform Z_μ , a.s. By shorting π and immersing it in a large amount of the market portfolio, we can construct a long-only portfolio that outperforms Z_μ , a.s., over $[0, T]$. □


Market excess growth

The market excess growth rate γ_{μ}^* measures the average relative volatility available in the market:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{\mu}^*(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(t) \sigma_{ii}(t) - \sigma_{\mu\mu}(t) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(t) \left(\sigma_{ii}(t) - 2\sigma_{i\mu}(t) + \sigma_{\mu\mu}(t) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(t) \tau_{ii}(t), \quad \text{a.s.}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma. If $\tau_{ii}(t) > \varepsilon > 0$, a.s., for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and all $t \in [0, T]$, then $\gamma_{\mu}^*(t) > \varepsilon$, for all $t \in [0, T]$, a.s.

Cumulative γ_{μ}^* for the U.S. market



Market entropy

The *entropy* function \mathbf{S} is defined by

$$\mathbf{S}(x) \triangleq - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i,$$

for $x \in \Delta^n$. The entropy function satisfies

$$0 \leq \mathbf{S}(x) \leq \log n$$

where the value 0 is attained only at the vertices of the simplex, and $\log n$ is attained only when all the x_i are equal to $1/n$. For a constant $c \geq 0$, we define the *generalized entropy function* by

$$\mathbf{S}_c(x) \triangleq \mathbf{S}(x) + c, \quad \text{for } x \in \Delta^n.$$

Entropy-weighted portfolios

The generalized entropy function \mathbf{S}_c generates the portfolio π with weights

$$\pi_i(t) = \frac{c - \log \mu_i(t)}{\mathbf{S}_c(\mu(t))} \mu_i(t),$$

and the value process Z_π of this *entropy-weighted* portfolio satisfies

$$d \log (Z_\pi(t)/Z_\mu(t)) = d \log \mathbf{S}_c(\mu(t)) + \frac{\gamma_\mu^*(t)}{\mathbf{S}_c(\mu(t))} dt, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Long-term relative arbitrage

Proposition 2. Suppose that in a market defined for $t \geq 0$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all t , $\gamma_\mu^*(t) > \varepsilon$, a.s. Then for large enough T , there exists strong relative arbitrage versus the market on $[0, T]$.

Proof. For $c > 0$, consider the portfolio π generated by \mathbf{S}_c . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \log(Z_\pi(T)/Z_\mu(T)) - \log(Z_\pi(0)/Z_\mu(0)) \\ &= \log(\mathbf{S}_c(\mu(T))/\mathbf{S}_c(\mu(0))) + \int_0^T \frac{\gamma_\mu^*(t)}{\mathbf{S}_c(\mu(t))} dt \\ &> \log\left(\frac{c}{c + \log n}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon T}{c + \log n}, \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is just a matter of choosing T large enough. □

Short-term relative arbitrage

It would perhaps be nice if $\gamma_{\mu}^*(t) > \varepsilon > 0$ implied short-term relative arbitrage, but this is not quite true. Instead:

Proposition 3. For $T > 0$, suppose that there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\gamma_{\mu}^*(t) > \varepsilon, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, and that for the entropy function \mathbf{S} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,inf}\{\mathbf{S}(\mu(t)) : t \in [0, T/2]\} \\ \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}\{\mathbf{S}(\mu(t)) : t \in [T/2, T]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists relative arbitrage versus the market on $[0, T]$.

Short-term relative arbitrage

Proof. Let

$$A = \text{ess inf} \{ \mathbf{S}(\mu(t)) : t \in [0, T/2] \}.$$

Since $\gamma_{\mu}^*(t) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ on $[0, T]$, a.s., not all the μ_i can be constantly equal to $1/n$, so

$$0 \leq A < \log n, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Hence, we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$A + 2\delta < \log n,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\inf_{t \in [0, T/2]} \mathbf{S}(\mu(t)) < A + \delta \right] > 0.$$

Short-term relative arbitrage

Let us define the stopping time

$$\tau_1 = \inf \{ t \in [0, T/2] : \mathbf{S}(\mu(t)) \leq A + \delta \} \wedge T,$$

in which case

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau_1 \leq T/2] > 0.$$

We can now define a second stopping time

$$\tau_2 = \inf \{ t \in [\tau_1, T] : \mathbf{S}(\mu(t)) = A + 2\delta \} \wedge T,$$

and we have $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, a.s.

Short-term relative arbitrage

Now consider the generalized entropy function


$$\mathbf{S}_\delta(x) \triangleq \mathbf{S}(x) + \delta,$$

for the same $\delta > 0$ as we chose above, so $\mathbf{S}_\delta(x) \geq \delta$. Let π be generated by \mathbf{S}_δ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \log(Z_\pi(\tau_2)/Z_\mu(\tau_2)) - \log(Z_\pi(\tau_1)/Z_\mu(\tau_1)) \\ &= \log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_2)) - \log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_1)) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{\gamma_\mu^*(t)}{\mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(t))} dt, \quad \text{a.s.,} \end{aligned}$$

for the times τ_1 and τ_2 .

Short-term relative arbitrage



Short-term relative arbitrage

Suppose that $\tau_1 \leq T/2$, so $\tau_1 < \tau_2$, a.s. There are two cases:

1. If $\tau_2 < T$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_2)) - \log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_1)) \\ &\geq \log(A + 3\delta) - \log(A + 2\delta) \\ &> 0, \quad \text{a.s.,}\end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{\gamma_\mu^*(t)}{\mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(t))} dt > 0, \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

we have

$$\log(Z_\pi(\tau_2)/Z_\mu(\tau_2)) - \log(Z_\pi(\tau_1)/Z_\mu(\tau_1)) > 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Short-term relative arbitrage

2. If $\tau_2 = T$, then

$$A + \delta \leq \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(t)) < A + 3\delta, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

for $t \in [\tau_1, T]$, a.s., so

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_2)) - \log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_1)) &+ \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{\gamma_\mu^*(t)}{\mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(t))} dt \\ &> \log \frac{A + \delta}{A + 2\delta} + \frac{\varepsilon T}{2(A + 3\delta)}, \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Again there are two cases:

Short-term relative arbitrage

1. If $A = 0$, let

$$\delta = \frac{\varepsilon T}{6 \log 2},$$

in which case,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_2)) - \log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_1)) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{\gamma_\mu^*(t)}{\mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(t))} dt \\ > \log \frac{A + \delta}{A + 2\delta} + \frac{\varepsilon T}{2(A + 3\delta)} = 0, \quad \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\log (Z_\pi(\tau_2)/Z_\mu(\tau_2)) - \log (Z_\pi(\tau_1)/Z_\mu(\tau_1)) > 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Short-term relative arbitrage

2. If $A > 0$, then

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \left[\log \frac{A + \delta}{A + 2\delta} + \frac{\varepsilon T}{2(A + 3\delta)} \right] = \frac{\varepsilon T}{2A} > 0,$$

so for small enough $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_2)) - \log \mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(\tau_1)) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{\gamma_\mu^*(t)}{\mathbf{S}_\delta(\mu(t))} dt \\ > \log \frac{A + \delta}{A + 2\delta} + \frac{\varepsilon T}{2(A + 3\delta)} > 0, \quad \text{a.s.,} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\log (Z_\pi(\tau_2)/Z_\mu(\tau_2)) - \log (Z_\pi(\tau_1)/Z_\mu(\tau_1)) > 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Short-term relative arbitrage

Now consider the portfolio η defined by:

1. For $t \in [0, \tau_1)$, $\eta(t) = \mu(t)$, the market portfolio.
2. For $t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2)$, $\eta(t) = \pi(t)$, the portfolio generated by \mathbf{S}_δ with δ chosen as in the two cases we considered.
3. For $t \in [\tau_2, T]$, $\eta(t) = \mu(t)$.

Short-term relative arbitrage

If $\tau_1 = T$, then $\eta(t) = \mu(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, so

$$\log(Z_\eta(T)/Z_\mu(T)) = \log(Z_\eta(0)/Z_\mu(0)), \quad \text{a.s.}$$

If $\tau_1 \neq T$, then $\tau_1 \leq T/2$ and $\tau_1 < \tau_2$, a.s. By the construction of η , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(Z_\eta(T)/Z_\mu(T)) - \log(Z_\eta(0)/Z_\mu(0)) \\ = \log(Z_\pi(\tau_2)/Z_\mu(\tau_2)) - \log(Z_\pi(\tau_1)/Z_\mu(\tau_1)) \\ > 0, \quad \text{a.s.,} \end{aligned}$$

with the inequality following from two the cases we considered.

Since $\mathbb{P}[\tau_1 \neq T] > 0$, there exists relative arbitrage on $[0, T]$. \square

Adequate volatility

Corollary. Suppose that $\gamma_{\mu}^*(t) > \varepsilon > 0$, a.s., for $t \in [0, T]$, and that the market is strongly nondegenerate over that interval. Then there exists relative arbitrage versus the market on $[0, T]$.

Proof. There are two cases:

1. If the market is diverse over $[0, T/2]$, then Proposition 1 ensures short-term strong relative arbitrage.
2. If the market is not diverse over $[0, T/2]$, then $A = 0$ in Proposition 3, and short-term relative arbitrage follows. \square

Note. This can also be applied to a *submarket*, $X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_m}$, with $m < n$.

An example, with variations

Let $n = 3$, let $T > 0$, and let $0 < a < e^{-T/2}/9$. Suppose that (W, θ, B) is a 3-dimensional Brownian motion with the usual filtration \mathcal{F} . For $t \in [0, T]$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$X_i(t) = e^{W(t)-t/2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \varphi(t) e^{t/2} \cos(\theta(t) + (i-1)2\pi/3) \right),$$

where φ is a martingale driven by B with $a < \varphi(t) < 3a$. Then the processes X_i are martingales, and it can be shown that $\gamma_\mu^*(t) > 3a^2/4$. Since the price processes in this market are martingales, relative arbitrage does not exist. Since the motions induced by W , θ , and φ span \mathbb{R}^3 , the covariance matrix is nonsingular. This market is not strongly nondegenerate.

An example, with variations

We define an \mathcal{F} -martingale ψ for $t \in [0, T]$ by

$$\psi(t) = \int_0^t (a^2 - \psi^2(s)) dB(s),$$

and we have

$$-a < \psi(t) < a, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Then define φ for $t \in [0, T]$ by

$$\varphi(t) = 2a + \psi(t),$$

so

$$a < \varphi(t) < 3a, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

and

$$d\langle \varphi \rangle_t = d\langle \psi \rangle_t = (a^2 - \psi^2(t)) dt, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Variations

Let $n = 3$, let $T > 0$, and let $0 < a < e^{-T/2}/9$. Suppose that (W, θ, B) is a 3-dimensional Brownian motion with the usual filtration \mathcal{F} . For $t \in [0, T]$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$X_i(t) = e^{W(t)-t/2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \varphi(t) e^{t/2} \cos(\theta(t) + (i-1)2\pi/3) \right),$$

where φ is a martingale driven by B with $a < \varphi(t) < 3a$. Then the processes X_i are martingales, and it can be shown that $\gamma_\mu^*(t) > 3a^2/4$. Since the price processes in this market are martingales, relative arbitrage does not exist. Since the motions induced by W , θ , and φ span \mathbb{R}^3 , the covariance matrix is nonsingular. This market is not strongly nondegenerate.

Variations

Let $n = 3$, let $T > 0$, and let $0 < a < e^{-T/2}/9$. Suppose that (W, θ, B) is a 3-dimensional Brownian motion with the usual filtration \mathcal{F} . For $t \in [0, T]$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$X_i(t) = e^{W(t)-t/2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + a e^{t/2} \cos(\theta(t) + (i-1)2\pi/3) \right),$$

where φ is a martingale driven by B with $a < \varphi(t) < 3a$. Then the processes X_i are martingales, and it can be shown that $\gamma_\mu^*(t) > 3a^2/4$. Since the price processes in this market are martingales, relative arbitrage does not exist. Since the motions induced by W , θ , and φ span \mathbb{R}^3 , the covariance matrix is nonsingular. This market is not strongly nondegenerate.

Variations

Let $n = 3$, let $T > 0$, and let $0 < a < e^{-T/2}/9$. Suppose that (W, θ, B) is a 3-dimensional Brownian motion with the usual filtration \mathcal{F} . For $t \in [0, T]$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$X_i(t) = e^{W(t)-t/2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + a \cos(\theta(t) + (i-1)2\pi/3) \right),$$

~~where φ is a martingale driven by B with $a < \varphi(t) < 3a$. Then the processes X_i are martingales, and it can be shown that $\gamma_\mu^*(t) > 3a^2/4$. Since the price processes in this market are martingales, relative arbitrage does not exist. Since the motions induced by W , θ , and φ span \mathbb{R}^3 , the covariance matrix is nonsingular. This market is not strongly nondegenerate.~~

Variations

The weights $\mu_i(t)$ for the model

$$X_i(t) = e^{W(t)-t/2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + a \cos(\theta(t) + (i-1)2\pi/3) \right),$$

lie in a circle on the simplex Δ^3 centered at $(1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$, so

$$\mathbf{S}(\mu(t)) = (\mu_1^2(t) + \mu_2^2(t) + \mu_3^2(t))^{1/2} = \text{const.}$$

\mathbf{S} generates a portfolio π with value function Z_π such that

$$\begin{aligned} d \log (Z_\pi(t)/Z_\mu(t)) &= d \log \mathbf{S}(\mu(t)) - \gamma_\pi^*(t) dt \\ &= -\gamma_\pi^*(t) dt, \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma_\pi^*(t) > 0$, this produces *instantaneous relative arbitrage*.

Variations

Let $n = 3$, let $T > 0$, and let $0 < a < e^{-T/2}/9$. Suppose that (W, θ, B) is a 3-dimensional Brownian motion with the usual filtration \mathcal{F} . For $t \in [0, T]$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$X_i(t) = e^{W(t)-t/2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \varphi(t) \cos(\theta(t) + (i-1)2\pi/3) \right),$$

where φ is a martingale driven by B with $a < \varphi(t) < 3a$. ~~Then the processes X_i are martingales, and it can be shown that $\gamma_\mu^*(t) > 3a^2/4$. Since the price processes in this market are martingales, relative arbitrage does not exist.~~ Since the motions induced by W , θ , and φ span \mathbb{R}^3 , the covariance matrix is nonsingular. This market is not strongly nondegenerate.

Variations

Let $n = 3$, let $T > 0$, and let $0 < a < e^{-T/2}/9$. Suppose that (W, θ, B) is a 3-dimensional Brownian motion with the usual filtration \mathcal{F} . For $t \in [0, T]$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$X_i(t) = e^{W(t)-t/2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \varphi(t) e^{t/2} \cos(\theta(t) + (i-1)2\pi/3) \right),$$

where φ is a martingale driven by B with $a < \varphi(t) < 3a$. Then the processes X_i are martingales, and it can be shown that $\gamma_\mu^*(t) > 3a^2/4$. Since the price processes in this market are martingales, relative arbitrage does not exist. Since the motions induced by W , θ , and φ span \mathbb{R}^3 , the covariance matrix is nonsingular. This market is not strongly nondegenerate.

Variations

Let $n = 3$, let $T > 0$, and let $0 < a < e^{-T/2}/9$. Suppose that (W, θ, B) is a 3-dimensional Brownian motion with the usual filtration \mathcal{F} . For $t \in [0, T]$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$X_i(t) = \left(\frac{1}{3} + \varphi(t)e^{t/2} \cos(\theta(t) + (i-1)2\pi/3) \right),$$

where φ is a martingale driven by B with $a < \varphi(t) < 3a$. Then the processes X_i are martingales, and it can be shown that $\gamma_\mu^*(t) > 3a^2/4$. Since the price processes in this market are martingales, relative arbitrage does not exist. ~~Since the motions induced by W , θ , and φ span \mathbb{R}^3 , the covariance matrix is nonsingular. This market is not strongly nondegenerate.~~

Variations

Let $n = 3$, let $T > 0$, and let $0 < a < e^{-T/2}/9$. Suppose that (W, θ, B) is a 3-dimensional Brownian motion with the usual filtration \mathcal{F} . For $t \in [0, T]$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$X_i(t) = \kappa(t) \left(\frac{1}{3} + \varphi(t) e^{t/2} \cos(\theta(t) + (i-1)2\pi/3) \right),$$

where φ is a martingale driven by B with $a < \varphi(t) < 3a$. Then the processes X_i are martingales, and it can be shown that $\gamma_\mu^*(t) > 3a^2/4$. Since the price processes in this market are martingales, relative arbitrage does not exist. Since the motions induced by $\kappa > 0$, θ , and φ span \mathbb{R}^3 , the covariance matrix is nonsingular. This market is not strongly nondegenerate.

Volatility and arbitrage

Conclusion: $\gamma_{\mu}^*(t) > \varepsilon > 0$ will generate relative arbitrage, but not over arbitrarily short intervals.

References

- ▶ Bruggeman, C. and J. Ruf (2015). A one-dimensional diffusion hits points fast. *ArXiv e-prints*.
- ▶ Fernholz, R. (2002). *Stochastic Portfolio Theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- ▶ Fernholz, R. (2015a). An example of short-term relative arbitrage. *arXiv:1510.02292v1*.
- ▶ Fernholz, R. (2015b). Variations on an example of Karatzas and Ruf. *arXiv:1512.02478v1*.
- ▶ Fernholz, R., I. Karatzas, and C. Kardaras (2005). Diversity and relative arbitrage in financial markets. *Finance and Stochastics* 9, 1–27.
- ▶ Fernholz, R., I. Karatzas, and J. Ruf (2016). Volatility and arbitrage. In preparation.

Volatility and arbitrage

Thank you!