

Mean-field games with controls submitted to the mean-field interaction

LSE - Workshop 2015 August 24th-28th

François Delarue (Nice – J.-A. Dieudonné)

August 25th, 2015

Basic purpose

- Revisit MFG in case when
 - controls are submitted to the mean-field interaction
- Standard framework \leadsto interacting particles/players
 - controlled
 - with mean-field interaction on the states
 - \Updownarrow
 - interaction of symmetric type
 - interaction with the whole population
 - no privileged interaction with some particles
 - associate cost functional with each player
 - find equilibria w.r.t. cost functionals
 - shape of the equilibria for a large population?
 - Lasry-Lions, Huang-Caines-Malhamé (2006) \dots
- Here \leadsto mean-field interaction on the states & controls

1. General set-up

Controlled dynamics

- N interacting players (state in \mathbb{R}^d)
 - **controlled** players with **mean-field interaction**
 - dynamics of player number $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \bar{v}_t^N, \alpha_t^i)dt + dW_t^i, \quad X_0^i = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- **⊥** noises W^1, \dots, W^N ,

$$\bar{v}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{(X_t^j, \alpha_t^j)}, \quad \bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^j}$$

- choose $\underbrace{\alpha_t^i}_{\text{in convex } A \subset \mathbb{R}^k}$ prog. meas. w.r.t. $\sigma(W^1, \dots, W^N)$ at any t

Controlled dynamics

- N interacting players (state in \mathbb{R}^d)
 - **controlled** players with **mean-field interaction**
 - dynamics of player number $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \bar{v}_t^N, \alpha_t^i)dt + dW_t^i, \quad X_0^i = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- **IID** noises W^1, \dots, W^N , $\bar{v}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{(X_t^j, \alpha_t^j)}$ $\bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^j}$
 - choose $\underbrace{\alpha_t^i}_{\text{in convex } A \subset \mathbb{R}^k}$ prog. meas. w.r.t. $\sigma(W^1, \dots, W^N)$
- Willing to minimize cost/energy $J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N)$

$$J^i(\dots) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N) + \int_0^T f(X_t^i, \bar{v}_t^N, \alpha_t^i) dt \right]$$

Controlled dynamics

- N interacting players (state in \mathbb{R}^d)
 - controlled players with mean-field interaction
 - dynamics of player number $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \bar{v}_t^N, \alpha_t^i)dt + dW_t^i, \quad X_0^i = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- \perp noises W^1, \dots, W^N , $\bar{v}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{(X_t^j, \alpha_t^j)}$ $\bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^j}$

- choose α_t^i prog. meas. w.r.t. $\sigma(W^1, \dots, W^N)$

- Willing to minimize cost/energy $J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N)$

$$J^i(\dots) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N) + \int_0^T f(X_t^i, \bar{v}_t^N, \alpha_t^i) dt \right]$$

- $(\alpha^{1,\star}, \dots, \alpha^{N,\star})$ Nash equilibrium if

$$J^i(\dots, \alpha^{i-1,\star}, \alpha^i, \alpha^{i+1,\star}, \dots) \geq J^i(\dots, \alpha^{i-1,\star}, \alpha^{i,\star}, \alpha^{i+1,\star}, \dots)$$

Choice of functionals

- **Linear** dependence upon the control $A = \mathbb{R}^d$

$$dX_t^i = (b(X_t^i, \bar{v}_t^N) + \alpha_t^i)dt + dW_t^i$$

- **Quadratic** cost/energy functionals $J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N)$

$$J^i(\dots) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^i, \bar{v}_T^N) + \int_0^T (f(X_t^i, \bar{v}_t^N) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_t^i|^2) dt \right]$$

- Express the coefficients as

$$b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \underbrace{\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d \times A)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d,$$

square integrable prob. measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times A$

◦ **ex 1:** $b(x, \nu) = b\left(x, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times A} \varphi d\nu\right) \quad \begin{array}{l} \varphi = \text{Id} \leadsto \text{mean} \\ \varphi \approx \delta_{(x, \alpha)} \leadsto \text{mass at } (x, \alpha) \end{array}$

◦ **ex 2:** $b(x, \nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times A} b(x - u, \beta) d\nu(u, \beta) \quad b(x - u, \beta) = |u| + |\beta| \dots$

Model of exhaustible resources

(Chan-Sircar)

- N producers of oil $\leadsto X_t^i$ (estimated reserve) at time t

$$dX_t^i = -\alpha_t^i dt + \sigma X_t^i dW_t^i$$

- $\alpha_t^i \leadsto$ instantaneous production rate
- σ common volatility for the perception of the reserve
- should be a constraint $X_t^i \geq 0$
- Optimize the profit of a producer

$$J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\alpha_t^i P_t - c(\alpha_t^i)) dt$$

- P_t is selling price
- **mean-field constraint** \leadsto selling price is a function of the mean-state of the reserves and of the mean-state of the production

$$P_t = P\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_t^i, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_t^i\right)$$

2. Deriving the asymptotic formulation

Asymptotic formulation

- **Guess** \leadsto at equilibrium (generalization of the expression of the optimal control in terms of the optimal state)

$$\alpha_t^{i,\star} \approx \alpha(t, X_t^{i,\star}, \bar{\mu}_t^{N,\star})$$

- particle system at equilibrium

$$dX_t^{i,\star} \approx \underbrace{\left(b(X_t^{i,\star}, (id, \alpha(t, \cdot, \bar{\mu}_t^{N,\star}))) \# \bar{\mu}_t^{N,\star} \right)}_{B(X_t^{i,\star}, \bar{\mu}_t^{N,\star})} + \alpha(t, X_t^{i,\star}, \bar{\mu}_t^{N,\star}) dt + dW_t^i$$

- **particles should decorrelate** as $N \nearrow \infty$
- $\bar{\mu}_t^N$ and $\mathcal{L}(X_t^{i,\star})$ should tend to **the same** deterministic limit μ_t
- $\bar{\nu}_t^N$ and $\mathcal{L}(X_t^{i,\star}, \alpha_t^{i,\star})$ should tend to $\nu_t = (id, \alpha(t, \cdot, \mu_t)) \# \mu_t$
- **What about an intrinsic interpretation of μ_t ?**
 - should describe the global state of the population in equilibrium
 - **asymptotically**, expect that any **unilateral modification** of the control has **no influence** \leadsto optimize individually under

$$\bar{\nu}_t^N \approx \nu_t = (id, \alpha(t, \cdot, \mu_t)) \# \mu_t \quad \text{law of the (state/control) at equilibrium}$$

Matching problem of MFG

- Revisiting **mean-field games theory**

Lasry-Lions (2006), Huang-Caines-Malhamé (2006) ...

- Define the asymptotic equilibrium **state & control** of the population as the solution of a **fixed point problem**

(1) **fix a flow of probability measures** $(\nu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ (in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d \times A)$)

(2) solve the **stochastic optimal control problem in the environment** $(\nu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$

$$dX_t = (b(X_t, \nu_t) + \alpha_t)dt + dW_t$$

◦ with $X_0 \sim m_0 = \mu_0$ (**marginal of ν_0 on \mathbb{R}^d**)

◦ with cost $J(\alpha) = \mathbb{E}\left[g(X_T, \nu_T) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_t, \nu_t) + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha_t|^2\right)dt\right]$

(3) let $(X_t^{\star, \nu}, \alpha_t^{\star, \nu})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be the unique optimal path/optimizer (under nice assumptions) \leadsto **find $(\nu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ such that**

$$\nu_t = \mathcal{L}(X_t^{\star, \nu}, \alpha_t^{\star, \nu}), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- Not a proof of the convergence!

PDE characterization

- **Optimize in environment** $(v_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \rightsquigarrow$ **HJB equation** for $u(t, x) =$ minimal cost when $X_t = x$

$$\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + b(x, v_t) \cdot D_x u + \underbrace{f(x, v_t) - \frac{1}{2} |D_x u|^2}_{\inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} z \cdot D_x u + \frac{1}{2} |z|^2} = 0 \quad t \in [0, T]$$

$$u(T, x) = g(x, \mu_T),$$

- **Optimal feedback function is $-D_x u$**
 - optimal control is $(-D_x u(t, X_t))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ where optimal path is

$$dX_t = \left(b(X_t, v_t) - D_x u(t, X_t) \right) dt + dW_t$$

- dynamics of $(\mu_t = \mathcal{L}(X_t))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$

$$\partial_t \mu_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mu_t + \operatorname{div} \left(\mu_t (b(x, v_t) - D_x u(t, x)) \right) = 0 \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \mu_0 = m_0$$

with

$$v_t = \mathcal{L}(X_t, -D_x u(t, X_t)) = (id, -D_x u(t, \cdot)) \# \mu_t$$

PDE forward-backward system

- Enough to characterize the law of the state $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$!
- Forward equation
 - Fokker-Planck equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mu_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mu_t \\ + \operatorname{div} \left[\mu_t \left(b(x, (id, -D_x u(t, \cdot)) \# \mu_t) - D_x u(t, x) \right) \right] = 0, \quad t \in [0, T] \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_0 = m_0$$

- Backward equation
 - HJB equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + b(x, (id, -D_x u(t, \cdot)) \# \mu_t) \cdot D_x u \\ + f(x, (id, -D_x u(t, \cdot)) \# \mu_t) - \frac{1}{2} |D_x u|^2 = 0, \quad t \in [0, T] \\ u(T, x) = g(x, \mu_T), \end{aligned}$$

FBSDE formulation

- Standard representation of the value function by means of FBSDE

$$Z_t = D_x u(t, X_t), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- FBSDE of the McKean-Vlasov type

$$dX_t = \left[b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t, -Z_t)) - Z_t \right] dt + dW_t,$$

$$dY_t = -\left(f(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t, -Z_t)) + \frac{1}{2}|Z_t|^2 \right) dt + Z_t dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$Y_T = g(X_T, \mathcal{L}(X_T))$$

- Pontryagin principle

$$Y_t = D_x u(t, X_t), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- FBSDE of the McKean-Vlasov type

$$dX_t = \left[b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t, -Y_t)) - Y_t \right] dt + dW_t,$$

$$dY_t = -\partial_x f(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t, -Y_t)) dt + Z_t dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$Y_T = \partial_x g(X_T, \mathcal{L}(X_T))$$

3. Existence of a solution

How to attack existence?

- **Preliminary remark:** as in standard MFG theory, no hope for solving by Picard fixed theorem
 - at least under classical Lipschitz assumptions only **expect small time**
- Use **Schauder's fixed point theorem**
 - see statement in the next slide
 - need a structure with a **compactness**
- **Question:** what is the unknown in the fixed point?
 - standard MFG \leadsto plug the **marginal laws of the state as unknown**
 - here \leadsto plug the **marginal laws of the state as unknown plus the feedback function!**
 - **prove \exists** if bounded and Lipschitz coefficients

Mapping for the fixed point

- **Input**
 - **flow of measures** $(\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ on \mathbb{R}^d (marginal laws of the state)
 - **Borel function** $\varphi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (feedback function)

- Let

$$\nu_t = (id, \varphi(t, \cdot))\# \mu_t, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- Solve **optimal stochastic control problem**

$$dX_t = (b(X_t, \nu_t) + \alpha_t)dt + dW_t$$

- with $X_0 \sim \mu_0$ (**marginal of ν_0 on \mathbb{R}^d**)
- with cost $J(\alpha) = \mathbb{E}\left[g(X_T, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_t, \nu_t) + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha_t|^2\right)dt\right]$
- **Output** (**if ! solution to the optimization problem**)
 - new $\mu_t = \text{law}(X_t)$
 - new $\varphi = \text{optimal feedback}$

$\Psi : \text{input} \mapsto \text{output}$

Use of the Schauder fixed point theorem

- Define $\Psi : E \rightarrow E$

$$\circ E \subset \underbrace{C([0, T], \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))}_{\text{law of the state}} \times \underbrace{C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)}_{\text{feedback}}$$

- $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ = set of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d with finite p -moment equipped with **Wasserstein distance** $p = 2$

$$W_p(\nu, \nu') = \inf \mathbb{E} \left[|(X, \alpha) - (X', \alpha')|^p \right]^{1/p} \quad \begin{array}{l} (X, \alpha) \sim \nu \\ (X', \alpha') \sim \nu' \end{array}$$

- $C([0, T], \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ equipped with **uniform convergence**
 - $C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ equipped with **uniform convergence on compact subsets**

- Choose E in such a way that

- $\Psi : E \rightarrow E$ continuous $\Rightarrow \exists$ fixed point
 - $\Psi(E)$ is relatively compact

Compactness I

- Start with optimal feedback = gradient of HJB

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + b(x, (id, \varphi(t, \cdot)) \# \mu_t) \cdot D_x u \\ + f(x, (id, \varphi(t, \cdot)) \# \mu_t) - \frac{1}{2} |D_x u|^2 = 0, \quad t \in [0, T] \\ u(T, x) = g(x, \mu_T), \end{aligned}$$

- Same assumption as above $\leadsto b(x, \nu), f(x, \nu)$ and $g(x, \mu)$ bounded and $g(x, \mu)$ Lipschitz in x
 - estimates for uniformly elliptic HJB equations

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |D_x u(t, x)| \leq C$$

$$|D_x u(t, x) - D_x u(s, y)| \leq C_{\varepsilon} (|t - s|^{1/2} + |x - y|), \quad s, t \leq T - \varepsilon$$

- $D_x u$ in compact subset of $C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ equipped with uniform topology on compact subsets (choose the input in the same class)

Compactness II

- What about the **flow of measures** of diffusion process?

$$dX_t = [b(X_t, \nu_t) + \alpha_t]dt + dW_t$$

- Under the above assumption

- $b(x, \nu)$ bounded

- **analysis of HJB $\Rightarrow \varphi$ assume the input φ is r bounded by universal bound**

- Compactness criterion

- for any input

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|X_t|^p] \leq C_p, \quad \sup_{t, s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|X_t - X_s|^p] \leq C_p |t - s|^{p/2}$$

- **$(\mathcal{L}(X_t))_{0 \leq t \leq T} \in$ compact set of $C([0, T], \underbrace{\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)})$**

probabilities with finite p -moments

Continuity of the mapping

- Assume coefficients are **Lipschitz continuous in space and measure arguments** \leadsto prove that Ψ is continuous

- sequence of inputs of type **flow of marginal laws**

$(\mu_t^n)_{0 \leq t \leq T} \rightarrow (\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ converging in Wasserstein unif in t

- seq. of inputs of type **feedback** $(\varphi_t^n)_{0 \leq t < T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \rightarrow (\varphi_t)_{0 \leq t < T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ uniformly bounded and converging locally uniformly

- Deduce $\int_0^T \left[W_2(\underbrace{(id, \varphi_t^n) \# \mu_t^n}_{\nu_t^n}, \underbrace{(id, \varphi_t) \# \mu_t}_{\nu_t}) \right]^2 dt \rightarrow 0$

- **Stability results for FBSDEs/HJB equations**

$$dX_t^n = [b(X_t^n, \nu_t^n) - Z_t^n]dt + dW_t$$

$$dY_t^n = -\left[f(X_t^n, \nu_t^n) + \frac{1}{2}|Z_t^n|^2\right]dt + Z_t^n dW_t, \quad Y_T^n = g(X_T^n, \mu_T^n)$$

- **convergence of $(X_t^n)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ in $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\cdot|_t^2]$ norm**

- convergence of $(Z_t^n = D_x u^n(t, X_t^n))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ in $\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |\cdot|_t^2 dt]$ norm + compactness \Rightarrow **local uniform convergence of $D_x u^n$**

4. Uniqueness

Lasry Lions monotonicity condition

- Recall the criterion for the **standard** case
 - case when coefficients only depend upon μ_t , marginal law of X_t
- Lasry Lions monotonicity condition
 - b, σ do not depend on μ
 - $f(x, \mu, \alpha) = f_0(x, \mu) + f_1(x, \alpha)$ (μ and α are separated)
 - monotonicity property for f_0 and g w.r.t. μ

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_0(x, \mu) - f_0(x, \mu')) d(\mu - \mu')(x) \geq 0$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(x, \mu) - g(x, \mu')) d(\mu - \mu')(x) \geq 0$$

- Example

$$h(x, \mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(z, \rho \star \mu(z)) \rho(x - z) dz$$

$L \uparrow$ in 2^{nd} variable and ρ even, smooth with compact support

Adapting the Lasry Lions condition

- Generalize the modeling \leadsto change the cost functional into

$$f(x, \alpha, \nu) \quad \text{instead of} \quad f(x, \nu) + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2$$

- f strictly convex in α
- need to change the shape of the optimal feedback function

$$-D_x u \leadsto \hat{\alpha}(x, D_x u, \nu),$$

where $\hat{\alpha}(x, z, \nu) = \inf_{\alpha \in A} [\alpha \cdot z + f(x, \alpha, \nu)]$, A convex subset of \mathbb{R}^k

- **Monotonicity** is to require the same except for f

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times A} [f(x, \alpha, \nu) - f(x, \alpha, \nu')] d(\nu - \nu')(x, \alpha) \geq 0$$

- Example

$$f(x, \alpha, \nu) = f_0(x, \nu_x) + f'_0(\nu_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2$$

- $\nu_x = \mu$ 1st marginal of ν ($\mathcal{L}(X)$), $\nu_\alpha = 2^{\text{nd}}$ marginal of ν ($\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$)

Monotonicity restores uniqueness

- Assume that for any input $\nu = (\nu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ unique optimal control $\alpha^{\star, \nu}$ + existence of an MFG for a given initial condition

- Lasry Lions** \Rightarrow if two different $\leadsto \alpha^{\star, \nu} \neq \alpha^{\star, \nu'}$

$$\underbrace{J^{\nu}(\alpha^{\star, \nu})}_{\text{cost under } \nu} < J^{\nu}(\alpha^{\star, \nu'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{J^{\nu'}(\alpha^{\star, \nu'})}_{\text{cost under } \nu'} < J^{\nu'}(\alpha^{\star, \nu})$$

so that

$$J^{\nu'}(\alpha^{\star, \nu}) - J^{\nu'}(\alpha^{\star, \nu'}) + J^{\nu}(\alpha^{\star, \nu'}) - J^{\nu}(\alpha^{\star, \nu}) > 0$$

$$J^{\nu'}(\alpha^{\star, \nu}) - J^{\nu}(\alpha^{\star, \nu}) - [J^{\nu'}(\alpha^{\star, \nu'}) - J^{\nu}(\alpha^{\star, \nu'})] > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\underbrace{f(X_t^{\star, \nu}, \alpha_t^{\star, \nu}, \nu'_t) - f(X_t^{\star, \nu}, \alpha_t^{\star, \nu}, \nu_t)}_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times A} [f(x, \alpha, \nu'_t) - f(x, \alpha, \nu_t)] d\nu_t(x, \alpha)} \right] dt \\ & - \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\underbrace{f(X_t^{\star, \nu'}, \alpha_t^{\star, \nu'}, \nu'_t) - f(X_t^{\star, \nu'}, \alpha_t^{\star, \nu'}, \nu_t)}_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times A} [f(x, \alpha, \nu'_t) - f(x, \alpha, \nu_t)] d\nu'_t(x, \alpha)} \right] + \dots > 0 \end{aligned}$$

◦ same for $g \Rightarrow$ LHS must be ≤ 0

Example for Lasry Lions

- 1st **example** for $f(x, \alpha, \nu)$ satisfying both Lasry-Lions and convexity
 - if $f(x, \alpha, \nu) = f_0(x, \nu_x) + f_1(\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$
 - $A = \mathbb{R}^k$
 - f_0 satisfies standard Lasry Lions
 - $f_1(\alpha, \nu_\alpha) = f_2(\nu_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha - \bar{\nu}_\alpha|^2, \quad \bar{\nu}_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} y d\nu_\alpha(y)$
- 2nd **example** for $f(x, \alpha, \nu)$ satisfying both Lasry-Lions and convexity

$$f(x, \alpha, \nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times A} L(\underbrace{z}_{\zeta_1}, \underbrace{\beta}_{\zeta_2}, \underbrace{\rho \star \nu(z, \beta)}_{\zeta_3}) \rho(x - z, \alpha - \beta) dx d\beta$$

- ρ even, smooth, with compact support
- L increasing in ζ_3 , convex in (ζ_2, ζ_3) with $\partial_{\zeta_2 \zeta_3}^2 L$ small enough

5. Existence and uniqueness

Motivation

- Find a framework for explaining the passage from N to ∞ players
 - need **robustness**
 - in particular need **existence and uniqueness** \leadsto combine **Schauder/compactness** and **Lasry-Lions**
- Recall N -player

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \bar{v}_t^N, \alpha_t^i)dt + dW_t^i, \quad X_0^i = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

- $(\alpha_t^{1,\star}, \dots, \alpha_t^{N,\star})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ Nash equilibrium
- What should be the right object for explaining the **approximation**

$$\alpha_t^{i,\star} \approx \alpha(t, X_t^{i,\star}, \bar{\mu}_t^{N,\star})$$

- What should be α ?

Master field of a MKV FBSDE

- Recall the interpretation of MFG in terms of FBSDE
 - use Pontryagin principle \leadsto FBSDE of the McKean-Vlasov type

$$dX_t = \left[b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t, -Y_t)) - Y_t \right] dt + dW_t,$$

$$dY_t = -\partial_x f(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t, -Y_t)) dt + Z_t dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$Y_T = \partial_x g(X_T, \mathcal{L}(X_T))$$

- Decoupling field of the FBSDE

- if no MKV interaction $\leadsto Y_t = U(t, X_t)$ for some $U : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (reminiscent of the Markov property on \mathbb{R}^d)

- if MKV interaction $\leadsto Y_t = U(t, X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t))$ for some $U : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

- Opt. feedback at equilibrium $(v_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T} \leadsto -D_x u(t, x) = -U(t, x, \mu_t)$

- guess is $\alpha_t^{i, \star} \approx -U(t, X_t^{i, \star}, \bar{\mu}_t^{N, \star})$

- full proof by verification argument: expand $U(t, X_t^{i, \star}, \bar{\mu}_t^{N, \star})$ using PDE satisfied by U