## Stepping into my PhD research: network models, disclosure risk assessment and a bit of fairness

Francesca Panero

LSE Statistics Research Showcase. 14th - 15th June 2022



**Oxford-Warwick Statistics Programme** 





High school with focus on humanities





High school with focus on humanities





- High school with focus on humanities
- Bachelor and MSc in Maths/Probability @ University of Torino





- High school with focus on humanities
- Bachelor and MSc in Maths/Probability @ University of Torino
- A bit of Economics @ Collegio Carlo Alberto





- High school with focus on humanities
- Bachelor and MSc in Maths/Probability @ University of Torino
- A bit of Economics @ Collegio Carlo Alberto
- PhD in Stats @ University of Oxford





- High school with focus on humanities
- Bachelor and MSc in Maths/Probability @ University of Torino
- A bit of Economics @ Collegio Carlo Alberto
- PhD in Stats @ University of Oxford (my viva is tomorrow)





- High school with focus on humanities
- Bachelor and MSc in Maths/Probability @ University of Torino
- A bit of Economics @ Collegio Carlo Alberto
- PhD in Stats @ University of Oxford (my viva is tomorrow)
- Visiting period @ Duke (NC)





- High school with focus on humanities
- Bachelor and MSc in Maths/Probability @ University of Torino
- A bit of Economics @ Collegio Carlo Alberto
- PhD in Stats @ University of Oxford (my viva is tomorrow)
- Visiting period @ Duke (NC)
- Intern @ Dalle Molle Institute for AI (Lugano, ulletSwitzerland) and JP Morgan (London)





- High school with focus on humanities
- Bachelor and MSc in Maths/Probability @ University of Torino
- A bit of Economics @ Collegio Carlo Alberto
- PhD in Stats @ University of Oxford (my viva is tomorrow)
- Visiting period @ Duke (NC)
- Intern @ Dalle Molle Institute for AI (Lugano, lacksquareSwitzerland) and JP Morgan (London)













- I am from Turin
- I changed 4 countries during the pandemic



- I am from Turin
- I changed 4 countries during the pandemic
- I like running and yoga (but wish I'd do more)
- I like choirs



#### Statistical network models and their properties

- Sparse spatial random graphs F. Panero, François Caron, Judith Rousseau (ongoing work)
- On sparsity, power-law and clustering properties of graphex processes François Caron, F. Panero, Judith Rousseau (under revision)



#### Statistical network models and their properties

- Sparse spatial random graphs F. Panero, François Caron, Judith Rousseau (ongoing work)
- **On sparsity, power-law and clustering properties of graphex processes** François Caron, F. Panero, Judith Rousseau (under revision)

#### **Disclosure risk assessment**

- **Bayesian nonparametric disclosure risk assessment.**  $\bullet$
- Optimal disclosure risk assessment. Federico Camerlenghi, Stefano Favaro, Zacharie Naulet, F. Panero. The Annals of Statistics, 49(2) 723-744, April 2021

Stefano Favaro, F. Panero, Tommaso Rigon. Electron. J. Stat., 15(2), 5626-5651, 2021





#### Statistical network models and their properties

- Sparse spatial random graphs F. Panero, François Caron, Judith Rousseau (ongoing work)
- **On sparsity, power-law and clustering properties of graphex processes** François Caron, F. Panero, Judith Rousseau (under revision)

#### **Disclosure risk assessment**

- **Bayesian nonparametric disclosure risk assessment.**  $\bullet$
- Optimal disclosure risk assessment. Federico Camerlenghi, Stefano Favaro, Zacharie Naulet, F. Panero. The Annals of Statistics, 49(2) 723-744, April 2021

#### -air N

Achieving fairness with a simple ridge penalty. Marco Scutari, F. Panero, Manuel Proissl (under revision)

Stefano Favaro, F. Panero, Tommaso Rigon. Electron. J. Stat., 15(2), 5626-5651, 2021









## Sparse Spatial Random Graphs

Francesca Panero, François Caron, Judith Rousseau



#### Dense







#### **Point process** F. Caron, E. Fox (2017)

 $Z = \sum Z_{ij} \delta_{(\theta_i, \theta_j)}$ i,j



#### Point process F. Caron, E. Fox (2017)

# $Z = \sum_{i,j} \overline{Z_{ij}} \delta_{(\theta_i,\theta_j)}$



#### Point process F. Caron, E. Fox (2017)

 $Z = \sum Z_{ij}$ 

i,j





 $Z = \sum_{ij} Z_{ij} \delta_{(\theta_i, \theta_j, x_i, x_j)}$ Location

















## On sparsity, power-law and clustering properties of graphex processes

François Caron, Francesca Panero, Judith Rousseau arXiv:1708.03120

#### Graphex process

#### $Z_{ij}|(\theta_k, \vartheta_k)_{k=1,2,...} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(W(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j))$



### Graphex process

#### $Z_{ij}|(\theta_k, \vartheta_k)_{k=1,2,...} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(W(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j))$

#### Assumption

$$\mu(\vartheta) := \int_0^{+\infty} W(\vartheta, \vartheta') d\vartheta' \quad \text{Marginal sparse}$$



e graphon function
## $Z_{ij}|(\theta_k, \vartheta_k)_{k=1,2,...} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(W(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j))$

## Assumption

$$\mu(\vartheta) := \int_0^{+\infty} W(\vartheta, \vartheta') d\vartheta' \quad \text{Marginal sparse}$$

 $\mu^{-1}(\vartheta) \sim \ell(1/\vartheta)\vartheta^{-\sigma} \operatorname{as} \vartheta \to 0$ 



e graphon function

## $Z_{ij}|(\theta_k, \vartheta_k)_{k=1,2,...} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(W(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j))$

## Assumption

$$\mu(\vartheta) := \int_{0}^{+\infty} W(\vartheta, \vartheta') d\vartheta' \quad \text{Marginal sparse}$$
$$\mu^{-1}(\vartheta) \sim \ell(1/\vartheta) \vartheta^{-\sigma} \text{ as } \vartheta \to 0$$



e graphon function

## $Z_{ij}|(\theta_k, \vartheta_k)_{k=1,2,...} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(W(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j))$

## Assumption

$$\mu(\vartheta) := \int_{0}^{+\infty} W(\vartheta, \vartheta') d\vartheta' \quad \text{Marginal sparse}$$
$$\mu^{-1}(\vartheta) \sim \ell(1/\vartheta) \vartheta^{-\sigma} \text{as } \vartheta \to 0$$

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

e graphon function

## $Z_{ij}|(\theta_k, \vartheta_k)_{k=1,2,...} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(W(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j))$

## Assumption

$$\mu(\vartheta) := \int_{0}^{+\infty} W(\vartheta, \vartheta') d\vartheta' \quad \text{Marginal sparse}$$
$$\mu^{-1}(\vartheta) \sim \ell(1/\vartheta) \vartheta^{-\sigma} \text{as } \vartheta \to 0$$

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

e graphon function

**Regular variation at zero,**  $\sigma \in [0,1]$ 

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

- $\sigma = 0$  Dense graph
- $\sigma \in (0,1)$  Sparse graph + power-law degree distribution

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

- $\sigma = 0$  Dense graph
- $\sigma \in (0,1)$  Sparse graph + power-law degree distribution

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

- $\sigma = 0$  Dense graph
- $\sigma \in (0,1)$  Sparse graph + power-law degree distribution
- Strictly positive global clustering coefficient

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

- $\sigma = 0$  Dense graph
- $\sigma \in (0,1)$  Sparse graph + power-law degree distribution
- Strictly positive global clustering coefficient

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

- $\sigma = 0$  Dense graph
- $\sigma \in (0,1)$  Sparse graph + power-law degree distribution
- Strictly positive global clustering coefficient
- Central limit theorems for number of nodes and subgraphs

# **Optimal disclosure risk assessment**

Federico Camerlenghi, Stefano Fav The Annals of Statistics (2021)

## Federico Camerlenghi, Stefano Favaro, Zacharie Naulet, Francesca Panero

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

S	Education	Residence
	Degree	Oxford
	PhD	Birmingham
	Degree	Oxford
	Degree	Oxford
	Diploma	Manchester

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

S	Education	Residence
	Degree	Oxford
	PhD	Birmingham
	Degree	Oxford
	Degree	Oxford
	Diploma	Manchester

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

5	Education	Residence
	Degree	Oxford
	PhD	Birmingham
	Degree	Oxford
	Degree	Oxford
	Diploma	Manchester

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ħ

5	Education	Residence	
	Degree	Oxford	
	PhD	Birmingham	Popula
	Degree	Oxford	
	Degree	Oxford	
	Diploma	Manchester	

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

5	Education	Residence	
	Degree	Oxford	
	PhD	Birmingham	Popula
	Degree	Oxford	
	Degree	Oxford	
	Diploma	Manchester	

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

## $\tau_1$ : sample uniques that are also population uniques

S	Education	Residence	
	Degree	Oxford	
	PhD	Birmingham	Popula
	Degree	Oxford	
	Degree	Oxford	
	Diploma	Manchester	

![](_page_51_Picture_4.jpeg)

Size of rest of the population:  $M = \lambda n$ 

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

Size of rest of the population:  $M = \lambda n$ 

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

## $\lambda = 1/2 \rightarrow M = n/2$

Sample

Rest of population

Size of rest of the population:  $M = \lambda n$ 

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $\lambda = 1 \rightarrow M = n$ 

Sample

Rest of population

Size of rest of the population:  $M = \lambda n$ 

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $\lambda = 2 \rightarrow M = 2n$ 

Sample

## Rest of population

Size of rest of the population:  $M = \lambda n$ 

# i > 0

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

 $\hat{\tau}_1^L = \sum (-1)^i (i+1) \lambda^i Z_{i+1}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \mathbb{P}(L \ge i)$ 

Size of rest of the population:  $M = \lambda n$ 

# i > 0

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

- # symbols with frequency i + 1 $\hat{\tau}_{1}^{L} = \sum (-1)^{i} (i+1) \lambda^{i} Z_{i+1}(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}) \mathbb{P}(L \ge i)$ 

Size of rest of the population:  $M = \lambda n$ 

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

-# symbols with frequency i + 1 $\hat{\tau}_{1}^{L} = \sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^{i} (i+1) \lambda^{i} Z_{i+1}(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}) \mathbb{P}(L \ge i)$ 

Truncation random variable

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

## • Upper bound for worst-case normalised MSE of $\hat{\tau}_1^L$ goes to 0 for $\lambda < \log(n)$

## Results

- Lower bound for best worst-case normalised MSE of any nonparametric estimator vanishes for  $\lambda < \log(n)$

## • Upper bound for worst-case normalised MSE of $\hat{\tau}_1^L$ goes to 0 for $\lambda < \log(n)$

## Results

- Lower bound for best worst-case normalised MSE of any nonparametric estimator vanishes for  $\lambda < \log(n)$
- For  $\lambda > \log(n)$  it is impossible to find a nonparametric estimator with vanishing lower bound

## • Upper bound for worst-case normalised MSE of $\hat{\tau}_1^L$ goes to 0 for $\lambda < \log(n)$

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Up until $\lambda \propto \log(n)$ the lower and upper bound match for $\hat{\tau}_1^L$ + impossible to find nonparametric estimator with guarantees after $\log(n)$ : $\hat{\tau}_1^L$ is optimal!

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Up until $\lambda \propto \log(n)$ the lower and upper bound match for $\hat{\tau}_1^L$ + impossible to find nonparametric estimator with guarantees after $\log(n)$ : $\hat{\tau}_1^L$ is optimal!

Dedicated to the memory of Chris Skinner

# **Bayesian nonparametric disclosure risk assessment** Stefano Favaro, Francesca Panero and Tommaso Rigon

**Electronic Journal of Statistics (2021)** 

 $p_1 = \mathbb{P}(\blackslash ), p_2 = \mathbb{P}(\blackslash ), p_3 = \mathbb{P}(\blackslash )...$ 

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $p_1 = \mathbb{P}(\blackslash ), p_2 = \mathbb{P}(\blackslash ), p_3 = \mathbb{P}(\blackslash )...$ 

**Pitman-Yor process prior**  $P_{\alpha,\theta}$  $P_{\alpha,\theta} = \sum p_i \delta_{z_i} \longrightarrow p_{(1)}, p_{(2)}, p_{(3)} \dots$  decreasing order

 $p_{(j)}$  as  $j \to \infty$  have power-law behaviour with exponent  $\alpha^{-1}$ exponential decay for  $\alpha = 0$ 

![](_page_66_Picture_5.jpeg)

Dirichlet process  $\alpha = 0$ 

![](_page_66_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **Posterior characterisation**

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\frac{\frac{n}{\alpha}-1}{\frac{x}{m_1-x}}\binom{u}{m_1-x}\mathbb{P}(U_{1-\alpha,\frac{\theta+n}{1-\alpha},N-n}=u)$$
$$\mathbb{P}(U_{1-\alpha,\frac{\theta+n}{1-\alpha},N-n}=u)$$

## **Posterior characterisation**

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 = x \,|\, X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{u=1}^{N-n} \frac{\binom{\theta+1}{1-u}}{\binom{\theta}{1-u}}$$

**General hypergeometric distribution** 

![](_page_68_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **Posterior characterisation**

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 = x \,|\, X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{u=1}^{N-n} \frac{\binom{\theta+1}{1-u}}{\binom{\theta}{1-u}}$$

**General hypergeometric distribution** 

## Works well in the case of power-law or exponential decaying probabilities

![](_page_69_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Achieving fairness with a simple ridge penalty Marco Scutari, Francesca Panero, Manuel Proissl (2021) arXiv:2105.13817

![](_page_71_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Disclosure risk assessment**

## Fair ML

![](_page_71_Picture_3.jpeg)

# What's next?

![](_page_71_Picture_5.jpeg)
- Brain networks
- Other extension of Caron-Fox ullet

#### **Disclosure risk assessment**

#### Fair ML





- Brain networks ullet
- Other extension of Caron-Fox lacksquare

## **Disclosure risk assessment**

• Finding motivation to work on disclosure risk. Possibly different measures?

## Fair ML





- Brain networks ullet
- Other extension of Caron-Fox lacksquare

# **Disclosure risk assessment**

• Finding motivation to work on disclosure risk. Possibly different measures?

# Fair ML

• Waiting...





- Brain networks lacksquare
- Other extension of Caron-Fox

# **Disclosure risk assessment**

• Finding motivation to work on disclosure risk. Possibly different measures?

# Fair ML

• Waiting...

#### Else

- More applied  $\bullet$
- ED&I







# Thank you!

### francesca.panero@stats.ox.ac.uk https://francescapanero.github.io



