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Executive Summary

Any vision of knowledge societies must affirm the core aspirations for peaceful and sustainable knowledge societies in a way that acknowledges the interests of all stakeholders. It is essential to recall that knowledge societies are concerned with human development, not only with technological innovation and its impacts. In this report, we focus on the importance of freedom of expression and freedom of information, universal access to information and knowledge, quality education for all, and respect for linguistic and cultural diversity. We underline the key role of learning and training processes, grassroots initiatives and stakeholders’ participation, and policies to achieve a fair balance between public and private interests.

In the wake of rapid, and sometimes surprising, developments in information and communication technologies (ICTs) and in the media, information and communication environment, we call for the renewal of UNESCO’s knowledge societies vision as a means of highlighting priorities for UNESCO and other stakeholders in a WSIS+10 world. UNESCO played a leading role with the ITU in the planning for the WSIS 2003/2005, setting out its vision for Knowledge Societies in its 2005 World Report. It is now time for a renewal of that vision in the light of experience since then.

With its vision of knowledge societies, UNESCO moved beyond a focus on the information and communication infrastructure to human beings and to processes of learning. In 2013, the vision of knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development requires a further move to emphasize the need to rally partners from the private sector, the public sector and from civil society to clarify the persistent problems and create processes and actions that will address them. UNESCO is well-positioned to lead in future work aimed at promoting knowledge societies that are inclusive and equitable.

Our starting point is to recall that if knowledge is valuable economically, it is also the core of culture and human life within peaceful societies. We emphasise that universal access to information is a basic requirement to create knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development, but that it is not a sufficient requirement. This is because knowledge implies meaning, appropriation and participation. Access to knowledge implies much more than access to ICTs or digital information. It involves learning in formal and informal educational settings and it is acquired through experience. Knowledge is a means to achieve social and economic goals. It is essential to cultural socialization, political participation and integration within markets. It is also the path to individual and collective emancipation and should be valued for itself. The full potential of digital networks and media and information applications can only be achieved if there is a fair balance between private and public interests in knowledge.

If the goal of knowledge societies is to foster peace and sustainability, it is imperative that strategies for action ensure that decisions at all levels promote the integration of knowledge within people’s lives in ways that maximize the benefits and minimize harms, taking into account the goals of economic prosperity, environmental protection, inclusive social equity and justice. It is essential to understand, not only what needs to be done to promote knowledge societies, but also how the interests of stakeholders are changing. The renewal of UNESCO’s vision of knowledge societies should acknowledge that policy measures are needed to support an open information commons and a market-led approach in a balanced way. The policy environment currently favours market-led strategies, and actions often focus on technology and on digital information. Policy makers need to look beyond the ‘uses’ of networks and ICT applications, to the
conditions – institutional, regulatory, financial, political, cultural – that frame these uses, whether these are of mobiles, social media, or databases.

Learning is at the core of knowledge societies. The expansion of digital networks opens fantastic opportunities to facilitate education and learning at all levels. But this potential can materialize only if basic requirements are satisfied: above all, high quality content and well-trained tutors. High quality education for all, at every level, must be one of the main aims of knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development. This can be achieved only through sufficient investment in training educators, whether they facilitate formal or informal learning. An equally important concern for policy is linguistic diversity which is essential for encouraging participation in knowledge societies. If this area is not given sufficient attention, people may gain access to networks and digital information, but to education and learning opportunities that simply are not meaningful to them in their everyday lives.

Distance education is frequently identified as the miracle solution to the lack of educational materials and human resources, including the capabilities for learning such as reflection and making sense of information. Indeed, it can serve as an effective means of giving more access to scarce resources. Properly used, it can help to train teachers more rapidly in countries where large numbers are needed in a short time to meet the needs of primary and secondary schools. But to be effective, distance education must be designed well in advance, rely on high quality content, and qualified technicians, teachers and tutors, and build the capabilities associated with a range of literacies among its learners.

A crucial question is how a society should organize access to information while encouraging the creation and production of knowledge. This question goes to the very heart of how we value knowledge socially, as well as economically. The challenge is to find a balanced solution that is socially acceptable and economically viable between two contrasting options – copyright which protects intellectual property but restricts access to information in the market and the commons approach which favors a public domain and open access to information. Dogmatic opposition between proprietary market-led approaches and the information commons should be rejected. Adjustments to the policy environment should be encouraged to enable market and commons-based creative activity to proceed in parallel and to foster hybrid approaches. Stimulating the production of information in knowledge societies which is perceived as being relevant by those who access and apply it in their everyday lives, and in political and economic contexts, will remain a major challenge for policy in the coming decades.

Access to information and knowledge together with economic rewards are crucial for the development of a creative economy. Workers in the cultural and creative sectors must be well-trained to master specific knowledge and skills. The development of the creative industries therefore requires high quality training programmes in addition to the freedom and encouragement to contribute in innovative and creative ways. In view of the growing contribution of the creative industries to national economic output, most countries are seeking to adopt policies to develop their creative industries to strengthen their competitiveness in the global economy. Countries also need to develop policies which foster inclusive participation by citizens through their creative and information related contributions to society.

There needs to be improved coordination of measures aimed at building the infrastructure for open information and learning and promoting social networking and the production and use of open data and information. In addition, efforts are needed to encourage genuinely participatory practice in knowledge societies. Specialized
organizations need to work with all the involved actors to explore issues of power, new means of organizing volunteerism and how such projects can yield the clearest useful outcomes for those who hope to benefit from them.

We highlight lessons across several selected issue areas - formal and informal education and learning, media and mediated content, freedom of expression, freedom of information and political transparency, issues of gender sensitivity, environmental sustainability, and ethics. Knowledge societies are not emerging in isolation from other large-scale changes including shifts in economic power, and major political, social and cultural transitions. The policy environment needs to be flexible enough to ensure that stakeholders do not become locked into unsustainable pathways. Not all initiatives are concerned with the potential for the empowerment of local communities or disadvantaged and excluded groups, and not all ICT innovations are benign. Policy initiatives need to give greater attention to approaches that embrace bottom up participation and promote education and learning.

We conclude with a set of guidelines for UNESCO strategy towards knowledge societies.

1) Giving priority to learning processes and the organization of networked learning in the light of UNESCO’s mission is essential. All people need the abilities to evaluate digital information critically in the light of other sources of knowledge. Education through formal and informal learning processes, mixing online with offline where necessary, should be given a high priority, as should multilingualism to foster diverse and inclusive learning environments. This also means that information and media literacies must be strengthened throughout all segments of society.

2) Strong emphasis should be given to the training of trainers combining effectively all the resources available from face-to-face interaction to digital networking. Achieving autonomy, a key aim of education, requires the help of competent trainers. The development of digital networks offers new opportunities that should be taken up and given the appropriate financial and technical resources to enlarge and improve the training of teachers.

3) Facilitating the rapid circulation of scientific knowledge in all parts of the world, especially in less developed areas, should be given priority. Scientific knowledge is a key factor in the innovation process and in finding pathways to industrial development which are respectful of the environment. As science is acknowledged as a common or public good, it should be shared universally. Well established universities and laboratories should be encouraged to share rapidly their discoveries and their know-how, especially with institutions located in less developed areas.

4) Encouraging research and debate on a balanced legal system to protect intellectual property and to favour access for all is essential. The market exchange and the creative commons models should be articulated with each other to stimulate the creation and sharing of information. Arrangements are needed to devise new business models and to promote the sharing of information. At the same time, it is essential to consider the implications of information sharing for the protection of individual privacy.

5) Fostering balanced partnerships among the private sector, the public sector and civil society organizations, as well as individuals and other groups, should be a priority. Digital networks and access to information are being developed through private and open applications of ICTs across the whole of the economy, polity and social environment. Companies, governments and civil society organisations are often working in isolation or
their relationships are contested. In all of these contexts, efforts are need to privilege participatory bottom up action.

6) Stimulating participatory initiatives, valuing diversity and giving individuals and local communities visibility and voice should be a very high priority. Even when efforts are made to promote local participation, insufficient attention is given to what is necessary to ensure that applications of digital technologies are participatory in sense that they are empowering for all those involved. Innovation and learning processes are most successful when they are open to the unexpected and to changes in the environment.

7) Responsiveness to the interests of women, people with disabilities, native peoples, and marginalized people and groups should be a consideration of the highest priority in all measures to promote knowledge societies. All policy measures must seek ways of addressing inequality and social injustice especially through measures that respect human rights. Networking offers new opportunities for empowerment of women and other marginalised and excluded groups, but the opportunities cannot be realised without attention to discriminatory practices, privacy considerations and ethical issues.

8) UNESCO should take a leading role in all the areas covered by its mandate, encouraging collaborations among those in and outside the UN System with the resources to host information portals, to foster measures which support open data initiatives and make information more accessible, and provide guidance about how to link data and interpret it in ways that are meaningful to those whose interests are often neglected. UNESCO should increase its presence on the internet, provide access to information, and foster interconnections among groups working on similar problems. This includes attention to open standards for information sharing, the implications of the increasing scale of data resources, including ‘big data’, and the growing importance of cloud computing for data and information access.

9) Fostering environments in knowledge societies that employ fair employment practices and respect the human rights of voluntary contributors and paid workers is essential for diversifying and improving livelihoods and should be an important priority for UNESCO in collaboration with other organizations. Open data and information are making it easier to generate new knowledge in support of development goals and many of these activities fall within UNESCO’s mandate. UNESCO should strengthen its coordination with other agencies which have mandates with respect to labour practices.

In renewing its vision of knowledge societies, UNESCO, with other intergovernmental, State and private sector actors will play a role, alongside individual citizens and civil society organizations, in establishing the way information resources, including media content, are produced and applied to build societies. It is essential to ensure that all stakeholders are held accountable for their strategies and actions because when information asymmetries are not addressed, enhanced participation has relatively little impact.

If UNESCO’s vision of knowledge societies acknowledges that these societies are neither uniform, nor always beneficial for citizens and consumers, then its work programme is likely to provide exemplary insight into the feasibility of participatory collective action in the information commons, alongside the commercial development of innovative information and media products. In renewing its vision, UNESCO should call for continuous evaluation of the opportunities and risks of knowledge societies, and for principles, enabling policies, and programmes that will help to accelerate inclusive knowledge societies that also contribute to peace and sustainable development.
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Renewing the Knowledge Societies Vision: 
Towards Knowledge Societies for Peace and Sustainable Development

1 The Starting Point

From the pioneering book on *The Production and Distribution of Knowledge* by the American economist Fritz Machlup published in 1962, and through the work of various researchers, it is increasingly recognized that knowledge is a key factor of economic development in modern societies. In a parallel way, thinkers since the earliest days of civilization have proclaimed that knowledge, albeit of a different kind, is crucial in pursuing the high road to peace. Knowledge is certainly valuable for economic reasons but not only for these reasons. It is also at the core of culture and human life. In the first decades of the 21st century, we think that all forms of knowledge should contribute to the creation of peaceful societies on the way to sustainable development.

In 2005, UNESCO participated in the World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS), suggesting a move from the information society to knowledge societies. In its World Report, *Towards Knowledge Societies*, these were defined as societies benefiting from their diversity and their capacities for stimulating knowledge sharing. These offer many new opportunities for development, supported by technological innovation and by wide-scale participation in the production and consumption of information. The report especially pinpointed four key dimensions of knowledge societies: freedom of expression and freedom of information, universal access to information and knowledge, quality education for all, and respect for linguistic and cultural diversity.

The authors of the UNESCO report explained in detail the different aspects of knowledge societies. Ten chapters were dedicated to learning processes, lifelong education, digital networks, higher education, research, science and other relevant themes. The contribution of local and indigenous knowledge was not forgotten. It was also acknowledged that access, although necessary, is not sufficient for achieving the goals for knowledge societies or for ensuring active citizen participation in their societies.

UNESCO's contribution stipulated clearly that knowledge societies consistent with equity and human and sustainable development could not be reached only by developing the
technological infrastructure. The report rejected technological determinism and called for recognition of the diversity of knowledge societies. It also warned against an excessive commoditization of knowledge. When knowledge societies are not limited to information societies, knowledge cannot be reduced to the mere diffusion of information and cultural content. It also requires the acquisition of a range of abilities for the development of analytical and critical thinking.

The report ended with ten recommendations, focussing on the necessity to improve:
- high quality education for all,
- community access to information and communication technologies (ICTs),
- enlargement of the public domain of knowledge,
- scientific cooperation networks,
- sharing of environmental knowledge for sustainable development,
- linguistic diversity,
- knowledge certification on the internet,
- creation of partnerships for digital solidarity,
- increased women's participation in knowledge societies
- development of statistical tools to measure knowledge societies.

The UNESCO's vision of knowledge societies represented an original and stimulating contribution to the debate on the information society, in line with UNESCO's mission which is "to contribute to the building of peace, the eradication of poverty, sustainable development and intercultural dialogue through education, the sciences, culture, communication and information". Indeed, knowledge is the lifeblood that circulates in educational systems, science laboratories, cultural activities and communication and information networks.

The UNESCO's report gave a detailed outline of ideal knowledge societies and it asked a crucial question: "Will knowledge societies be societies based on knowledge-sharing for all or on the partition of knowledge?" It said that knowledge societies are "about capabilities to identify, produce, process, transform, disseminate and use information to build and apply knowledge for human development". It stressed two significant dangers. One was the risk of policies and practices that promote a single model of the 'knowledge economy', based on privileging aspirations for economic growth over cultural and social goals. The second was that the tendency to give in to technological determinism. If this were to persist, the greatest attention would be given to encouraging
the spread of digital technologies, networks and their applications, and too little would
be given to how this would make a difference to people’s lives.

Since 2005 much has changed. This is acknowledged in recent reports on the importance
of information and knowledge in society. ICTs, especially mobile phones and digital
information, and the content of the media are becoming much more accessible to the
world’s population. But the rapid diffusion of digital technologies and greater access to
digital information, even if unevenly, has not eradicated the danger that knowledge
societies which respect human rights and are consistent with peace and sustainable
development, are being jeopardized by persist inequality and social injustice.

This risk is visible in policy debates about the follow up to the 2003/2005 World Summit
on the Information Society (WSIS). In various accounts of progress towards the goals
and actions set out during the WSIS, including those concerning greater equity, diversity
and social justice within knowledge societies, there is evidence of continuing
challenges. Documents issued by the WSIS Forum, for example, refer to the ‘urgency’
of renewed efforts to progress towards the ideals for democratic knowledge societies.

These challenges are relevant to all stakeholders in knowledge societies. They are
especially relevant for those who are disadvantaged or excluded. The United Nations
Millennium Declaration 2000 states - “we will spare no effort to free our fellow men,
women and children from the abject and dehumanizing conditions of extreme poverty, to
which more than a billion of them are currently subjected”. Progress on each of the
Millennium Development Goals (MDS) is varied, and the emerging characteristics of
knowledge societies are a crucial factor in debates about the post-2015 renewal of these
goals, including whether Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) should be
incorporated.

If we understand human development as “a process of enlarging people’s choices”, and
creating “an enabling environment for people to enjoy long, healthy and creative lives”, it
is essential that progress continues to be made to promote freedom of expression,
freedom of information, universal access to information and knowledge, quality
education for all, and respect for linguistic and cultural diversity. These aspects of
knowledge societies are just as important as the accumulation of Commodities and
financial wealth. The need to balance these dimensions is strikingly clear in the wake of
the global financial crisis and the imperative to address climate change. UNESCO’s
emphasis on progress towards inclusive, diverse, and participatory knowledge societies calls for the renewal of its 2005 vision in the light of developments since that time. Renewal of UNESCO’s knowledge societies vision should inform policies and actions at all levels of discussion about the post-2015 MDGs.

In this report we build on the UNESCO 2005 vision of knowledge societies, commenting on and renewing the vision and emphasizing the implications for UNESCO's strategy for action. Freedom of expression, universal access to information and knowledge, high quality education and learning for all and respect for linguistic and cultural diversity are highly desirable goals. But UNESCO should move a step further. Criticising and rejecting simplistic models based on technological determinism and top-down authoritarian approaches as well as unbalanced privileging of market values (neoliberalism) provides a basis for designing pragmatic programmes. These should take into account the diversity of the concrete situations lived by people in different parts of the world. They should aim at ensuring that knowledge societies involve them in their own enlightenment, empowerment and achievement. They should urge private, public and civil society partners to join their resources and actions to build knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development. Knowledge is necessary to achieve this. More than a powerful means to do so, when policies and strategies are designed to do support this, knowledge can contribute to human emancipation through its creative application; it is itself a worthy goal for human kind.

With its vision of knowledge societies, UNESCO moved beyond a focus on the information and communication infrastructure to human beings and to processes of learning. In 2013, the vision of knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development requires a further move to emphasize the need to rally partners from the private sector, the public sector and from civil society to clarify the persistent problems and create processes and actions that will address them.

2 Knowledge, Peace and Sustainable Development

It is difficult to define the complex cultural, social, political, and economic aims implied by the words peace and sustainable development. We know that peace is not merely the absence of war, but a condition of living where everyone can enjoy tolerance and respect. The achievement of sustainable development has become a rallying policy goal, but the specific measures to achieve it and the interpretations of the objectives differ among
various interest groups. Consensus in these areas in real situations can only be reached through democratic debate and this requires freedom of expression as well as freedom of information. As our contribution is modestly focused on recalling and revisiting the aims of knowledge societies, we rely on an intuitive interpretation of peace and sustainable development as globally positive values - respect for human life and for the environment. Development policies within knowledge societies should take into account the protection of the environment, social equality and economic welfare.

In many documents on what is variously called the information society, knowledge economy, or knowledge society, knowledge is often mistakenly used as an equivalent to information. There are diverse definitions of these terms in the literature, but basically, information refers to signals measured in bits. On a second level, information may mean data that may or not be related. On a third level, information is interpreted or mediated through events reported by the media. On a fourth level, information may be combined and interpreted to constitute knowledge. Capacities for the production, circulation and use of information have increased so much over the last few decades as a result of technological innovation and the development of networks that we have become familiar with characterizing our societies as information societies. Universal access to information is a basic requirement to create knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development, but it is not a sufficient requirement.

Knowledge is a more complex concept than information. It cannot be reduced to the mere addition of unrelated information elements. Knowledge is a concept that implies meaning, organization and structure. It refers to articulated sets of meaningful observations, analyses, and interpretations that are developed over time and available for each generation to be discussed and criticized. Access to knowledge implies not only access to technical devices and to stocks of information, but also involvement in learning processes. There is no knowledge without learning.

UNESCO’s move from information to knowledge societies was not a mere change of label. It means that the challenges are more complex than developing the technological infrastructure. It also means that a fundamental challenge is the requirement to ensure universal access to learning processes and facilities.

In industrial and post-industrial societies, much knowledge is mainly created through organized scientific activity; and it is acquired mainly through the formal educational
system. Thus, an interest in knowledge production and circulation leads necessarily to paying attention to research and training, to science and education. However, much would be missed if we limit ourselves to scientific knowledge and to formal educational systems. Non-industrial societies have also created and developed knowledge, including useful knowledge for medical purposes, for economic production and for various situations in daily life. The value of this knowledge is progressively being rediscovered, even in countries where it has been neglected for decades.

Furthermore, it is well known that much knowledge production and acquisition occurs outside school and the formal education system. The opportunities for informal learning and gaining knowledge as a result of experience have been greatly expanded by the development of the electronic media and digital networks. An increasingly large part of knowledge production and sharing occurs informally. For example, our human heritage is now available from home to those who are connected to the internet and have developed the abilities to gain access to it, understand it, and use it to their benefit. And knowledge is developed through everyday life interactions and problem-solving which may or may not involve digital networks or access to digital information.

Knowledge is a means to achieve social and economic goals. It is essential to cultural socialization, political participation and integration within markets. But it is also the path to individual and collective emancipation and should be valued for itself. As a recent report puts it, "Education is critical to the development of knowledge societies as it is the source of basic skills, a foundation for knowledge acquisition and innovation and an engine for socio-economic development". Education is central to civic empowerment and especially for young people. UNESCO has been actively involved in this field and should build upon its expertise. This is important for the renewal of the knowledge societies vision because we have many lessons showing that a focus on learning technologies and access to technical skills (like keyboarding) is insufficient for ensuring that the kind of learning that occurs enables people to be empowered to make changes in their lives. In fact, it is increasingly evident that information and media literacies in knowledge societies need to encompass conceptual competencies such as critical thinking, innovative approaches to problem solving; practical competencies for navigating in media and information environments; and human competencies such as social networking, digital citizenship and cross-cultural interaction skills. These literacies also need to extend to enabling those with disabilities to participate in knowledge societies.
In a utilitarian perspective, knowledge is viewed as a means of economically valued production. Such knowledge, often designated as ‘useful’ knowledge, gives workers access to jobs, and helps to improve business productivity and the competitiveness of national economies. Gaining access to knowledge means providing access to strategic information and to professional skills. In this perspective, because knowledge can contribute to competitive advantage, individuals and groups that create it tend to limit access by controlling such access through the enforcement of intellectual property rights.

It is widely acknowledged, however, that freedom of expression is fundamental to democratic life. Therefore, access to knowledge through formal and informal education and learning should not only satisfy economic needs. It should facilitate freedom of expression, not mainly of the privileged, but of every citizen. Reciprocally, the development of knowledge can only benefit from freedom of expression and from artistic creation that can bloom in an environment that favours liberty.

In modern states, education, together with health care, is among the most important components of public spending. As the process that enables knowledge acquisition, it starts with basic education at primary and secondary school, which is generally recognized as a public service. Higher education is provided by both private and public institutions at college and university levels. And lifelong education includes informal and professional training. The expansion of digital networks opens fantastic opportunities to facilitate education and learning at all levels. But this potential can materialize only if basic requirements are satisfied: above all, high quality content and well-trained tutors. High quality education for all, at every level, must be one of the main aims of knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development. This can be reached only through sufficient investment to train educators. An equally important concern for policy is linguistic diversity which is essential for encouraging participation in knowledge societies. If this area is not given sufficient attention, people may gain access to networks and digital information, but to education and learning opportunities that simply are not meaningful to them in their everyday lives.

Distance education is frequently identified as the miracle solution to the lack of educational materials and human resources, including the capabilities for learning such as reflection and making sense of information. Indeed, it can serve as an effective means of giving more access to scarce resources. Properly used, it can help to train teachers
more rapidly in countries where large numbers are needed in a short period to meet the needs for primary and secondary schools. But research and experiments have shown that distance education requires more than a communication infrastructure. To be effective, distance education must be designed well in advance, rely on high quality content, and qualified technicians, teachers and tutors and build the capabilities associated with media and information literacies among its learners.

Education is not only a top-down activity through formal teaching and learning. It also occurs through exchanges among people with different types of expertise. Many universities are acknowledging that they have a social responsibility regarding local and regional development. For decades, in cooperation with different partners they have created, usually with few resources, valuable services for local communities. These initiatives combining the ‘know how’ of academics with the ‘know how’ of different stakeholder practitioners are a good way to ensure that knowledge is produced and circulated for application in contexts that respond to real social and economic needs.

Scientific research is conducted in private and public organizations which need huge financial investments. The outcomes can give businesses competitive advantages in national and global markets. They can also yield medical interventions and medicines that are effective in treating large numbers of people. Because the production of knowledge is the result of a process involving individual effort and the use of previous knowledge, it is very important to define legal systems that provide a fair balance between the protection of intellectual rights and the public access to knowledge. A fair balance between private and public interests in knowledge is one of the major preconditions for achieving knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development. UNESCO’s role should be to encourage this by commissioning research to understand existing imbalances and by setting up international forums, in cooperation with other international organizations, to discuss and publicize initiatives that provide illustrations of how greater fairness in the existing arrangements can be achieved. This applies across all domains of knowledge societies, including the traditional and converged media.

Adopting the Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions in 2005, the UNESCO General Assembly acknowledged that cultural diversity is a human heritage worthy of protection and promotion. Since knowledge is part of culture, cultural expressions represent a valuable kind of knowledge, which is as important as instrumental knowledge. Through expressive activities, people give
meaning to their lives, share values, emotions and ideas. They learn to understand each other, to share their fears and their hopes, to experiment with solidarity and face challenges. An important issue in this area is the role of language and the development of a multilingual online environment, which is closely linked to the development of public domain content. Thus, all forms of knowledge, including artistic knowledge, should be promoted as well as instrumental knowledge, because they are all essential for individual and social life.

Digital technologies and networks open new opportunities for a large number of people to gain access to artistic expression. Many experiments in different parts of the world have shown that smaller scale equipment which is designed for use in specific local contexts at low cost can help marginalized individuals and communities to find ways to give meaning to their lives and to reach better social, cultural and economic integration. But these opportunities do not flow automatically from access to technologies or to information. This is why it is so essential to renew UNESCO’s vision of knowledge societies as part of an ongoing learning process that takes account of changes in technologies and in the societies in which they are embedded.

3 Renewing the Knowledge Societies Vision

If the goal of knowledge societies is to foster peace and sustainability, it is imperative that strategies for action ensure that decisions at all levels promote the integration of knowledge within people’s lives in ways that maximize the benefits and minimize harms, taking into account the goals of both economic prosperity and inclusive social equity and justice. There are numerous reports on the actions needed to foster information or knowledge societies. Some focus on digital infrastructure, some on involving small and medium sized enterprises in using digital technologies for poverty alleviation, or on encouraging a greater role for private sector investment, the importance of open software development and applications, or the need for partnerships among public, private and civil society stakeholders.

UNESCO's mandate is to give priority to freedom of expression, access to information and the empowerment of people. This means encouraging measures that uphold fundamental human rights, media freedoms, freedom of speech, and enabling people to acquire the "necessary skills to produce and circulate information and engage with the media, and also to critically analyze and synthesize the information they receive". 
Digital technologies and networks do have the potential to enable people to participate in societies as active citizens, but citizen empowerment does not follow naturally from access to information. Empowerment requires pre-conditions to be in place. One of these pre-conditions is concerned with the legal infrastructure protecting rights to freedom of expression, freedom of information, and privacy and the regulatory environment which supports this.

Many reports address the question of what should be done to foster knowledge societies that promote these values. However, they are not always explicit about the competing interests that create barriers to achieving this goal. A principal reason for this is reluctance on the part of many stakeholders to acknowledge that their interests change as local and global knowledge (mediated content, information) becomes increasingly central to our "very capacity to be in the world".

It is widely assumed that if people gain access to the internet through a mobile phone, they will be able to produce and consume information which they value. For some, market-imposed information scarcity creates the best incentive to achieve this because information is costly to produce. Maximizing creativity and information diversity is expected to benefit from the strongest enforcement of laws protecting intellectual property. However, others recognize that since digital information is virtually costless to reproduce, the best incentive for its production, circulation and consumption is created when information is openly shared.

For some stakeholders, the proper policy is to rely on market demand for ICTs and information to maximize individual choice and spur technological innovation that is responsive to human needs. But over-relying on the market to guide change in knowledge societies is only one choice among many. The alternative is also to rely on collective action in an open information commons to shape knowledge societies.

The renewal of UNESCO’s vision of knowledge societies should acknowledge that policy measures are needed to support an open information commons and a market-led approach in a balanced way. It is possible to develop novel ways to legitimize the open circulation of digital information and to balance this with novel means of making economic returns from digital information. In debates about this stakeholders usually make opposing claims. The asymmetric relations among stakeholders that produce conflicting policies and strategies in knowledge societies will persist. But there are many
spaces of opportunity for change as the environment in which these societies are emerging changes. UNESCO’s vision of knowledge societies needs to enlarge and foster these opportunities. Progress towards knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development means learning new habits of thought about the ownership of information and access to it. It requires creative solutions that do not involve the excesses of the market or complete reliance on the information commons.

The knowledge societies policy environment is currently tilted in favour of market-led strategies and actions as shown below.

Information exchange for a price in the marketplace, maintained through enforcement of private rights of information ownership (copyright, patents), and attempts to limit access to information are favoured by prevailing laws and conventions. But policy making institutions are also fostering an open commons for information sharing, taking advantage of the abundance of digital information, and relying on the innovative abilities of networked communities. UNESCO’s renewed vision of knowledge societies must emphasize a balanced approach to information exchange in the market and sharing in the information commons (as below).
There is an urgent need for novel policies and actions to achieve this balance. As Manuel Castells put it in his book, *Networks of Outrage and Hope*, "if there is an overarching theme, a pressing cry, a revolutionary dream, it is the call for new forms of political deliberation, representation and decision-making". 43 Now that people are gaining access to networks and digital information, they express their demand more effectively for progress toward a fairer and more democratic polity in knowledge societies, societies which are concerned with cultural, social, and political human values, not only economic value.

A plea for imaginative ways of dealing with conflicting interests in knowledge societies is not a new. It can be found in research on the role of digital technologies, information and knowledge in the development process. 44 What is valued in tomorrow’s knowledge societies will be shaped by the strategies and actions towards information, communication and education that are taken in the near term and much can be learned from experience since the WSIS deliberations in 2003 and 2005.

We have learned that it is inappropriate to look only to ICTs – the Internet, mobile phones, broadband or software apps – to understand societal transformation. 45 There continue to be signs of a fascination with technology which is seen as the solution to development problems. But whether it is the ‘always with your camera’ or multiple
YouTube channels, it is clear that these become meaningful in people's lives in ways that differ enormously across the world and that the social and economic consequences of the use of these technologies are varied as well. The best strategy is to enabling people to empower themselves through knowledge to shape how their requirements for well-being are met. This means looking beyond 'uses' of networks and applications, to the conditions – institutional, regulatory, financial, political, cultural – that frame these uses, whether these are uses of mobiles, social media, or other forms of mediated interaction.

A central lesson from decades of research on the economics of technological innovation, and especially innovations in ICTs, is that it is possible to “leapfrog” generations of technology, for example, to wireless networks without extensive fixed line networks. But it is not possible or desirable to “leapfrog” towards a universal knowledge society. This is a misleading view because it does not take into account the many non-technical arrangements that need to be in place for earlier generations of technology to be bypassed. Those who study this process are increasingly acknowledging that socio-economic and cultural change occurs in very complex ways. Information and knowledge are not the same because knowledge requires interpretation by human beings. What matters is participation in change, enabling people's choices, values, preferences and voices to be expressed, heard and taken into account. A renewed vision of knowledge societies must not take the impacts of digital technology for granted. It must not ignore the complex and unpredictable ways in which technological innovation is coupled with other changes in all areas of society.

The vision of knowledge societies must be one that affirms the core aspirations for peaceful and sustainable knowledge societies in a way that acknowledges the interests of all stakeholders.

In the remainder of this report, we focus on the challenges of managing the production and accessibility of information for peace and sustainable development (section 4) and the ways in which access to digital information can be facilitated (section 5). In section 6 we provided selected illustrations from which lessons can be learned about how best to achieve the vision of equitable, just and sustainable knowledge societies. In section 7, we outline some recommendations for UNESCO’s forward strategy for knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development.
4 Managing the Production of Information and Knowledge

One of the most important challenges for knowledge societies is the adoption and the enforcement of an appropriate public policy for managing the processes and institutions involved in the production and circulation of information within these societies. Such a policy ought to involve many sectors of society and requires the concertation of different ministries (education, science, industry, justice, etc.) and citizen and consumer groups in order to ensure that these developments are enabling.

The production of knowledge results from a process involving individuals, but which also benefits from collective activities. Before the industrial revolution, knowledge was produced mainly by academics, artists, writers and inventors who worked in relatively isolated ways and did not need huge investments. Today, private and public laboratories often employ internal and external teams in which many thousands of researchers are responsible for knowledge production efforts and the outcomes. They rely on large budgets from private and public funds. They can count on public support and even private laboratories benefit from public subsidies and tax deductions from States that compete to attract them.

Knowledge is also produced and circulated in schools and universities. Most modern societies acknowledge that access to these institutions should be available to all. Unfortunately, allocated resources are, in many cases, not sufficient and the level of access remains too low. There can be no real knowledge society where access to basic education is not ensured to all.

We know little about the cognitive aspects of the creative process but one thing is obvious to mere observation: a new idea or a new product is never entirely new. It results in greater or lesser part from an original combination of elements already known. Creations always borrow something from the collective heritage, the collections in previous books, works of art, popular music, traditional tales and so on. This means that creation is a blend of individual and collective contributions.\textsuperscript{51} This is even more the case in an era in which digital mash-ups and remixes are relatively simple to create.\textsuperscript{52} The management of the benefits of creative production should take its double origins into account.
Since the Antiquity, most philosophers and scientists have thought that knowledge should be accessible to all and that it is their duty to promote it. Artists also want people to be given the opportunity to enjoy their productions. Of course, writers, scientists and artists also wish to earn a living from their activity. The question is how a society should organize access to information while encouraging the creation and production of knowledge. This is perhaps the most crucial issue for the future of knowledge societies because it goes to the very heart of how we value knowledge socially, not just economically. Historically, the intellectual property rights regime was developed to balance the interests of creators and those wishing to access their works. This balance was reflected in Article 27 in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights: “Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits. Everyone has the right to the protection of the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which he is the author”. This balance sought to provide a reasonably large public domain in which everyone could benefit from access to information. Over time, however, this public domain has been squeezed with greater attention to information ownership rights and less to authorial or moral rights, and there are many innovative ways in which people can now share information in a digital common.

Charlotte Hess and 2009 Nobel Laureate in Economic Science, Elinor Ostrom, argue that knowledge is a collective resource and a non-rival common good. This means that sharing information does not lead to what Garrett Hardin called the “tragedy of the commons” referring to the excessive use of natural commons (water, forest, fishery), if access is not regulated. In fact, community pastures could quickly be destroyed by uncontrolled use of individuals who look only to maximize their own benefits when not managed through formal or informal norms and conventions. However, information survives individual appropriation; it is non-rival in use. Furthermore, its value and usefulness increase with diffusion. In reverse, excessively limited access impedes its stimulating effect on other creators and can lead to what the lawyer Michael Heller calls the “tragedy of the anticommons”.

Does this mean that access to information and knowledge facilities should always be free? Promoters of the Creative Commons do not intend this. They offer six types of license, defining progressive conditions of access which correspond to different levels of intellectual property control. The Creative Commons movement acknowledges that
individual contributions to a creative work can be recompensed if an information good is commercialized. But Creative Commons and other similar initiatives try to maximize creativity and access in contrast to business models based on existing copyright law which tries to limit access strictly to consumers who are able to pay the market price determined by the copyright holders, with relatively narrow provisions for ‘fair dealing’ or ‘fair use’.

Even if information which is produced and shared in a knowledge commons helps to contribute to widespread creativity and learning in knowledge societies, it has to be recognized that some information also has strategic value for businesses that can reasonably expect a return on their investment to produce it. Huge amounts of money are sometimes needed for years to create a new information product or service. Knowledge societies should adequately protect intellectual property to favour such investments. But such protection and restricted access have been extended to far too long after the creator’s death.\textsuperscript{59} Completely open information access would result in a disincentive system to creation. But, an all-encompassing direct payment system would be too exclusive, restricting access and also restraining creation. The challenge is to find a balanced solution between the two extreme options that would be socially acceptable and economically viable.

Economic rewards for the creation of digital information are especially important to an economic sector identified since the 1990s as the creative industries in which creation plays a key role. Definitions vary, but the creative industries sector usually refers to the cultural and media industries, adding to the list architecture, design, craftsmanship, the digital equipment and software industry and advertising publicity.\textsuperscript{60} Workers in this sector must be well-trained to master specific knowledge and skills. The development of the creative industries therefore requires high quality training programmes in addition to the freedom and encouragement to contribute in innovative and creative ways. In view of the growing contribution of the creative industries to national economic output, most countries are seeking to adopt policies to develop their creative industries to strengthen their competitiveness in the global economy.\textsuperscript{61}

However, societies are also increasingly characterized by decentralized individual action and we would add collective action – “new and important cooperative and coordinate action carried out through radically distributed, nonmarket mechanisms that do not depend on proprietary strategies plays a much greater role than it did, or could have, in
the industrial information economy”.62 Our strategic vision for enabling the production and accessibility of digital information in knowledge societies rejects a dogmatic opposition between proprietary market-led approaches and the digital information commons. Adjustments to the policy environment should be encouraged to enable market and commons-based creative activity to proceed in parallel.

In addition, experience has shown that the best outcomes for all stakeholders can be expected when the private stakeholders in the marketplace and State actors play complementary roles instead of fighting each other. Market players should stimulate competition and provide sufficient investment and incentives for innovation. The State, for its part, should support high quality education, good market governance and a well-balanced system to protect intellectual property and facilitate universal access to digital information. Civil society actors should be involved in the definition and the management of information-related activities in which they are stakeholders. Participatory initiatives usually produce better results than top-down initiatives. Prioritizing participation is a good way to ensure policies that are owned and favoured by grassroots groups.

Finally, public broadcasting systems, in line with their public service missions, have a double responsibility to promote cultural and knowledge production and to facilitate access to this production by the whole of society. They should renew their missions in the light of the development of the internet and the creative industries. UNESCO could play a coordination role in organizing meetings and circulating information to share experience and innovative experiments. Public service media in the wealthy countries should be encouraged to work in cooperation with countries without a strong tradition of public service to give people access to diverse and locally relevant content via the internet or via older broadcast media forms, without importing broadcasting industry and regulatory models that are insensitive to local conditions. These initiatives should acknowledge that there are substantial differences between public broadcasting models from State controlled to public independent and community initiated.

There are many illustrations of efforts to restore the balance between the information market and the commons. For example, the Access to Knowledge Movement seeks improved access to digital information services and to knowledge embedded in goods, as well as to the digital technologies which enable individual and distributed collective knowledge production.63 In addition, copyleft licenses use copyright law to maintain the
openness of the intellectual property. The GNU General Public Licenses (GNU GPL) emerged from the free software movement, later inspiring the Creative Commons (CC) open content licensing system mentioned above which has been especially helpful in promoting access to educational resources. In 2010 it was estimated that there were more than 400 million CC licenses.

There is a need for training in the area of intellectual property protection itself. For example, there is a need for specific courses in this area with a focus on development issues and the challenges of accessing knowledge in key areas such as climate change, food security, population health, public education, gender equity and poverty reduction. Issues to be addressed include the role of health sector patents; biodiversity, climate change and intellectual copyright law; and the role of copyright in protecting local or indigenous knowledge.

Another issue of particular importance is the question of the curation of digital artefacts of significance for cultural heritage and in the context of science. Open information activities involve participants from formal science and loosely networked groups. Investment in digital curation occurs with a view to the long-term accumulation of useful knowledge, but if this is not openly accessible it is only available to a minority of people. UNESCO’s work on cultural heritage memory and on safeguarding the documentary heritage of humanity provides a basis for the further consideration of how curation issues relate to the management of digital information in the commons. For example, attention needs to be given to the management of ephemeral or short lived information in the digital age, information that is lost because of changing digital formats and standards, or how digital information located in the cloud should be accessed, protected and preserved. It is clear that we know too little about how design principles for the management of the commons should apply in complex areas like the information commons.

From the perspective of institutions in the private and government sectors, open initiatives sometimes seem to present threats to their authority or to compete for financial resources. Open initiatives may be charged with degrading the information commons if they do not operate in line with conventions of information verification or if they are damaging to the public interest. Policy measures are needed to underpin collaborations and partnerships between traditional institutions and distributed networked groups to foster hybrid approaches to managing the information commons.
Effective policy requires a better understanding of emerging forms of collective action in the information commons if it is to encourage the application of information to time critical problems and to accumulate useful knowledge for learning how to address future problems. Within formal science institutions there is intense debate about the enlargement of the public domain and provisions for the ‘fair use’ of copyright protected information. These issues go beyond access to information. The increasing granularity and modularity of information activities supported by new technologies for interaction in the information commons means that there are enormous opportunities for social benefit from synergies between the activities of distributed networked groups and traditional scientific and educational institutions. Every effort should be made to increase collaborations between these groups.

It is insufficient simply to liberate information from the prevailing copyright regime. We emphasize throughout this report that access to information is not a sufficient condition for meeting the goals of knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development. Efforts must be made to understand the conventions, norms and practices relating to the management of information by these different groups and the dynamics of partnerships among public, private and civil society groups.

5 Facilitating Access to Information and the Production of Knowledge

Stimulating the production of information in knowledge societies, which is perceived as being relevant by those who access and apply it in their everyday social lives, and in political and economic contexts, will remain a challenge for policy in the coming decades. This is because access to information is a basic but not a sufficient condition for achieving the goals of knowledge societies. In this section we highlight some of the key domains that need concertation if the vision of knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development is to be achieved. The issues we address are building a network infrastructure for open information and learning; social networking and open data and information; and the importance of genuinely participatory practices in knowledge societies.

5.1 Building the Infrastructure for Open Information and Learning

In the division of responsibilities among United Nations agencies, UNESCO's mandate is indirectly concerned with the network infrastructure that is essential for facilitating access to information. However, even though UNESCO is not directly concerned with the
technical and financial aspects of building the network infrastructure, the social and cultural aspects of its design, operation and use need to inform UNESCO’s policies. Too often it is assumed that if policy goals in the infrastructure domain can be met, e.g. if the technological digital divide is closing as a result of the diffusion of mobile phones, then other aspects of the vision of knowledge societies will fall into place in time. However, the infrastructure for information access can be developed in a way that is more or less favourable to facilitating open access and information and knowledge sharing. Policies in this area need to be coordinated with issues that are within the mandates of a large number of agencies at intergovernmental, including the ITU, and national levels.

A persistent issue for lower income countries is the threat of being left out of technological advances that are central to innovation, well-being and prosperity in knowledge societies. The response is to seek measures to bridge the technological digital divide to improve access to telecommunication networks, the internet and ICT applications. However, this approach does not recognise the fact that innovation in knowledge societies is continuous and that it is insufficient to concentrate primarily on closing technological divides. It is often argued that access to the global network infrastructure is inexpensive relative to the opportunities it provides. Investments in modern telecommunication infrastructures are offering the opportunity for access to international data flows and fibre infrastructure is providing unprecedented opportunities for the global reach of these networks. However, the priority is usually given to connection to the most economically important locations. While the conditions of access are opening opportunities for country-level access, they also focus initially on major cities and ports which already have a substantial lead in providing access to information as compared to other regions of countries. This heightens ‘enclave’ patterns of development and contributes to rapid urbanization which is a feature of modern development, with very mixed consequences especially for environmental sustainability. Wireless access and the expansion of fibre cables means that significant progress has been made over the past decade in extending both fixed network and mobile network access, but this has not always succeeding in providing affordable access. Reproducing ‘universal service’ and striving for higher levels of broadband internet service for individual homes is often prohibitively expensive for lower income countries.

A principal issue for knowledge societies is the increasing complexity of network infrastructure provision. There is an enormous expansion in physical capacity and its
utilization, but complaints about the affordability and availability of access continue. In addition, when it is acknowledged that the design and development of infrastructure is also a gendered activity, there are issues of design, for example, for gender aware telecentre access whether these are private or public, for secure email systems, or for the complexity and functionality of handsets, that need to be considered. These are not usually the primary issues on the minds of infrastructure builders, but they are key issues for UNESCO in view of its aim of ensuring that knowledge societies are inclusive.

A major challenge for infrastructure provision is that, while policies aimed at improving competition are being implemented with the aim of addressing pricing and availability issues, many bottlenecks remain. Some of the problems of extending information access are being addressed by the mobile revolution and mobile telephone data communications is burgeoning. Global mobile traffic as a percentage of total internet traffic rose to 10% in early 2012, up from less than 1% in 2008 (Meeker 2012). A 2012 study exploring how the poorest citizens in South Africa use mobile phones found several key factors which influence the likelihood of those individuals using the internet. Examining the habits of individuals who live on less than US$1.80 per day – defined as those at the ‘base of the pyramid’ (BoP), the major obstacles to internet use by those at the BoP included cost, access and a lack of knowledge. Some 65% of the BoP non-Internet users were reported as not knowing what the Internet was, while 86% of those surveyed did not know how to use it. Although two of the most likely reasons for BoP non-users were related to internet illiteracy, 91% cited having no computer or internet connection compared to 84% of non-users in the ‘rest of the pyramid’ (RoP). Non-internet users in both the BoP and RoP also cited the high cost of Internet services as a barrier to use (60.1% and 59.1% respectively). RoP non-users were more likely to state no interest in the Internet (46% as compared to 34% of BoP non-users), whereas 66% of BoP non-users pointed to their friends not using it as the reason (50% of RoP cited this).

Overall, the study found that mobile phones were the most popular choice for voice and data communication mainly for maintaining contact between friends and family. Mobiles are increasingly replacing computers as the preferred means to access the internet, though the cost of services represents a major deterrent to internet use. The mobile phone is becoming a multi-media access channel and voice and SMS services, which remain relatively expensive, are being overtaken by social media platforms such as free instant messenger services. Similarly, while early Internet users were still choosing to
communicate via email, social media is increasingly popular, particularly among more recent users. The study found that 36% of the BoP in South Africa use social media with MXIT, the most used application. It also found that people in rural communities were distrustful of applications and were suspicious of damage to their phones.  

A study of the use of mobile services by those at the BoP in Kenya found that 60% of survey respondents in Kenya owned a mobile phone in 2012. However, few were aware of the various applications and services available to them through their mobile. Of services that survey respondents were aware of, mobile money transfer services such as M-PESA scored the highest at 98%. Aside from widely recognised calling and SMS services (100% and 97% respectively), 92% of respondents also knew of airtime borrowing services. A very low proportion of respondents (1%) were familiar with internet bundles/services, despite 25% stating they used the internet on their mobiles. Few respondents indicated they were aware of applications such as tracking lost phones (16%), commodity prices (5%), or loyalty schemes (0.3%). A lack of awareness and unsuccessful marketing plans were suggested as the major factors explaining these results. There seemed to be confusion among phone owners at the BoP about the difference between applications and functions, with some users listing basic phone functions such as alarm clocks and calculator as ‘applications’.

Thus, despite the rapid spread of mobile phones at the BoP it is not necessarily possible to distribute information messages to large numbers of subscribers or to support interaction if they are not using the internet. The idea that access to information necessarily increases in parallel with access to mobile phones seems likely to be more rhetoric than reality, at least for those at the BoP. It is important to understand the actual uses people are making of their mobile phones, often to communicate and share information among themselves, and not to make assumptions that patterns of use that are typical in one country or region will be replicated across the world.

Mobile phones address two important issues of access – the investment costs for terminals and the ‘getting started’ costs of digital literacy as a pre-condition for using digital services, but there are important limitations. Mobile services remain expensive partly because mobile services provide a tax base in countries where the means to collect taxes are limited. The success of mobile also has stimulated companies to build infrastructure quickly to generate short-term profits, resulting in an access infrastructure that may hinder or prevent more sophisticated data communication
applications, especially those that rely on high bandwidth video.\textsuperscript{83} Mobile phones clearly are capable of supporting poverty alleviation and improving the conditions of life for those with the least income.\textsuperscript{84} But it is less clear whether the mobile revolution can support more complex information sharing which is increasingly required and which has intensive data communication and display needs that often outrun the capacities of low-end mobile phone terminals.

Telecentres and ‘digital cities’ continue to be used as a means of extending access to information in parallel with the growth of mobile phone use. Telecentres may be organized as private entrepreneurial activities (cyber-cafés) or as government-sponsored or subsidized facilities. Both can support education and learning and local employment. These developments offer a means of mitigating access problems where widespread access is problematic, where the costs of individual or family access are prohibitive relative to income levels, or where there are substantial shortcomings in skills or knowledge.\textsuperscript{85} However, the problem of the economic sustainability of these initiatives continues to face public agencies and civil society organizations as well as entrepreneurial companies, especially when they need to scale up to provide access for data intensive applications. Although resources may be available to establish telecentres, or even new towns which aggregate network capacity, investments often do not include ongoing support for the costs of personnel and maintenance.

Overall, the rapid acceleration of information access opportunities at the country level has not eliminated the challenges of physical access to networks. Despite the major expansion in country level connection to the network infrastructure, there are substantial imbalances between countries and within country networks lag behind major or capital city developments; this remains an important policy area. In addition, the challenge of physical access applies to the sites where access is facilitated. Without consideration of cultural and socio-economic conditions, women and other disadvantaged groups may be excluded even where the technical infrastructure is in place.

The governance of the internet raises issues that are too numerous to discuss in this report. However, open access to information will be influenced by whether or not the internet remains a relatively open environment for creative interactions among stakeholders who engage in the information commons. Approaches to internet governance differ at the national level throughout the world and these issues are mostly
discussed at the global level, for example, in governance institutions like ICANN or the Internet Governance Forum. Empirical examples of what is being done at the national level are needed so that comparisons can be drawn and examined for the lessons they provide. Developments in this area need to be coordinated with the organizations and agencies that are concerned with how the internet and other digital applications are being developed.

5.2 **Social Networking and Open Data and Information**

The internet offers the potential for global collaboration in the creation of information resources that can be shared by all and used as a foundation for initiatives in the private sector and for meeting cultural, social and political needs. The variety and volume of open data and information are rapidly expanding.

The open software movement provides a good illustration of the way stakeholder interests can converge around the open data and information. From the experience of software developers in the Western industrialized countries to developments in Asia and the Middle East, this form of software development is proving to be attractive to governments and companies as well as to distributed developer communities who volunteer their time without being associated with any established institution. There is also increasing evidence of hybrid forms of cooperation between public, private and civil society groups, demonstrating multiple possibilities for effectively managing information in the commons. UNESCO is involved in developing policy guidelines for the development and promotion of open access and this should be continued.

Open data and information activities increasingly involve crowdsourcing. Crowdsourcing refers to voluntary activities engaged in by participants in large-scale planned and spontaneous online interaction. This activity is not always associated with the information commons since it may be steered and captured for commercial purposes. Nevertheless, crowdsourcing is becoming an increasingly prevalent feature of knowledge societies and often is aimed at scientific or social problem solving. Access to crowdsourcing tools and applications is unevenly distributed globally, but geodata collection, data aggregation, analysis and publication are being supported by information commons platforms.

Ushahidi (Swahili for ‘testimony’ or ‘witness’), a non-profit technology company, was born out of the post-election violence in Kenya in 2008. It is a crowdsourcing platform
enabling the mapping of incidents of violence or other activities. 'Citizen journalists', who may be individual citizens or people working with smaller or larger organizations, submit reports, via mobile or through computer access, which are then be hosted on the website. This approach to 'information collection' has attracted attention for its flexibility, both in terms of who can contribute and who can benefit. With clients now including the World Bank, the United Nations and Al Jazeera, the Ushahidi platform has been used to track cases of violence and unrest, humanitarian crises and medical shortages as well swine flu outbreaks and elections. An intention is to democratise information by increasing transparency and encouraging users to share their knowledge. Though it has received a largely positive response, the platform faces some limitations in terms of monitoring the quality of data and the difficulty for some communities to access the technology.  

OpenStreetMap is used for collecting geographical data using an editable map which can be viewed anywhere in the world. Open source information platforms, open mapping and data enable citizens to generate information critical for their lives and livelihoods, although it does not necessarily follow that citizens are able to access the information they generate and apply it to make a difference in their lives. These technologies are often used in conjunction with commercial online services for publishing and sharing content, such as YouTube and an array of digital media such as geographical positioning system (GPS) devices and video cameras. Such tools are being developed as well by companies such as Google's Map Marker, TeleAtlas's Map Insight and Navtek's Map Reporter.

Crowdsourcing sometimes resembles ‘crowdpushing’ where third parties or intermediaries play a significant role in deciding how information may be accessed and used. It has been suggested that crowdsourcing is being promoted by a ‘new elite’ that is “wary of overtly signalling the power dimensions of crowdsourcing”. Even if this is not always the case, the simple availability of tools provides little insight into the power relations among participants or whether this form of cooperation is sustainable in the information commons. Analysis shows that open data and information approaches need to be based, not only on open access to content, but also open processes and participatory approaches if they are to be sustainable in the face of pressures toward closed or proprietary systems.
Open data, ‘big data’ and ‘real time’ data and information in today’s knowledge societies may be linked to empowerment through the potential for expanding individual freedoms, supporting participatory processes, and enabling learning. The important lesson is that development goals need to take priority when choices are made about whether to support open or proprietary approaches. Attention also needs to be given to the cultural, social and political contexts in which applications of digital technologies are being introduced including issues of privacy and surveillance.

Social networking is essential for the filtering, referral, and adaptation as well as for sharing information involved in generating and applying knowledge. It offers many new means for sustaining collective action and market-led activities, but not necessarily ones that are fit for building peaceful and sustainable knowledge societies. It does not always follow that particular uses of social networking will be related to the generation and sharing of useful or relevant knowledge, especially as perceived by individuals in their lives.

All these developments raise important issues about the institutional arrangements that are needed to create incentives for the provision of both proprietary and open media and information services. If there is an imbalance which favours mainly market-led proprietary services, there is a risk that people will become locked into the consumption of digital services as consumers. Where this occurs, less emphasis is given to developing their potential to express their creativity by developing their abilities to produce content and information through remixing or recombining digital information in open interactive environments as citizens. If policy measures encourage a better balance of market and non-market based digital service environments through innovative approaches to the management of intellectual property, a broader spectrum of rights to access and use digital information could be established. This would create many new opportunities for content creation and sharing, for the preservation of digital content, and for the conditions under which citizens are permitted to circulate information for private and public purposes. In open information environments, the problem of information scarcity is reduced (or different), but the abundance of digital information means there is need for training and education about how to access and share knowledge effectively as well as a need for innovative approaches to legal arrangements for the treatment of digital information as valuable for public purposes as well as for commercial gain.
5.3 Towards Participatory Practice in Knowledge Societies

There is always a tension between those favouring technology-driven strategies, often accompanied by top-down planning and action, and those who favour strategies based on an understanding the local conditions of use. In knowledge societies today, policy and strategic initiatives can be located in the quadrants shown below, depending on whether a 'top down' or 'bottom up' approach is prominent and on whether an initiative is driven by assumptions about what technology 'can do' or by participatory action which takes account of local interests and contexts.

![Quadrants of Knowledge Societies Strategy & Action]

It is important to assess how the conflicting interests among private, public and civil society stakeholders are being addressed through UNESCO and other organizations' strategies and actions. It is crucial to remember that "the realm of the technologically feasible is far greater than the realm of the economically profitable and the socially acceptable".\textsuperscript{103} If a renewed vision of the contribution of digital technologies to peaceful and sustainable knowledge societies is to become a reality, strategies and actions must foster new combinations of bottom up and top down approaches that acknowledge differences in stakeholder interests, but work with them to devise creative solutions.\textsuperscript{104}

Even when social media are designed to facilitate inclusive participation in knowledge societies, this does not mean they are always applied in this way. An important feature of UNESCO's strategy should address measures that favour inclusive, bottom up participation. Participation is a central notion in some areas of development thinking and practice. Following Robert Chambers’ and others’ work, participatory measures include approaches and methods through which "people are facilitated to do things for themselves".\textsuperscript{105} Participatory approaches seek to emphasize the democratization of
information. Examples include initiatives undertaken with coalitions of interested practitioners, action research projects aimed at enabling local voices and preferences to play a substantial role in the development of applications, and studies of the feasibility of involving a more inclusive range stakeholders in policy debates, such as those facilitated by the WSIS and the WSIS+10 reviews. This emphasis is shared with open source software developers, civic hackers, and other online communities of practitioners who address developmental and democratic challenges through bottom up collaboration.

This is not an easy approach because much of the work on the potential of digital technologies for knowledge societies is undertaken by groups or individuals with technical expertise. There are examples of digital applications that draw on technical expertise, and try to embed it in their practices. However, apparently empowering and participatory resources (technological or institutional) can entrench the power of minorities or elites that know best how to use them. It is crucial to reach out to those who are not the key players in policy forums, beyond the “netterati” or internet community, to those who are seeking to improve their everyday and working lives. Experience indicates that: “while obviously needed, neither technological knowledge nor local knowledge and connections are necessarily the most important factors in making open ICTs work for development. What is most essential is a conscious appreciation of the key issue of how to make different actors work together, in a new context which mostly involves breaching and rearranging institutional boundaries and organizational structures.” Specialized organizations need to work with all the involved actors to explore issues of power, new means of organizing volunteerism and how such projects can yield the clearest useful outcomes for the communities that hope to benefit from them. These issues raise major challenges of accountability for all stakeholders, especially as it becomes clear that when information asymmetries are not addressed, enhanced participation has relatively little impact.

In addition, when development organizations invest in digital technologies and networks for managing their internal and external operations, they often neglect to invest sufficiently in their local partner organizations. This is becoming an even greater problem in the open data and information era. Efforts are needed to secure open access to information which can be shared; but data also need to be linked and managed in a way that will be seen as meaningful by local participants. Open data initiatives also raise issues of data privacy and the licensing conditions enforced by university and other institutional ‘open’ data archives need to be considered when efforts are made to employ
Questions also need to be addressed about whether complex data visualizations should be given priority for research purposes or whether simpler and more accessible visualizations are better for communicating with local participants so that they can apply the information and take action to address their problems. When considering the implications of 'Web 3.0' technologies for development which go beyond the interactive features of the Web to embrace new semantic tools, it is essential to assess what developmental changes are desired (and by whom) and the potential role of information and knowledge in creating that change. It should not simply be assumed that the new technology applications will lead to the desired change. The communities supporting participatory development and technologists who champion open (linked) data and information rarely intersect. This is so even though they often have a common focus on faster and cheaper data collection, data sharing, data aggregation and transparent publication. Improved cross-fertilization between these groups would support a better understanding of technologically mediated participation.

In the next section, we highlight lessons from selected areas where efforts are being made to accumulate experience in the development and use of ICTs. Some of these seem to be working, while others are less successful or perceived as failures by some of their stakeholders. Our aim is to highlight the learning opportunities for intergovernmental agencies, donor agencies, governments, businesses, civil society organizations and individuals. In line with the history of innovation in all fields, but especially in the case of ICTs that are widely recognized as General Purpose Technologies (GPTs), it is as essential to learn from mistakes, as it is to learn from practices that are working. Digital technologies and the spread of networks are different from many other technological and organizational innovations because the consequences of their development and use reach into every corner of society with uncertain outcomes. The magnitude of the changes associated with them is also substantially greater than it is for innovations that do not have these characteristics.

6 Selected Interesting Initiatives: Towards Knowledge Societies

Digital technologies are being put to work in line with UNESCO's 2005 vision of knowledge societies and much can be learned from this experience. However, as this vision is renewed to give greater emphasis to peace and sustainable development goals,
it is important to examine what has changed and what can be learned. Knowledge societies are about human development. They are not emerging in isolation from other large-scale changes including shifts in economic power, major political, social and cultural transitions. Not all ICT-related applications are benign. The WSIS debates and UNESCO’s vision were set out before the mobile internet, social networks and other Web 2.0 applications took off. Facebook was founded only in 2004, and cloud computing was a distant dream at the time, at least economically. The governance and policy context for knowledge societies is increasingly unpredictable and this poses many new challenges. The policy environment needs to be flexible enough to ensure that stakeholders do not become locked into unsustainable pathways as a result of a failure to reflect and act upon issues as they arise.

UNESCO’s mandate covers the areas shown below: e-learning, e-science, linguistic diversity & local content, freedom of expression & media, and ethical dimensions of the information society. As the overarching vision of knowledge societies for peaceful and sustainable development is renewed, it is timely to consider how the ongoing development of these societies can be better aligned with development priorities which themselves are shifting.

Changes in digital technologies and applications mean that every segment of society needs to learn formally or informally about how best to facilitate access to information and knowledge (local and global) and its useful application, especially from the perspective of those whose lives are most affected by the values and priorities in the knowledge societies in which they live.
6.1 Learning From Successes and Failures

Not all initiatives aimed at building knowledge societies are concerned with the potential for the empowerment of local communities or disadvantaged and excluded groups. Those that emphasize pathways towards peace and sustainable development often take a bottom up, participatory approach. There is a tendency for reports aimed at reviewing the experiences of knowledge societies to highlight mainly the "success" cases, often without providing insight into why they are labeled as successful, or for whom they are successful. In practice, success may be limited in time or reach, or perceived as such by some stakeholders and not by others. Success may be evaluated mainly from the donor agency's perspective or in terms of whether a private sector actor generates a reasonable rate of return on its investment. However, success needs to be evaluated in the light of the interests and aspirations of all those who hope to engage effectively in their knowledge societies.

The experience of an ICT project in Tanzania using mobiles to send SMS messages demonstrates this point clearly. Daraji an NGO in Tanzania introduced a project, 'Maji Matone' (water drops), with the aim of encouraging citizens to bring pressure to bear on authorities to maintain and repair broken water pumps. The local communities were to send SMS messages on the state of the pumps. Some 3,000 text messages were projected at the outset, but only 53 were received. On investigation, the NGO became aware that the sensitivity of relationships between communities and the authorities had not been adequately considered, that water collection was mainly women's and children's work, and that it was men who had the mobile phones. There was also limited mobile coverage and problems with electricity supply. The NGO publicly reported this experience with the aim of learning from this failure.\textsuperscript{115} But as they put it, "admitting failure in this way is easy to support in theory, but much harder to do in practice. It may be accepted practice in the for-profit world, but it's uncomfortable for a donor-dependent NGO".\textsuperscript{116}

Other organizations which try to learn from failures highlight mismatches in expectations about time frames, problems in capturing information, difficulties in engaging with everyone concerned, challenges of scaling up initial projects, and problems in deciding whether to move from public subsidy to commercial operation. Other reasons for failure may be associated with a lack of transparency or changes in internal and external power dynamics.\textsuperscript{117} These experiences confirm the importance of learning from both successes and failures.
In this section, we highlight initiatives involving different combinations of participants. Many initiatives aimed at developing knowledge societies involving digital technologies and access to information are organized around two core themes: Media for Development, which focuses primarily on digital content and its circulation and consumption; and ICTs for Development, which focuses primarily on hardware and software and their applications. We cannot offer a systematic review of every segment of society in which digital networks and access to information and knowledge are crucial. We do not give examples from every country or region of the world and we cannot capture all of the accumulated experience in the public, private and civil society sectors. Instead, in this section we draw lessons from illustrative initiatives that we are familiar with or researchers and practitioners known to us are familiar with (in a few instances, we draw on cases cited in the literature). The three dimensional matrix (i. market/commons; ii. public, private, civil society led or hybrid collaborations and iii. the six themes we have elected to focus on in this report) below provides a guide to the multiple features of these initiative which are often combined in interesting ways.

The aim of this section is to highlight learning opportunities and to provide an empirical basis for the recommendations for UNESCO’s priorities which follow in Section 7.

6.2  **Formal and Informal Education and Learning**

Formal and information education are associated with UNESCO’s work in response to the WSIS Action Lines - e-learning and e-Science. The ‘e’ is coming to be associated with an
enormously broad range of digital technologies, networks and services. The ‘e’ draws attention to the significance of the online world, but it needs to complemented by attention to the interactions between the new digital applications and the continuing importance of the offline spaces in which people actively engage in learning. We have emphasized the changes in formal and informal education. But since ICTs are pervasive technologies, they are supporting learning across all segments of society. This means that greater emphasis needs to be given to informal learning, e.g. learning-by-doing and learning-by-using. These developments happen outside the boundaries of ‘educational’ institutions and, often outside the boundaries – or at least on the borderline – with scientific activity and this is an important relatively new phenomenon.

With the expansion of network connectivity, thousands of students and life-long learners are using open education sites. These vary in scale, language of instruction, whether they provide certificates, and in their approaches to pedagogy. UNESCO’s 2012 Paris Open Educational Resources Declaration calls for greater awareness and use of these resources, improved infrastructure and literacy, and understanding of open content licensing frameworks. Many initiatives support worldwide learning opportunities and they offer content developed by some of the world’s leading western universities. Locally sourced content and mobile enabled learning interactions are being developed in some areas of the world, often involving children, but “from Pakistan to Peru and beyond, experience shows that while there are numerous examples of how technology is used to the great benefit of teachers and learners alike, there are also many cases in which it does little to impact educational processes and outcomes”.

Some authors raise critical questions about the dominance of a particularly Western view of education. Following Arendt, education means not only learning 'know what', it also means learning to be, to coexist with others and to care for others as we emphasize in Section 2 of this report. This view of education aligns well with UNESCO's emphasis on cultural diversity and on knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development. This should be an important consideration in UNESCO's future work.

There are many examples of educational initiatives in the creative industry sector which offer training that goes beyond the technical skills base required for media production to enable people to acquire abilities to express themselves. The Wapikoni mobile initiative in Canada is a good illustration.
The Wapikoni mobile is a ten year old programme initiated by the Canadian film maker Manon Barbeau in cooperation with The Assembly of First Nations’ National Youth Council (AFN NYC) and the Atikamekw Nation Council, with the support of the Quebec and Labrador Assembly of First Nations and the National Film Board of Canada. Every summer since 2004, the two Wapikoni mobile units, equipped with video and music production facilities, circulate among aboriginal communities where they stop for four weeks, offering young people the opportunity to produce their own works, with the support of a professional film maker. At the end of the process, the works are presented and discussed during a community meeting. The products are also accessible on a website and presented at international festivals where they have won several awards.

This initiative gives the young aboriginal people in Canada the opportunity to express their ideas and their feelings; to re-connect with their traditions and their culture; and to contribute to the creation of an aboriginal filmography. It even helps to counter dropping out, drug addiction and suicide. The Wapikoni mobile is a participatory project that involves media professionals, young people and the authorities of First Nations communities. It is funded by government subsidies, companies and lay people's donations – demonstrating the feasibility of hybrid organizational approaches.

Another example of an holistic approach to education and learning is being supported in Brazil by the Universidade Católica Don Bosco. In this case, the outreach emphasizes the importance of physical place in the educational process, rather than the technology alone.

A Brazilian holistic approach
Antônio Brand, who passed away in 2012, was an historian at the Universidade Católica Don Bosco in Campo Grande, Rio Grande do Sur, who dedicated his life to the emancipation of the Kaiowa-Guarani communities. Noticing that the young aboriginals coming to town to get university degrees were eradicated from their community when they often did not return, Antônio Brand decided to reverse the movement and to bring academic training to the aboriginal villages. The students stayed in their community during and after their training. The approach was systemic and was thought as an opportunity to rediscover and re-appropriate the Kaiowa-Guarani culture and traditions, in the agriculture field as well as in craft production and environmental protection. Efforts were made to stop the monoculture and to reintroduce various traditional seeds that were almost forgotten. The project, conducted with the active participation of the local communities, was funded by the University Don Bosco, the Ford Foundation and public subsidies.

Education and learning are equally important in all sectors. Improved access to social media is providing opportunities for learning aimed at improving the coordination of trade across organizational and across international boundaries. Training in this area involves much more than the technical skills of using ICT-based systems to support trade. The coordination of supply networks and changes in the global division of labour, which supports the continuing rise in intra-industry trade flows, means that industry component and sub-system supply has to cope with the increasing pace and volume of
global trade in commodities. More timely information or very detailed information about product quality, production and logistical timing, are required and this involves collaborative learning.  

Remote Tracking for Coffee Growers in Costa Rica

Digital networks are enabling the product to be certified as meeting organic and fair trade standards. SourceTrace, a private company working with local cooperatives and companies, developed a system. A software module enables data errors to be reduced and improves information analysis. A web-based dashboard developed with the cooperative, Coopetarrazú, enables visualization of the supply chain and its management. SourceTrace is also operating in India to support agricultural trade in Mumbai, emphasizing ‘complex simplicity’ to provide point of sale devices and other e-services relating to transactions. Mobile banking applications are also being developed.

This example highlight the way digital systems can reduce the need for technical training, but create opportunities for learning which increases understanding of complex supply-chains involved in global trade. Building the knowledge to support these productive abilities requires improvements in the quality and affordability of the network infrastructure and in the market conditions for technically skilled employees. But it also requires employees who can engage in new forms of collaborative learning. This knowledge is not easily acquired through formal education; it requires experience and opportunities to learn by doing that are sensitive to global requirements and to local cultural conditions.

Another crucial area, which involves learning, relates to the reverse engineering of products. Patenting as a form of knowledge protection is an important issue in knowledge societies. In this case efforts are being made to work within the framework of the TRIPS agreement to develop flexible ‘utility models’ of intellectual property protection to support industry. This model has been used in China and India to offer a limited amount of patent protection to encourage local firms to invest in reverse engineering to support learning about technological innovation. This weaker form of IPR protection has lower requirements for inventive steps or novelty and is usually granted for only a few years. It has been used, for example, in the pharmaceutical sector.

In Uganda, a joint venture between a local company, Quality Chemicals Industries, was created with a major developing country manufacturer of generic drugs, Cipla Pharmaceuticals, for the production of high quality, low cost ARVs and anti-malaria drugs for the East Africa region. The Government of Uganda offered incentives to encourage Cipla to choose a local firm with the aim of building up local production capacity.
This illustrates the need to find flexible ways of rebalancing incentives for intellectual property protection. There is a need to compare and contrast not just the institutional arrangements for accessing information and knowledge, but also the pedagogical approaches that are employed to support formal and informal learning within all sectors of knowledge societies.

6.3 **Media & Mediated Content**

The arrangements for the production of older and newer media, including the press, play a crucial role in facilitating freedom of expression, especially if open principles are upheld. 'Freedom of Expression & Media' and 'Linguistic Diversity & Local Content' are key components of UNESCO’s mandate as is freedom of information and promoting a multilingual mediated environment. Building on the extension of wireless coverage and access to mobile phone, communities are benefiting from opportunities for artistic and cultural production. However, finding ways of fostering the independence of the media, providing opportunities for the production and distribution of local content through the mainstream media, and finding the financial resources to sustain efforts to develop local content continue to be challenges. Even though the internet offers an enormous variety of opportunities for mediated content which enables the representation of diverse interests, identities and cultures, in practice, there are many lessons to be learned about how to ensure that initiatives are participatory and become sustainable.

Community radio provides an "old media" means of participatory communication when it is permitted to flourish, although it may not always be representative or non-partisan. Combined with other digital technologies such as digital video cameras, opportunities are opening for the production of local content and for training local reporters.

| In India, Video Volunteers does training of trainers and helps set up community radio and video stations. They started IndiaUnheard which selects “community correspondents” and trains them to record stories from their communities. They have community correspondents in over 15 states, some of whom live in such remote areas that they have to walk some three kilometers to post a CD to Video Volunteer’s office. The correspondents choose issues relevant to their communities and the VideoVolunteer team does not interfere in this choice. Video Volunteers also takes action to overcome problems, e.g. if a story on the Government Food Produce Shop (Ration Shop) shows it is not operating properly, then the team will train the correspondent to take action against the official in charge. Videos are distributed through YouTube, Facebook, and blip.tv and there is a contract with a mainstream national English news channel. A weekly half hour slot is used to present some of the stories and the correspondents are paid directly for the content. 130 |

In this case, the aim is to link the local media with mainstream media news, strengthening the reach of community media and enabling people exposed mainly to
mainstream media to become aware of community media. There are issues of sustainability and scalability because government policy did not permit commercial sponsorship of community radio in India in early 2013. Big companies like Coca Cola were ready to put advertisements on community radio to penetrate the rural market, but this was not permitted and Video Volunteers is dependent on funding from external organizations.131

The use of video and other modes of visual expression can open up many new possibilities. For example, the Digital Story Telling project involves the co-construction of information artefacts, enabling people to express themselves and evaluate their environments.

In the Digital Story Telling project, the process of story creation and the communication of 'unheard' voices were actively encouraged. Partly sponsored by the Dutch funded Information Knowledge Management Emergent Programme, videos of the personal narratives of women such as dowry victims helped affirm personal, subjective and domestic perspectives. Stories of women about domestic violence were broadcast using digital formats affording strong legitimacy to their voices and struggles. 132

This project was designed to serve as an outlet of expression for marginalized communities, creating a basis for a bottom-up development discourse. 'Digital story telling' enabled diverse audience reactions and interpretations. But, questions about control and rights to information had to be considered. As a response to the perception that Northern development organizations lack adequate knowledge of the Southern realities they seek to change and of the perceptions of those realities by local populations, this project was seen as supporting local communication and linkages among digital storytellers.133

An example of another participatory media project shows how a mix of older and newer technologies can be used to enable information access and communication within Aboriginal communities in Australia. Until recently, advanced information sources were not well suited to indigenous people in Australia. Visual and oral communication, rather than literacy associated with Western forms of reading and writing, has been the mainstay of their culture.

Australian Mobile Video Story Tellers – Aboriginal Communities Apple iPhone applications were used to bring video stories from Outback Australia to Aboriginal people in their own communities. Video devices were added to the mobile phone. Young people collected stories about remote communities where they live, using their own meaning systems and stories told with the visual medium of video. The technology is relatively low cost and operates at the plug and play level. It has been adapted for Northern Territory Mobile Journalists, MOJOs – young
Aborigines who connect for training with the Bachelor Institute of Indigenous Education, a regional post-secondary institution supported by the Australian Federal Government. Projects such as MOJOs are considered to signify "the reinvention of indigenous media". This approach is serving many purposes. It is sustaining the oral and visual cultural traditions of storytelling; training young people in the use of preferred new media technologies; and capturing and storing potentially lost ethnographic material from tribal elders. It is supporting the development of reporting and journalism skills that can contribute to community development and cultural preservation. It is also generating opportunities for employment through entrepreneurial innovation by connecting to the national television station dedicated to Aboriginal culture, National Indigenous Television (NITV). It is providing easy to understand health education and support for young people in remote communities and offering opportunities for video blogging about political and traditional matters.

The need for bottom up approaches to media production is also apparent in examples from the global North. The experience of the Philadelphia Public Interest Information Network illustrates how the use of networks can respond to citizen needs for information.

**Philadelphia Public Interest Information Network (PPIIN)** As commercial interests extend their ownership of news and information the PPIIN has emerged to enhance local access to information for journalists and the public through collaborative journalism. Funded by a US$2.4 million grant from the Philadelphia's William Penn Foundation, PPIIN is intended to support citizen information flows. Collaborating with the Philadelphia Inquirer and purchasing OpenData Philly.com means that PPIIN offers public interest news and information free of financial considerations and is providing open access to 175 public data sets.

The goal is for PPIIN to influence ideas about what it means to have engaged and knowledgeable citizens who seek news and information about their everyday lives for free and to help to generate new models of digital journalism. It is a response to pressure from commercial media interests to commercialize content, as traditional newspapers and creative industry companies face financial pressures and erect ‘pay walls’ to protect their content. In all these cases, it must be recognized that citizen initiatives may not always be governed in ways that are consistent with values of freedom of expression – this is an empirical question, not something that should be assumed.

Traditional formats such as community television are also benefiting from new online opportunities to enable people to become aware of themselves and their communities,
but in the global North they are facing financial challenges as in the case of pop-up Digital Studios in Ireland.

**DCTV pop-up Digital Studios, Dublin Ireland** Broadcasting on the cable system since 2007, in 2011 Dublin Community Television responded to the country’s economic crisis by developing a model of ‘pop up’ shop front studios. Digital production facilities have been installed in retail units left empty by the country’s economic crash. Operated by unemployed young people with the support of media students and community media activists, they produce TV shows which are broadcast via cable and shared online under a Creative Commons license. The shop front studio in the City Centre provides a base for more than 20 production groups from youth centres, communities of interest and local community development projects. ’Bosco Talks’ from the Drimnagh area is entering its fourth season and has been an important community tool in an area marked by high unemployment, drugs and crime. In 2011, a pop up studio was operated for the summer in an empty unit in the Ballymun suburb. 137

In this case, the Irish government’s overly commercial approach has meant that the pop-up Digital Studio project, which was inspired by Brazilian Cultural Hotspots, can access broadband networks only at very high cost where this connectivity is available. Community TV in Ireland is not transmitted on the newly launched free DTT system, Saorview, as the stations have been quoted figures of €800,000 p.a. for transmission of their programming.

These illustrations highlight some of the barriers to achieving bottom up, sustainable and participatory engagement in knowledge societies in the context of media production. This is despite the availability of new outlets and online platforms.

6.4 **Freedom of Expression, Freedom of Information and Political Transparency**

There also are questions about whether and how these media productions and information flows mobilizing action. UNESCO’s mandate links freedom of expression, freedom of information, linguistic diversity and local content with democracy. In knowledge societies the practice of democracy is changing and being challenged by the ways digital applications give rise to political transparency and to new forms of participatory action.

There are many examples of the use of digital technologies and social media from Facebook to Twitter to blogs being used by citizens to challenge existing political structures, in the case of the Arab Spring or other flashpoints and sites of conflict. These developments are widely seen as providing opportunities for people to exercise their rights to freedom of expression. However, these developments need to be considered in the wider framework of the changes in the information environment and in society.
Technologies do not automatically lead to change aligned with peace and sustainable development.

6.4.1 Open Data and Transparency

In the era of open data and information, government transparency is becoming increasingly feasible, but there are challenges. One challenge is to promote Freedom of Information (FOI) which is understood as the right to access information held by public bodies. Freedom of information was a central concern during the WSIS and has been followed up in a variety of ways. Even in cases where laws are in place there are additional issues relating to the way digital technologies are implemented with the intention of enhancing open interactions between citizens and government authorities. For example, over the past 13 years Estonia has seen three different e-democracy portals set up by national authorities.

**E-Democracy in Estonia** The most recent e-democracy portal, Rahvakogu.ee, was initiated urgently in early 2013 in response to a political legitimation crisis. However, many Estonians remain sceptical because the previous two e-democracy platforms are seen to have failed. Citizens in Estonia have taken up other e-services provided by the state – 94% declared their taxes online in 2011, 24% of voters cast ballots online in the 2011 general election.

The reasons for the failure of the e-democracy platforms have been attributed to several factors. First, poor service design when the initial “Today I Decide” platform was launched in 2000. This platform failed to facilitate open discussion on policies among the users with the result that ideas remaining unrefined and there was no consensus on the issues discussed. Second, the portal had no agreed role in the legislative system. It was initiated by the Prime Minister’s office, but had no official status and was easy to ignore by civil servants. Only a very small number of citizens’ suggestions were taken into account and most official responses to citizens were rather negative. These were reported in the media and the negative responses had a demotivating effect on the public. Although a new participatory portal, Osale.ee, was set up in 2007, it too remains of marginal importance. Here, the offline decision making procedures and procedures for public debate with citizens are mismatched with the design of the online information system. Digital applications are rarely perceived as being useful by their citizen users if they are misaligned with their expectations and there are questions about whether the platforms are designed to encourage participation and about how representative they are when they do become used on a large scale.
The development of an e-Government platform in Ghana is also interesting because it demonstrates how national interests shape these developments and how new forms of partnerships are emerging, especially as Western ideas about the use of ICTs come into contact with ideas supported by the Chinese government. Ghana’s eGovernment Network Infrastructure is an example of a form of cooperation that is likely to become more common in the ICT sector in Africa.

**E-Government in a Multi-Actor Aid Regime** Strongly sought by the Ghanaian government to connect public institutions and improve coordination and the delivery of public services, this e-government infrastructure is being implemented in cooperation with traditional and new donors, including the World Bank, China and Denmark. The Chinese government has been instrumental in getting the project off the ground through a loan of US$180 million. After a first phase of implementation, the project has started attracting new funds, for example, from the Danish government, to extend it to rural areas.\(^{141}\)

In the first phase, traditional donors such as USAID and the European Union did not provide funding when their requests for greater involvement of private operators in the development of the new e-Government infrastructure were rebuffed. Local actors in Africa are gaining access to resources and testing new solutions for their needs, combining ideas and technologies from different contexts, resulting in hybrids combining African ambition, Chinese expertise and Western concepts. The ways these portals differ from the functions and the roles of information access portals developed mainly using Western designs and finance need to be examined.

Some open government initiatives are stalling because of a reluctance to share information and to engage in transparent policy deliberation and policy making. This is illustrated by the Kenya Open Data Portal which was launched in 2011.

**Kenyan Open Data Portal** The site, with the backing of the World Bank, was launched in 2010 to provide free access to a range of government datasets (census data, public expenditure records, poverty records and data on agriculture). These data are intended for re-use by citizens, journalists and the technology community in Kenya. Buoyed by examples of open data leading to the development of many different apps in UK and US cities where early open data initiatives were established, and in Kenya, Kenyan open data advocates are seeking to introduce apps developers to datasets to create visualizations, web and mobile applications and other solutions that address pressing social issues. Some of examples of apps so far include water point maps created with government data, and a ‘county scorecard’, visualizing local performance information and showing where Community Development Funds have been used.\(^{142}\)

This initiative is serving as a model for other countries, but concerns have been raised that the open data programme has stalled. Important datasets remain locked up in government with refusals to release them to the public portal and anticipated tools and apps built with the data have yet to materialize.\(^{141}\) The potential of these initiatives to
foster freedom of expression and freedom of information, to enable civic participation and to hold governments to account will be difficult to achieve unless cultural and political barriers to the release of data are reduced. Open data is an important part of the knowledge societies landscape, but making open data work involves more than the technical platforms.

6.4.2 Social Media and Elections

Social media platforms are playing an increasing role in the electoral process. In some cases where there are top down initiatives they seem to have been introduced with relatively little controversy, but in others, they have been less successful. For example, in Brazil, electronic voting was introduced in the early 1990s. All votes, even those from the interior of the Amazon, are processed electronically and the results are available on the same day. In contrast, in Estonia the largest share of voters (24%) in the 2011 general election cast their ballots using the Internet based on a digital ID card infrastructure. However, the system is controversial because of concerns about privacy and about the comparability of voting situations or the contexts of internet use. The system is regarded as having helped to improve participation.

Mobile communication and social media platforms are playing an important role in elections in countries like Myanmar which have only recently been able to use them openly for political purposes. Two events shook up communication patterns in Myanmar before its recent elections; the first was confrontations between the army and monks in September 2007 (causing communication patterns and systems to turn inward and quiet), and the second was the sudden disastrous cyclone in May 2008 (resulting in a rapid re-opening involving both new and old technologies).

New and Old Communication in Myanmar 2013
Confrontations between monks and the government closed communication down in 2007, and a devastating cyclone in 2008 forced it open again. This closing and opening produced a new unplanned flexibility that found an opportunistic affinity with economic pressures to increase internet use and political concessions offered for the creation of political parties, and their allied businesses. As the 2010 and the 2012 elections approached, some people took the world’s highest costs for mobile telecommunication (“social media”) and combined with them with older low-cost forms of communication – shortwave radio, inexpensive fixed phone lines in the street, photocopiers, used computers, satellite dishes, speaking in code, etc. – all dependent on a very inconstant electricity supply. These media all became more ‘social’. As communication proliferated and surveillance diminished slightly, the public began to make more use of the telephone and e-mail, or what is colloquially called in Burmese “jee-mail”. Google, Hotmail, and Yahoo were prohibited, but reluctant official acceptance of g-mail addresses, and unofficial encrypted tunnelling and proxy servers helped people to overcome obstacles through the election process and to the present. Dispersion of Burmese workers throughout SE Asia further opened social communication patterns inside: “social media” probably did not influence these changes much (except among
elites), but the shifts in communication patterns were intensely social and intensely communicative, and the changed situation in 2013 is remarkable to all observers.146

Open digital platforms are playing a major role in election monitoring in other countries. For example, Ushahidi was set up as a crowdsourcing platform in the aftermath of Kenya’s disputed 2007 presidential election. Through its website, Ushahidi encouraged Kenyans to submit eyewitness reports of election-related political violence incidents by email or SMS messages. The Ushahidi platform was used to support Bantu Watch in Zambia, but this example illustrates that the simple introduction of open platforms is not sufficient to attract citizen’s attention.147

**Bantu Watch** was introduced shortly before the hotly contested 2011 elections in Zambia. A delay in the announcement of election results by the Electoral Commission of Zambia (ECZ) caused widespread anxiety among Zambians. The preliminary sharing of election results of selected constituencies by a number of privately owned radio stations was met with a temporary media blackout. Despite the scarcity of information on elections, few Zambians actually submitted information to the crowdsourcing platform. This could be attributed to the relatively short planning period of the project and Zambians’ unfamiliarity with the platform. Particularly for middle-class Zambians with access to internet-enabled phones, already existing Facebook groups such as the popular private television station MUVI TV, emerged as important sites of sharing information, indicating that the new open source platforms are not always favoured by local groups.148

When information becomes more accessible through the traditional media or through open platforms, or some combination of both, it becomes subject to multiple interpretations that may challenge the views of authorities. For example, Tactical Tech is a civil society organization that works with a sex worker’s collective in Cambodia in their advocacy for changes in anti-trafficking laws and public perceptions of sex workers. The visualization of data about the industry is used in ways that are designed to have political impact. The challenge here is not only about the technical feasibility of gathering data on abuse, health or the impacts of criminalization of the industry, but on how sex workers can gain knowledge about themselves in a way that is empowering for them.149

### 6.4.3 Open Data and Information Sharing

A key lesson from initiatives using digital technologies and platforms is that fragmented information, collected without the close involvement of local participants, and/or which is inaccessible to them, rarely constitutes useful knowledge from the perspective local populations. Technology is not the biggest barrier to success. The development of an agricultural database in India shows how it is possible to learn from early mistakes.
The Agropedia project started in 2008 under the sponsorship of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) and the (Indian) National Agricultural Innovation Project. The project participants started with a better understanding of the domain than the community. India is Internet-ready, but Bharat (the Indian name for India) is only mobile phone ready. Even the research scientists in agriculture seemed to belong to Bharat and the ICAR agencies, which are publicly funded institutes, were not willing to share their data on Agropedia: each one wants its own portal/website. One Agropedia innovation is the ‘agrotagger’, which assigns keywords to documents. It is heavily used by ICAR and several other agribodies, including FAO which supported it and is using it.

Learning was demonstrated when Agropedia started advisory services using mobile phones in 2010. This service seems to have become an instant hit and is likely to be extended to all of India with support from the Indian Planning Commission. It was easier to focus on the ICT application and to build a database and tag information than on the community dynamics and the politics of information sharing which persist.150

Where open data and information applications are expected to be responsive to community needs, the problem of fragmented databases, the lack of priority given to establishing agreed standards for linking data, and the tendency to privilege information that has been validated by professional science or by global or Northern institutions over information that has been gathered by local participants can create problems.151 This is illustrated by The Young Lives project initiative.

The Young Lives linked data demonstrator involves a longitudinal study of child poverty, hosted by the University of Oxford. It is following 12,000 children over 12 years in four countries (Peru, India, Vietnam and Ethiopia) using household and child surveys, inter-household data and community data related to child health, education, employment and income, family status, and welfare to understand the causes and consequences of child poverty. The demonstrator aims to make these data more accessible to policy makers, researchers, and practitioners. Visualization tools were created to graph local statistics alongside those from organizations such as WHO. The project faced barriers because large organizations rarely publish linked data and were not using data collection or reporting standards compatible with the Young Lives project. 152

Greater emphasis needs to be given to ‘linked data’, not just to the potential of open data and information.

The need for learning is associated with understanding how to respect participants’ privacy. The Map Kibera project is often cited as an illustration of a successful application of ICTs, but it provides important lessons for future developments of this kind.

Map Kibera started in 2009 with a small grant from Jumpstart International, an NGO specializing in community-based mapping. The grant was to facilitate the first public digital map of Kibera in Nairobi Kenya, by training local youths in the use of GPS and open source GIS tools and working in
partnership with local organizations. Better publicly available information was intended to enable coordination, planning and advocacy within the community and between Kiberans and the government.\textsuperscript{153}

Despite illustrating the success of an open information project, cultural issues and potential conflicts emerged over what local participation meant for local people. One participant said: "in Kibera, being a volunteer usually means receiving compensation in the form of a 'sitting fee' for workshop attendance, and money for lunch and transportation on days dedicated to project work". Dedicating time to the project, however beneficial this could be might mean missing opportunities that could immediately put food on the table. Participants associated the idea of being a 'volunteer' with being an apprentice on a professional career trajectory. The idea of sharing information was confusing to them and raised questions about the norms of information sharing, including rules for attribution, licensing, and the balance between providing 'stuff for free' and using the content to generate revenue. The meaning of open information sharing, and what can be shared and with whom, had to be rethought in this project.\textsuperscript{154}

\textbf{6.4.4 Conflict Resolution and Crisis Response}

Digital applications involving open source software and crowdsourcing are being used in response to humanitarian crises, such those following natural disasters and in instances where States are unable to provide for their populations due to political conflicts and war.\textsuperscript{155} There are many opportunities for learning by all stakeholders. Among the key issues are who is assumed to own information, who is assumed to be able to validate information, and who is able to access and apply it. These issues are being addressed by the UNDP, the World Bank and many national governments and civil society organizations with respect to the role of ICTs in post-conflict stabilization and reconstruction. In these contexts there are many questions about the role of the communication infrastructure, the origins and reliability of information, the workability of warning systems, and the capacity of multiple organizations to coordinate their interventions. In addition, there are issues about how new forms of networked governance are emerging in these situations.\textsuperscript{156}

Digital applications in this area face challenges of establishing clear relationships with United Nations agencies and governments, and uncertainty about the role of digital mapping in complex political settings, the ownership and use of data, standards for data architectures, the management and data sharing within digital mapping communities,
which are often fragmented. The ICT4 Peace Foundation is a partnership which has encountered these problems.

**ICT4 Peace Foundation** is a policy and action-oriented organization focusing on the use of ICTs for crisis management, humanitarian aid and peace building. It is fostering the exchange of best practices and broad principles for multi-stakeholders and training modules for peacekeeping and peace-building missions in the spirit of 'shared learning'. It aims to use digital platforms to improve humanitarian information sharing, facilitate crisis mapping, and is developing and supporting online tools such as the Crisis Information Management (CIM) Platform and country specific Wikis, e.g. covering the Haiti and Chile earthquakes, the Pakistan floods, the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico and riots in South Kyrgyzstan. It uses media, the web, and mobile technologies and it works with intergovernmental agencies, governments and the private sector.

It aims to facilitate effective communication between communities and stakeholders, thereby improving the performance of the international community in crisis management. But this initiative has experienced a lack of willingness among stakeholders to share information.

The United Nations' Global Pulse project is a 'big data' initiative which also has experienced barriers to sharing information horizontally and vertically with local participants.

The UN’s **Global Pulse** project aims to build partnerships among public, private and civil society organizations to curate large volumes of digital information. This real-time big data initiative was launched in 2009 to “help decision-makers gain a real-time understanding of how crises impact vulnerable populations”, by supporting call logs, mobile banking transactions, user-generated content (blog posts and Tweets), online searches, and satellite imagery. It relies partly on crowdsourced data collection and analysis to provide information that complements official statistics, survey data, and early warning systems. The goal is to combine or link sources of information and to provide feedback to policy makers and practitioners in the field for humanitarian assistance and emergency relief.

Global Pulse brings together commons-based organizations such as OpenStreetMap and United Nations agencies. Similar attempts to employ digital technologies in support of humanitarian response indicate that “balancing top-down and bottom-up requires more serious reflection than its previously been given”. In crisis and emergency situations, information is needed to support citizens through immediate action with little time for data verification. Global Pulse illustrates the possibilities for building bridges among institutions, some of which want to control access to information and others which do not. The challenge is not only achieving access to information and the diffusion of technologies. Conflicts arise because companies, scientific labs and governments hold some of the data. There have been legal challenges over information access, disputes
about information secrecy, and concerns about the reputations of the participating organizations.

Crowdsourcing examples of this kind suggest that these open digital platforms do not always provide the solutions to humanitarian problems that are sometimes assumed. For example, a crowdsourcing project - Holoda.info ("Holoda" means "cold" in Russian) developed a map for monitoring the damage caused by a cold snap of Russia in 2010. Using the Ushahidi platform, the aim was to collect information from citizens about various types of harm (e.g. collapse of heating, lack of electricity) and requests for help from citizens mainly in remote areas in Russia. The Russian liberal newspaper, Novaya Gazeta, initiated this project. Although it relied on the success of other bottom up crowdsourcing initiatives in Russia, Holoda.info attracted a low number of participants and the actual cases of help provided were not recorded. The affected communities had little awareness of the project, limited access to internet, and there was little outreach to them. It was unclear who was accountable for taking action once a problem had been identified. 160

The problem of accountability is important in situations where information is mediated using traditional media outlets or by new social media platforms especially in contexts where States have ‘failed’ or are unresponsive to citizen concerns in fragile situations.161

6.5 Gender Sensitivity in Knowledge Societies

Gender issues matter for the design of digital technology and for the content of media and information resources in every area of cultural, social, political and economic activity within knowledge societies. UNESCO’s Director-General, Irina Bokova has said that “sustainable development, human rights and peace can only be realized if women and men enjoy expanded and equal opportunities to live in freedom and dignity. Equality exists when women and men have equal access to quality education, resources and productive work in all domains and when they are able to share power and knowledge on this basis. Gender equality must be seen as both a practical necessity and an ethical requirement”.162

In Europe there are initiatives to promote gender equality in science and technology, and particularly in the ICT sector. For example, the genderSTE programme is a response to the fact that women are scarce in ICT professions at all levels, and particularly in senior roles. This has consequences for the design of technologies and their application. When
women are poorly represented they are unable to fully influence innovations, and are excluded from career progression in some of the most knowledge-intensive and well-rewarded occupations.

genderSTE: Networking the way to gender equality in science and technology

This is an initiative of the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) Programme which is designed to tackle this deficit. A network of policy makers and experts promotes measures for gender equality across science and technology institutions, particularly good practices in recruitment to courses and jobs, in progression, recognition, and pay. GenderSTE aims to enhance sustainable development in critical ICT application areas: energy use and climate change, urban environments, and transport.

Initiatives in this area historically consisted of programmes to help individual women pursue technical careers, but these have proven insufficient to address the reasons for women’s poor participation systematically. genderSTE capitalizes on a growing awareness of the need for structural transformation within institutions, and benefits from the biggest critical mass of institutional support ever created in Europe, although its long-term effectiveness will take time to show. All UNESCO initiatives for knowledge societies need to be designed, not only to be inclusive of individual women, but also to support the kinds of structural changes that are needed to make progress in this area.

This lesson needs to be applied not only to the design and development of software applications, methods of accessing information, and crowdsourcing open data, but also to network infrastructure development itself.

Gender equality supports innovation in Swedish fibre-optics

The Swedish innovation agency, Vinnova, promotes the inclusion of gender equality in its innovation support. This is expected to contribute to economic development through increased women’s workforce participation, increased GDP, increased fiscal contribution, and increased creativity. Vinnova supports organisations in improving gender equality in their innovation activities. Fibre Optic Valley, for example, works to position Sweden as world leader in the development of products and services based on fibre-optics. The organisation assists the growth of local and global companies, through unique support in the form of research, training, financing, contacts and business development. Its top managers are given tailor-made training in understanding how the lack of a gender perspective affects processes and product design, leading change processes, applying knowledge of gender issues, and creating an innovative environment.

A wider ‘learning community’ has been rolled out for equality-aware product and service development. Embedding such learning in organizational processes is very difficult to achieve, and the success of this project in changing the culture of technical innovation will be difficult to gauge. Nevertheless, this is an example of how the benefits of
equality can multiply when quality of life and well being are factored into the development process.

Women’s health is receiving attention as an area in which digital technologies and access to information can be potentially empowering. Applications to support women’s health are being developed for front-line workers and, despite the top-down initiation of many of these programmes, where lessons of inclusive participation are applied well, there is evidence of success, although there are problems in financing strongly user-centred initiatives. The challenges in the initiative described below have included ensuring the initiative is cost neutral for the beneficiaries and the health care clinic and obtaining financial support in competition with top-down e-health schemes.

Mobile telephones, women and health care in Venezuela

Front-line workers in health clinics in Caracas are concerned about reproductive health issues (e.g. sexually transmitted diseases, teen pregnancy, cervical cancer). Researchers from the University of Ottawa, and the Universidad Católica Andrés Bello are working with a local community health care clinic, Centro de Salud Santa Inés, to identify ways of using mobile telephony to improve health care access and education among poor women in impoverished communities within the La Vega district. Initially researchers worked with local health practitioners to identify maternal health priorities and to investigate day-to-day mobile phone and communication practices of the women. Based on the findings, a pilot health care initiative that builds on actual mobile usage routines is being designed and implemented.  

A Health Information System in Malawi illustrates the complexity of gender aware project implementation. Here the challenges include the unavailability of organizational structures and networks to provide reliable and timely health information to end-users, and the lack of adequate human resources especially in remote areas.

Health Centre by Phone Project in Malawi

This project is being pioneered in Malawi to provide information to mothers about maternal and child health issues (from prevention to treatment). The project aims to help women access quality services without having to travel long distances to the main hospital at Balaka.

The project was initiated from the top down but it seems to be filling an important gap in health information systems in a country where illiteracy rates are very high, especially in rural areas. The quality of health information that is being provided to the women in the face of insufficient training of qualified medical personnel is of concern. Hospitals are themselves over-stretched due to the shortage of qualified personnel, and the introduction of this potentially beneficial service is stretching those resources even further. The lesson is that ICT projects should not be isolated or divert financial resources in a way that reduces capacity in adjacent areas.
Other initiatives concerned with women’s health demonstrate the importance of combining digital media in an ‘ecology’. A project sponsored by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation’s Ananya programme shows how this can be done.

**BBC Media Action** adopted a multiplatform using mobile phones, mass media and community workers to support efforts to reduce maternal and infant mortality in the northern Indian state of Bihar by 2015. Despite improvements to the state’s health infrastructure, awareness of critical family health issues remains low. With only 27% of young mothers having access to traditional media, the BBC Media Action’s ‘360 degree approach’, using multiple channels of communication is expected to improve the chances of vital information reaching the audience. In addition to TV advertisements and a radio series, community performances and women’s listening clubs have been in engaging more families and reinforcing health messages. As part of the multiplatform approach, BBC Media Action also developed two mobile phone services to support community health workers and refresh their knowledge: a training course, ‘Mobile Academy’, and an on-demand health service, ‘Mobile Kunji’. Currently accessible to 40,000 community health workers and across all major operators and mobile phones, the training programme is expected to reach 200,000 workers in Bihar by 2015.  

It also highlights the fact that there is a need for substantial funding even though the costs of digital technologies are declining. It is crucial to take into account the real costs of extending information-related projects of this and other kinds.

Digital technologies are also providing opportunities for women’s communication. However, if access to mobile phones, telecentres and other means of communicating are not organized with attention to gender issues they may never achieve expectations for empowerment. One study of engagement of women through state Nenasala (community telecentres) in Sri Lanka showed that these opened up work opportunities for women and provided a social space for learning in some communities, but that it was difficult for women to negotiate their social roles and participate effectively in these spaces. In this case, access issues for women were not part of the design of the Nensalas. In contrast, Minmini Seithihal (Fireflies News), an SMS news network introduced in the same region was found to be empowering for women. A blog featuring female candidates in 2011 heightened awareness of the need for women’s involvement in local and national politics, but resulted in no significant increase in the number of women elected to local government. An audit of the blog showed it was used mainly for personal diary entries and creative writing posts, and suggested the need for education about privacy issues.

These illustrations highlight the need for learning about the importance of ICTs for democracy, development and social transformation, not only for the economy. It is crucial to acknowledge that information gate-keeping is gendered at many levels. Much
more could be done to use ICTs strategically for the empowerment of women and to protect THEM from violence and harassment through cyber stalking, hidden surveillance and e-mail tampering, through GPS tracking and video. \(^{169}\)

The development of digital applications and better access to information is often assumed to enable women's empowerment, but this is difficult in the absence of a feminist perspective.\(^ {170}\) Changes in knowledge societies mean changes in political action: "who gets noticed, who is absent". With the shift away from debates about rights, and a growing emphasis on freedom of expression and privacy, other rights can be neglected. A pedagogy of citizenship is needed to tackle gender issues from a critical perspective. For 'citizen participation' to be meaningful, it must offer opportunities to exercise voice and hold others to account, not just to be invited to participate. \(^ {171}\)

6.6 Environmental Sustainability and Learning

The challenges of environmental sustainability raise issues for all those whose businesses and private lives are affected by environmental risks. The issues in this area are about the impacts of ICTs on climate related environmental sustainability and the potential energy and environmental gains from the increasing availability of 'smart' systems (networks and applications) including the growing use of cloud computing services. Beyond these issues, there are concerns about the role of access to information and the role of the media in communicating the issues within and across different stakeholder groups.

An OECD review of policies and programmes relating to ICTs and the environment found that "initiatives concentrate on greening ICTs rather than tackling global warming and environmental degradation through the use of ICT applications".\(^ {172}\) Very few business associations or private consortia had strategies to apply ICTs outside the ICT sector, although there were examples such as the Global e-Sustainability Initiative which works within the sector.\(^ {173}\) In Europe, there are efforts to push a green knowledge society higher up on the policy agenda.\(^ {174}\) In the academic literature there are studies of the applications of ICTs and information to assist in responding to water-related crises, on the impact of ICTs on greenhouse gas emissions and on household energy consumption, on embedding sustainable ICTs in the construction industry, on using ICTs to monitor and pay for electricity, and on the standards issues and challenges.\(^ {175}\) There is also a large and increasing amount of documentation produced by governments, civil society
organizations and practitioners who work in specific sectors. The ITU’s review of sustainable development projects and initiatives shows that although globally there is much activity in this area, there is a need for consistent empirical study of whether initiatives are being implemented and making a difference in people’s lives on a sustained basis, both with respect to the ongoing costs of change and quality of life experience.\textsuperscript{176}

Environmental sustainability goals sit uncomfortably alongside the drive to achieve more inclusive and interconnected knowledge societies.\textsuperscript{177} Innovations in digital technologies are expected to stimulate economic growth through investment in the network infrastructure or in ‘e’ applications. However, digital technologies are also exacerbating environmental threats because the spread of digital devices is consuming natural resources at an increasing rate. These developments are sometimes associated with violent contestations over control and benefit from resources such as ‘coltan’.\textsuperscript{178} These technologies are generating growing quantities of e-waste, and they are implicated in climate change as a result of greenhouse gas emissions. How the fruits of technical innovation and knowledge are coordinated to address the challenges in this area depends on access to information and on the ability to use it to make choices about which developments should be encouraged and which should be redirected to achieve sustainable development goals.

ICT supported networks are linking decision-makers in this area in the public, private and non-governmental sectors.

\textbf{The Climate & Development Knowledge Network (CDKN)} provides research, technical assistance and advisory services to developing countries. This network is attempting to help improve the ‘knowledge infrastructure’ around climate and development, by addressing issues ranging from the information gaps within the sector and the proliferation of online resource platforms which is resulting in duplication of effort. The Climate Knowledge Brokers Group has been established as a collaborative community of online knowledge brokers. Collaborative projects have been established, including a ‘Climate & Development Knowledge Navigator’, an interactive online tool directing users to the most relevant online resources. Other initiatives include ‘InfoAmazonia’, which supports journalists and citizens to report evidence of climate change in the Amazon region, as well as Reegle’s Application Programming Interface (API), an automated tagging tool, designed to harmonise the presentation of climate information across websites.

This is an example of a top-down initiative that is forging partnerships and cutting across different sub-sectors within the climate sphere. It includes initiatives focusing on adaptation, mitigation, climate finance, energy, and broader development issues. It is seeking to build a somewhat centralized model to cope with the challenges of what has been called the 'portal proliferation syndrome', where the World Bank, and portals in
Latin America, Africa, the Asia and Pacific region, and in Europe are hosting information that is becoming part of a fragmented infrastructure for tackling climate change. These initiatives do not necessarily connect with the way learning about environmental risks occurs among local communities. Examples of bottom up initiatives aimed at enabling local communities to identify and report environmental risks to their local governments are also taking advantage of online platforms.

### Youth-led Digital Mapping of Environmental Risks and Vulnerabilities in the Favelas of Rio de Janeiro

A UNICEF funded project is enabling young people to learn how to map their favela with cameras attached to kites or balloons. Images of environmental hazards are then taken by mobile phone, geo-tagged and uploaded onto an online map that is accessible to local policy makers. UNICEF claims that this is an empowering project, which fosters civic engagement and creates community change. It is clearly successful in a number of ways in that it educates the community and encourages people to anticipate environmental problems.

In this initiative it was assumed that the use of social media would foster bottom up communication. Information cascades down from ‘international’ experts, to country offices, to community leaders, to selected youths to “firmly establish the cultural principles and practicalities of digital community mapping”. But the participants are being trained to identify environmental hazards from pre-established categories. The information gathered is then verified by UNICEF before being passed on to policy makers. The focus on identifying tangible dangers means that little attention is being given to the structures within which these risks and vulnerabilities are developing. While the digital systems and platforms could, in principle, be used to map issues chosen by favela residents, it is difficult to see where the resources to do so could be found without the inputs bought to the project by UNICEF. This illustrates the importance of encouraging information gathering that is consistent with the experience and knowledge of local communities, when the goal is to achieve participatory learning.

### 6.7 Ethical Considerations for Knowledge Societies

The need for education and learning about the ethics of information for knowledge societies cuts across all contexts in which connectivity is achieved by whatever means, e.g. mobile phones, social media websites accessed via computer, voice telephony and traditional printed and broadcast media. Ethical dimensions are closely linked to human rights issues reaching beyond issues of freedom of expression. What is regarded as ‘good’ or ethical behaviour online intersects in complex ways with ethical behaviour offline.
The global reach of mediated representations of close and distant others raises ethical issues for professional journalists, for ‘citizen journalists’, and for all the individuals who create textual and visual images that become intentionally or inadvertently available to others. There are potential conflicts between the goals of transparency and freedom of expression in line with democracy and the risks associated with flows of information, especially for those risk threats or harm in conflict situations or are otherwise disempowered by the prevailing structures of inequality.

These tensions are becoming especially acute in the era of open, big, or real time data. Crowdsourced information means that data are collected from local populations which serve as information for evidence-based policy making, but they may never become accessible to the local communities that provide them so that they can play a role in their decisions. Their contributions are likely to be translated so that they can be incorporated into larger data sets held by intergovernmental organizations, donor organizations and national institutions. Once translated, the information loses its context and is lost to those who provided it in the first place. This is acutely evident in crisis situations as illustrated by the experience of some Haitians following the 2010 earthquake.

These SMS messages were sent by Haitian victims of the earthquake.

SMS 1: What role will teachers play in the reconstruction of Haiti?
SMS 2: Name: Br---profession: dock worker: telephone number--- I am asking you to find me a job because my house was crushed

In this instance, thousands of SMS messages contained information that did not fit into a simple online form: name, age, gender, location, etc. Volunteer translators discarded messages for containing “too little information” to send rescue teams. Information Haitians had contributed went unattended to or was responded to in English. The design of the digital platform precluded those who provided information from accessing or acting upon the information they provided. Designing ICT applications for crisis contexts raises ethical issues about participation from the outset. A similar issue arose in the Map Kibera project (discussed above). For information contributors, being known as an originator of an idea, having the right to ask for and receive information, and being in a position to manage the risks of increased visibility, involved more than adopting the ideals of open commons-based information production. The claims, rights and responsibilities of all participants were influenced by relations of trust, authority and
their concerns about their livelihoods. There was an uneasy relationship between the open information model and participatory values and practices which needed to be discussed openly and acknowledged.\textsuperscript{184}

Ethical issues and practices need to be embedded in the processes and standards for open information access. These need continuous re-assessment in every context in which people are invited to contribute (or voluntarily contribute) to social media and other platforms. This is especially so in cases where it is essential to protect the lives of people who offer stories through personal interviews on topics like sex and sexuality or on war crimes which they then may be inadvertently identified with online and cannot remove. In one instance, for example, an international NGO used a story for fund-raising purposes at its website without permission and refused to remove it. Thus, ‘citizen journalism’, video reports, and digital story telling open up many new spaces for dialogue and potential empowerment, but there are risks as well, especially from ‘digital shadows’ which circulate online.\textsuperscript{185}

As digital information accumulates in databases around the world, and especially as increasing investment is made in ‘big data’ initiatives, ethical issues arise with respect to the coding or tagging of data. If standards for taxonomies and classification systems are devised by experts in the global North with little consideration about whether these are meaningful for others in the cultural contexts who may wish to retrieve and apply the information they and others have provided, attention needs to be given to the standards that are developed for linking data and for ensuring that the organization of information is meaningful for others. An example comes from the IKM Vines project which attempted to develop ways of combining information from different journal sources to highlight content from the South. The issues of standards for linking and sharing information apply not only to information access between the so-called global North and South, but increasingly also to the information and communication relationships among emerging markets (Brazil, Russia, India and China) or ‘BRICs’ and the poorest countries and among those countries themselves. As many now recognise, the ‘North/South’ labeling of issues confronting knowledge societies is not appropriate.\textsuperscript{186}

\textbf{IKM Vines project.} Articles were tagged using the Delicious bookmarking platform, RSS feeds were read and ‘tag extraction’ tools were used to find additional tags and key words relating to content of potential interest to those in the South. This was a small step towards supporting the discovery of information from the South and that might be more accessible to those in the South, based on an exploration of the terms local communities themselves had used to discuss a subject.\textsuperscript{187}
Beyond the academic literature, it is also clear that greater attention needs to be given to the capacity to listen and hear what it is that people value in the knowledge societies that are emerging around them. This is apparent in studies of the way in which young digital ‘natives’ discuss issues of interest to them in different regions of the world. One study conducted conversations with young people in Asia, Africa and Latin America, founding that they were interested in the role of media and the internet and issues of geo-politics, gender, sexuality, class, education and language. They did not articulate their views in line with the topics often associated with children’s online lives by policy actors, researchers, academics, practitioners and artists, e.g. digital piracy, privacy, cyber-bullying, or sexting.\(^{188}\)

Issues concerning ethical norms and practices in knowledge societies are associated with the generation of informational content by participants in their local communities. Freedom of expression needs to be considered in the light of related rights to protection of identities and physical safety as well as who benefits from the availability of new information resources. Complete openness and transparency may lead to breaches of trust and privacy and raises complex ethical issues.\(^{189}\)

ICTs play a role as intermediaries along with a variety of external stakeholders – whether they are database or digital platform designers or concerned individuals and organizations. There are cases where ICT platforms can facilitate participatory interaction that is valued by those who are expected to benefit.

For example, in Uganda, the Collecting and Exchange of Local Agriculture Content (CELAC) Project is facilitating participatory interactions between farmers and locally generated agriculture knowledge, using the ICT platforms. In this case, the local farmers’ groups are at the centre of the knowledge brokering process. They share the information with local communities (after it has been validated by the national agriculture research organization). The project was initiated from the top down, but it has been running for some 10 years and it is seen by the local participants as empowering them to make better choices.\(^{190}\)

In the wake of the global financial crisis, the role of information intermediaries in supporting commercial activity and financial transactions is coming under close scrutiny. As the goals of sustainable development start to be coupled more closely into policies aimed at building knowledge societies there is an increasing need for flexibility and
mobility in the economy. Digital networks and information play an increasing role in supporting lives and livelihoods in all regions and countries. Online services provided by the private sector raise a host of ethical issues just as they do when they are provided by governments.

For example, networks are becoming the virtual lifelines of entrepreneurial businesses in lower income countries and for disadvantaged or marginalized workers in more affluent regions of the world. Mobile funds transfer is playing an increasing role in transmitting remittances within globally dispersed communities as documented in recent ethnographic studies.\(^{191}\) While these transfers may still be a relatively small proportion of overall remittances, the shift to online money transfer raises new ethical issues for recipient country governments and companies based within them. For the less-developed countries (UN definition), remittance receipts increased from US$3.5 billion in 1990 to $6.3 billion in 2000, and to almost $27 billion in 2011. The growth of mobile bank branches and branchless banking should facilitate these transfers but that this depends on the policy environment for e-banking services, including restrictions on money laundering and whether the costs come down.\(^{192}\) It also depends on whether ethical issues are addressed from the users’ perspective. Those who could benefit from mobile funds transfers within countries to support their livelihoods are often unwilling to trust these services.

Since M-PESA was launched in Kenya in 2007 by Safaricom, an affiliate of Vodafone, it has been cited as a model for financial information exchange. By mid-2012 it had 19.5 million m-money users, but similar initiatives have grown more slowly. In one survey in 2011 only 16% of respondents had a mobile money account in South Africa; only 3% in Nigeria. The Kenyan success might be explained by the liberalization of the mobile market, investment in infrastructure and light regulation enabling Safaricom’s market dominance and permitting the company to serve as a ‘bank’, as well as the absence of alternatives. It might also be due to training to enable people to understand how the system works and to learn to trust it,\(^{193}\) or when they find they cannot easily shift to a service provided by a competitor for contractual reasons.\(^{194}\) For most operators the profit margins on these services are relatively low and this provides little incentive for them to engage in the outreach that is needed.\(^{195}\) The ethical treatment of financial information in knowledge societies with respect to services that people feel able to use in their own political and economic contexts is a major consideration in emerging knowledge societies.\(^{196}\)
Reluctance to address these issues is not restricted only to the low income countries. In the UK for example, electronic payments networks for citizens have been developed largely through industry-led public policy.

The British Payments Council, which includes all major banks, announced a plan to manage the decline of paper cheques in 2009. The plan was opposed, especially by older people and charities. A Parliamentary committee criticized the plan and eventually the Council announced that cheques should be kept indefinitely. But the “eighty-something project” published its participatory research findings pointing to the use of mobile payments for citizens as an important option for low-income people. In early 2013, UK citizens are still waiting for the arrival of mobile payments.  

This example indicates the resistance of certain stakeholders to making changes in the information environment in line with the needs or preferences of underrepresented groups, in this case the elderly. Financial intermediaries need to be responsive to the needs of those for whom their e-payments systems are designed and to give a high priority to the ethical treatment of information in these contexts, ensuring that transactions are private and not subject to various types of State surveillance. Education about ethical codes of conduct for online transactions is crucial for ensuring the security of financial transactions themselves and for reducing the risks that hackers will be able to steal identities or divert money from the intended recipients.

Information intermediaries or ‘knowledge brokers’ play a role increasingly in other segments of society such as in science and education. The sharing and commercial exchange of scientific and technical knowledge increasingly involves ‘knowledge brokers’ and other intermediaries. Lower income countries face the paradox that, while membership and participation in scientific and technical societies is open and inclusive (though not without cost), access to and use of a considerable share of scientific and technical information is commercialized and is less accessible and usable as a result. Scientists, engineers and medical researchers are making efforts to develop improved means of accessing scientific and technical knowledge. They are forming international collaboration networks that link professionals across the world, and this is resulting in a growing number of knowledge brokers with interests in development issues. Many of these activities are organized on a non-profit basis. UNESCO has been involved in encouraging open access to academic journals for developing countries and National Research and Education Networks (NREN) have played an increasing role. However, commercial enterprises are also engaged in these activities. Their interests are in enclosing this information which raises questions about the ethics of scientific activity in
the global networked environment. It highlights the need for attention to issues of information control, ownership and intellectual property rights.

Information ethics are also involved in the major trend towards online outsourcing of work. This offers new employment opportunities for many distant workers, but it also raises questions about how they are remunerated for the information handling activities that they are able to engage in. Online freelancing is a growing activity in many lower income countries. For example, around 10,000 freelancers are estimated to be active online in Bangladesh. They mainly work for clients in the United States and Europe but also for local government institutions, NGOs, and individuals. They provide services such as software development, graphics design, search engine optimization, social media marketing, blogging, and data entry. The online portals where these freelancers are hired are popular, but while the revenues generated by very successful workers can be in the tens of thousands of dollars, the average is around a few hundred to a few thousand dollars. In Bangladesh freelancers have overtaken the formal ICT- and ICT-enabled services industry in sales volume.\textsuperscript{203} The outsourcing of information-related activities is seen in some countries as a viable strategy for building economic strength in knowledge societies. But open data and information outsourcing projects and private services, whether driven by companies or publicly supported, raise ethical issues around whether participation by those in lower income countries in the knowledge production process is well-compensated,\textsuperscript{204} and whether local participants who contribute information have opportunities to benefit from it so that they can use it to bring about social or political change.

In all these areas where intermediaries are playing a role in brokering information and knowledge there are numerous experiments with ICT platforms and many demonstrations of success in providing the technical capacity for open and market-led applications. However, there are relatively few examples of sustained deployment in places where they are needed most. The policies that guide them need to give more attention to models which embrace strong bottom up participation and promote education and learning about ethical conduct in all information related activities from the media and journalism to other key public and private sector activities.
Conclusion: The Role of UNESCO. A Strategy for Action

We do not intend to elaborate a detailed plan of action or work programme, but we propose guidelines for a strategy with clear priorities.

There is nothing straightforward about the relationship between advances in digital technologies and social transformation. Investment in hardware and software should not be treated as a proxy for the abilities of people to make sense of their information and communication environment. A universal global knowledge base would not contribute to alleviate social and economic disadvantage even if it was accessible through global networks for the main reason that knowledge is only partly comprised of information. The other part is comprised of a mix of personal, interpersonal and contextual understanding which is acquired through learning (historically only face-to-face, but now in some cases through online interactions).

1. Giving priority to learning processes and the organisation of networked learning in the light of UNESCO’s mission is essential.

All people need the abilities to evaluate digital information critically in the light of other sources of knowledge. For this reason education through formal and informal learning processes, mixing online with offline where necessary, should be given a high priority, as should multilingualism to foster diverse and inclusive learning environments. This also means that information and media literacies must be strengthened throughout all segments of society. Other institutions can take care of the development of information.
and communication infrastructure although there is a need for coordination with respect to the organisation of its supply and the design features to ensure that it is open and inclusive. UNESCO should foster networking and distance learning and encourage universities in the wealthy countries to integrate in their networks with institutions from lower income countries, while at the same time, providing support for local content.

2. **Strong emphasis should be given to the training of trainers combining effectively all the resources available from face-to-face interaction to digital networking.**

   Autonomy, one of the main aims of education, is not given at the beginning of the process and obviously requires the help of competent trainers to be achieved effectively. The dramatic lack of teachers in many parts of the world remains the biggest barrier to access high quality education. The development of digital networks offers new opportunities that should be taken, and given the appropriate financial and technical resources, to enlarge and improve the training of teachers.

3. **Facilitating the rapid circulation of scientific knowledge in all parts of the world, especially in less developed areas, should be given priority.**

   Scientific knowledge is a key factor in the innovation process leading to economic competitiveness. It is also crucial in finding pathways to industrial development that are respectful of the environment. Unfortunately its production is concentrated in a few high level laboratories and universities. As science is acknowledged as a human common good, it should be shared universally. Well established universities and laboratories should be encouraged to share rapidly their discoveries and their know-how, especially with institutions in less developed areas.

4. **Encouraging research and debate on a balanced legal system to protect intellectual property and to favour access for all is essential.**

   The market exchange model and the creative commons model should be articulated with one another to stimulate the processes of creation and sharing of information. When securing the scarcity of digital information by enforcing intellectual property rights to foster economic growth suppresses creative activity this is detrimental to a flourishing collaborative and sharing culture in knowledge societies. Arrangements are needed to devise new business models and to promote the sharing of information. At the same time it is essential to consider the implications of knowledge sharing for the protection of individual privacy. Knowledge societies should not be enclosed to the degree that the
intellectual property rights holders claim. But this does not mean that there is no place for laws of ownership of information and rewards to creators.

5. **Fostering balanced partnerships among the private sector, the public sector and civil society organizations as well as individuals and other groups should be a priority.**

Digital networks and access to information are being developed through private and open applications of ICTs to support the media and freedom of expression, democracy and political transparency, improved access to banking facilities, financial resources and participation in commerce and trade, more timely and effective responses to humanitarian crises, and renewed efforts to tackle global warming and climate change. These are just a few of the areas across the whole of the economy, polity and social environment in which companies, governments and civil society organisations are often working in isolation. Or when they work in partnerships the relationships are contested and unequal or fail when it comes to privileging participatory bottom up action.

6. **Stimulating participatory initiatives, valuing diversity and giving individuals and local communities visibility and voice, should be a very high priority.**

Even when efforts are made to promote local participation, insufficient attention is given to what is necessary to ensure that applications of digital technologies are participatory in sense that they are empowering for all those involved in local communities. In many cases it is not acknowledged that change does not happen rapidly or in a way that is consistent with initial expectations. Innovation and learning processes are most successful when they are open to the unexpected and to change in the immediate and distant environment.

7. **Responsiveness to the interests of women, people with disabilities, native peoples, and marginalized people and groups should be a consideration of the highest priority in measures to promote knowledge societies.**

In today's knowledge societies there are numerous replications of disadvantage and exclusion whether for reasons of socio-economic status, class, race, ethnicity, or gender. In all areas of its work, UNESCO must seek ways of addressing inequality and social injustice. This can be done by promoting measures that respect human rights. Consideration should be given to addressing these issues wherever they feature in strategies to develop new digital applications and services. Networking offers new opportunities for empowerment of women and other marginalised and excluded groups,
but the opportunities cannot be realised without attention to discriminatory practices, privacy concerns and ethical issues.

8. **UNESCO should take a leading role in all the areas covered by its mandate, encouraging collaborations among those in and outside the UN System with resources to host information portals, to foster measures which support open data initiatives and make information more accessible and provide guidance about how to link data and interpret it in ways that are meaningful to those whose interests are often neglected.**

UNESCO should increase its presence on the internet. More could be done to promote awareness of the successes and failures of initiatives aimed at applying digital information in support of learning in all areas of society, providing access to information and fostering interconnections among groups working on similar problems. This may include establishing website pages that host information about what practices have worked well and which ones have not or it may involve targeted efforts to collaborate with those who host portals to give a higher profile to specific issues as they gain in significance on the policy agenda. This includes attention to open standards for information sharing, the implications of the increasing scale of data resources, including ‘big data’, and the growing importance of cloud computing for data and information access.

9. **Fostering environments in knowledge societies that employ fair employment practices and respect the human rights of voluntary contributors and paid workers is essential for diversifying and improving livelihoods and should be an important priority for UNESCO in collaboration with other organizations.**

Knowledge societies are increasingly enabling distributed voluntary efforts to apply digital information for problem solving of all kinds in both the commercial and non-commercial sectors. Open data and information are making it easier to generate new knowledge for applications in support of development goals and many of these activities fall directly within UNESCO’s mandate. UNESCO should strengthen its coordination with other agencies such as the International Labour Organization (ILO) which have mandates with respect to labour practices. The reason is that there is evidence from the attempts to include local communities in generating and applying knowledge, gained partly from the use of ICTs and software applications (e.g. Ushahidi platforms, crowdsourcing, open data and information sharing, e-science, etc.), that in some contexts voluntary working is inconsistent with the ability to maintain or build livelihoods. This may be because
voluntarism is not consistent with the local culture of contributing to society or it may be a reflection of the practice of paying citizens to participate in various ICT related projects over the years. In addition, where distant working and online employment is flourishing, virtual outsourcing labour practices and environments may be inconsistent with employment standards that respect workers’ rights and this affects workers in the media (e.g. professional journalism) and in the creative industries more generally.

In conclusion, some political philosophers argue that “control over linguistic sense and meaning and the networks of communication” is the core issue in political struggle. They look to digital 'tools' to create the conditions where decentralized citizens and civic sector organizations self-organize in a way that leads to fundamental societal change. However, in renewing the vision of knowledge societies for peace and sustainable development, UNESCO, with other intergovernmental, State and private sector actors will play a role alongside individual citizens and civil society organization in establishing the way financial and information resources, including media content, are produced and applied to build our societies. This means that a high priority is to ensure that all stakeholders are held accountable for their strategies and actions.

Since the MDGs were agreed in 2000 and the challenges of knowledge societies were given the highest profile at the WSIS in the middle of the first decade of the 21st century, it has become more obvious that the abilities required for change in line with the goal of peace and sustainable development may be facilitated by access to digital technologies and information, but this is far from being sufficient to empower people to find means of making the necessary changes.

In the early 1990s there was a strong assumption that modernizing network infrastructures and providing universal access would result in improved performance of countries on both income and human development indicators. By the mid-2000s, it was clear that there is no straightforward relationship between the diffusion of digital technologies and poverty reduction, much less between their diffusion and cultural and social values consistent with equity and social justice. These relationships are now acknowledged to be complex. The financial crisis and its aftermath have shown that inequality and social injustice can thrive in the midst of the highest levels of penetration of ICTs. For this reason, we cannot rely on markets and technological innovation to bootstrap people out of poverty and onto a sustainable development path. We must also rely on complementary non-market activities that are increasingly underpinning
collective action in the digital and everyday life worlds. UNESCO’s renewal of the knowledge societies vision benefits from evidence that only through the combined efforts of all stakeholders to tackle conflicting interests will the goals of peace and sustainable development have a chance of being met in the future. Access to information and knowledge and its application are essential for all if strategies and actions are to work towards these goals.

The renewal of UNESCO’s vision of knowledge societies can provide leadership in embracing the consequences of ICTs and knowledge intensive societies. To understand how and why societies are changing, it is essential to understand how information and knowledge play a role within them when they are mediated by ICT applications and networks. There is sound empirical evidence that these developments can both include and exclude people and that they can be both empowering and disempowering. This vision can provide a framework within which an emphasis can be placed, not only on what is happening in knowledge societies, but also on why the interests of stakeholders often diverge and conflict. Being willing to acknowledge that knowledge societies are neither uniform, nor always beneficial for citizens and consumers, is a crucial step towards formulating effective policies to address exclusion and disempowerment in those instances where it is found to be occurring.

Through its work programme UNESCO has the opportunity to provide exemplary insight, not into uniform or universal solutions, but into how it is feasible to invoke participatory collective action in an open information commons and to encourage the commercial development of innovative information and media related production in the creative industries. UNESCO’s special focus on its core themes gives it a very firm basis upon which to demonstrate the consequences of changes in the information and knowledge spheres; changes that are deeply connected with every aspect of cultural, social, political and economic life.

UNESCO’s facilitation of projects, research and networks in key areas can play a key role in demonstrating that digital technologies and information access can enable empowerment and conflict resolution if the role of ICTs is understood within the wider social, political, cultural and economic contexts in which people live their lives. Information and knowledge can play a role in encouraging genuine participation and accountability on the part of all stakeholders. With respect to environmental sustainability, UNESCO can work with its stakeholders to show how ICTs and networked
communities can mitigate some of the negative environmental impacts associated with developing knowledge societies. It can also emphasize the priorities for human development and demonstrate that technological innovations are never entirely benign in their consequences. The renewal of UNESCO’s vision of knowledge societies should call for continuous evaluation of the opportunities and risks, and for the principles, enabling policies and programmes that will help to accelerate inclusive knowledge societies which also contribute to peace and sustainable development.
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