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Abstract

Gender inequalities in Internet use are smaller among younger people. It is unclear whether these differences can be explained by the varying circumstances in which different generations grew up or by other factors that vary within an individual's life time. This article tests a model which proposes that generation determines the level of Internet use and life stage determines gender differences in Internet use. Descriptive analyses of a representative sample of 1,578 British Internet users confirm that there continue to be small but significant gender differences for most uses of the Internet. The findings from a series of linear regressions suggest that gender differences vary for different life stages related to occupation and marital status. This is true especially for typically male uses. The article concludes that other factors related to life stage will continue to influence gender differences in Internet use in the future.
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Background

Offline inequalities, such as those in income and education, are reflected in the ways in which people engage with technologies (Norris, 2001; van Dijk, 2005). Researchers are concerned about these differences in engagement because they might reinforce existing unequal distributions of power in the home and wider society (Loader, 1998; Warschauer, 2004). One of the offline inequalities that are mirrored online is the differences between men and women.

Research shows that over the last decade, gender differences in access to the Internet have decreased and are now very small in countries such as Britain and the United States (Dutton & Helsper, 2007; Fallows, 2005; ONS, 2007). In spite of near equality in Internet access, considerable gender differences continue to be found in breadth of use (Ono & Zavodny, 2003; Wasserman & Richmond-Abbott, 2005) and Internet skills (Broos, 2005; Hargittai & Shafer, 2006; Joiner et al., 2005; Torkzadeh, Chang, & Demirhan, 2006). Since
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younger generations show smaller gender differences in ICT use (Ono & Zavodny, 2003, 2007), these differences are argued to be generational and thus temporal. The explanation is that young women’s familiarity with the technology is similar to that of young men, whereas older women grew up in an environment that was very different from that of their male peers. If generation is indeed the main determinant of gender differentiation, these differences should disappear over time as older generations die. Today’s teenagers grow up in a completely different ICT environment than their parents, and these generational differences will no doubt influence how they use the Internet. If differences between gender groups are mainly related to generational or cohort effects, then current teenagers’ behaviors and gender differences can be used to forecast what the information society will look like in the future.

However, others argue that gender differences in behavior are shaped as much by socialization as by generation (Gill & Grint, 1995; Herring, 2002; Selwyn, 2007; Singh, 2001; Wajcman, 2004). The latter scenario implies that “real-life” gender roles vary between men and women depending on the stage of life they are at (e.g., marital status, occupation, parenthood). It follows that if gender differences in ICT use are linked to life stage, they will continue to persist in the future. If a main determinant of differences in ICT use is indeed life stage instead of cohort, then there might be a change in current teenagers’ behavior when they marry or are employed. The behavior of adults who currently find themselves at these life stages would in this case be a better predictor of what future adult online behavior will look like than young people’s current behavior. This article tries to unpick the roles played by generation and life stages in relation to gender differences in Internet use in Britain.

**Issues in Gender and Internet Research**

Existing research about the influence of gender on Internet use has some limitations. Two main issues are the “generalization” and “generation and life stage” problems of Internet and gender research.

The first issue, the “generalization problem,” is that research reports often draw conclusions about the gendered use of the Internet without making distinctions between different groups of men and women. In trying to understand the unique effect of gender on Internet use, earlier studies controlled for sociodemographic factors such as generation and socioeconomic status as well as for the level of experience that men and women have with the Internet. For example, the Pew Internet and American Life project surveyed 6,403 men and women and showed that gender and age made a difference (Fallows, 2005). Men used the Internet more broadly and more intensely than women and older people less than younger people (see also Wasserman & Richmond-Abbott, 2005). However, almost all of these studies fail to make an explicit comparison of gender differences within different generational, occupational, and other groups. An exception is a study conducted by Weiser (2000) who found that gender and age did not interact significantly. In this study, the difference in attitudes toward technologies between men and women were similar in all age groups. However, he used nonrepresentative samples of 506 undergraduate students and 684
volunteer participants. They participated following an advert they were shown if they typed in the words Internet, surveys, and search engine. This limits the generalizability of this study’s findings.

The second, “generation and life stage” problem, refers to the focus on men and women of certain generations and occupations when researching Internet behavior. The continued use of university students as participants for studies leads to the comparison of a very specific group of women with a very specific group of men (e.g., Joiner et al., 2005; Odell, Korgen, Schumacher, & Delucchi, 2000). More importantly, it leads to the comparison of expert (young and highly educated) women with young expert men. The activities these participants undertake are likely to be different from those undertaken by people of the same generation who are not in education. If the focus is not on students, it is often on the use of the Internet at home, which typically has an overrepresentation of middle-aged women with young families (e.g., Cummings & Kraut, 2002; Dholakia, 2006; Faulkner, 2002; Livingstone & Helsper, 2007). Very rarely are gender differences in ICT use studied among individuals with different types of occupations. An exception is a study conducted by Ono and Zavodny (2005) which looked at differences between men and women in different types of employment and those without work in Japan and the United States. They found that employment was related to large gender gaps in Japan but not in the United States where gender difference were minimal for all groups. They argued that this might be due to the type of employment that women and men traditionally occupy in Japan. However, their study did not distinguish between unemployment, retirement, and caretaker functions of those who were not in employment. In addition, although the authors did control for other life-stage factors such as marital status in their analyses, they did not report on its independent effects.

This focus on young students and middle-aged individuals in traditional households and occupations means that older individuals and individuals who live in nontraditional households are often left out of research findings. There are exceptions, such as a survey by Selwyn, Gorard, Furlong, and Madden (2003), which focused on older adults. They found that Internet use was stratified according to gender, marital status, and educational background. However, their sample was restricted to those over 60 and therefore could not be used to compare gender differences across different generational groups. Similarly, Cody, Dunn, Hoppin, and Wendt’s (1999) study also argued that life stage is as important as age in determining Internet use among the elderly but did not offer a comparison with other generations. Grimes, Hough, and Signorella (2007) investigated gender differences among employed and retired people and concluded that age differences were more pronounced in men than in women. However, as their study was restricted to e-mail spam, these results might not be generalizable to other uses. Loges and Jung (2001) conducted a study that investigated whether the elderly differed from younger generations in how central the Internet was to their lives, and they found that when controlling for other factors there was no such difference. This could be a potential argument against a life-stage effect. However, this study was focused on ethnic minorities and did not take into account gender differences (besides controlling for gender) nor did it investigate whether these factors related to different life stages, as opposed to different lifestyles as exemplified by Internet centrality, had an influence on Internet use.
Existing studies have thus not provided a complete insight into how gender interacts with other sociodemographic factors related to life stage such as occupational or marital status. It is therefore difficult to know whether differences between men and women will disappear as current generations grow older or whether there is something inherent to certain life stages which means these differences will persist when young generations grow older.

**Life-Stage Approach**

A life-stage approach toward gendered behavior proposes that certain positions in everyday life encourage individuals to adopt typically female or male roles. Shifts between life stages are defined in this article as the traditional points in a person’s life where daily rhythm and routine alter drastically due to a change in a person’s role in society. Two types of shifts have been identified as important factors influencing individuals’ lives; occupational shifts and relationship developments. Occupational shifts are related to the main activity that occupies a person’s day. Occupational life-stage cycles generally start with education after which most shift to employment. This move from student to employee is partly marked by a decrease in personal freedom as well as by an increase in responsibilities and in disposable income. There are marked gender differences especially among employed men and women. Women are generally in lower qualified occupations and are more often caretakers than men (Bobbitt-Zeher, 2007; Denton & Boos, 2007; Hogan, Perrucci, & Behringer, 2005; Joshi, Makepeace, & Dolton, 2007; Kangas & Rostgaard, 2007). The next shift in occupational life stages comes when people retire. Among students and retirees, men’s and women’s circumstances tend to be more equal than during the employment stage. Unemployment is also marked as a distinct life circumstance in which men and women tend to be more equal than while in employment.

In this article, these distinctions between occupational life stages are assumed to be reflected in Internet use, which leads to the following question:

*Research Question 1*: Are differences between men and women in Internet use largest at the employment life stage?

A classification of life stages in relationship development starts with very broad distinctions between being single and being in a relationship. Studies of gender roles in relationships usually compare cohabiting and married couples. An exception is a study by South and Spitze (1994) which compared cohabiting and married individuals with divorced and widowed individuals. They found, as did a number of other studies, that the distribution of activities at home was more traditionally gendered in married couples (see also Barber & Axinn, 1998; Brown, 2003). Changes in behavior between marital status stages are generally larger for women; men appear to have more stable patterns of behavior related to gender roles. Furthermore, cohabiting women tend to be more similar to single women than to married women unless both partners see the cohabitation as a precursor to marriage (Rindfuss & Van Den Heuvel, 1990). In summary, marriage still tends to introduce the
most drastic shift related to relationships in offline-gendered behavior. Parenthood is often studied in addition to marital status as an indicator of life stage. Becoming a parent has been found to amplify gendered roles in relationships (Belsky & Pensky, 1988; Cowan & Cowan, 1992). This article asks the following question to understand if relationship stages are reflected in online behavior.

**Research Question 2:** Are gendered patterns of online behavior more pronounced within individuals who are in a long-term relationship and for individuals with children?

Gender differences are likely to be related to a mix of both life-stage and generational effects. A combination of the aforementioned generation and life-stage approaches leads to the following hypothesis about a possible interaction effect of gender, generation, and life stage:

**Hypothesis 1:** Generation has a main effect on Internet use with younger people using the Internet more than older people, but life-stage influences the differences between men and women so that differences at some life stages are greater than those at other life stages.

Figure 1 illustrates a life-stage model in which gender differences within different generational groups are said to be influenced by life stage, whereas the average level of use is determined by generation.

**Gendered Internet Use**

The Internet provides a range of different opportunities for engagement. This article will examine the relationship between life stage and Internet use (Research Questions 1 and 2) and test Hypothesis 1 for general Internet use and three specific uses of the Internet which have been linked to gender differences in past work.

Previous research has established that men and women differ as regard the online content and platforms they engage with. In this context, communication and entertainment are the most commonly studied activities. Communication is considered more typically female and entertainment typically male (Faulkner, 2002; Jackson, Ervin, Gardner, & Schmitt, 2001; Li & Kirkup, 2007; Shaw & Grant, 2002; Weiser, 2000). This is not to say that communication and entertainment are clearly defined, separate activities; communication can be entertaining and online entertainment can involve communication. However, different platforms carry signifiers as regard the primary function of what they offer (e.g., games are primarily for entertainment and chat rooms primarily for communication). Similarly, different types of content are classifiable in terms of their primary function independent of how they are used in practice.

There is ample evidence for male types of Internet content, that is, there are platforms that are clearly dominated by men with low female participation. These tend to be sexual and entertainment focused (e.g., gaming; Papastergiou & Solomonidou, 2005;
Traeen, Nilsen, & Stigum, 2006; Weiser, 2000). In contrast, the research discusses a narrower range of clearly female types of sites or activities. One of these is the search for health information (Escoffery et al., 2005; Karavidas, Lim, & Katsikas, 2005; Warner & Procaccino, 2007). Anderson (2004) in one of the only truly longitudinal panel studies in this area showed that online health searches were more frequent among older women who tended to use the Internet at someone else’s home. An online activity that is less clearly gendered is Internet shopping. Shopping is an interesting activity in comparison to other behaviors because women tend to engage more in shopping offline. However, online shopping which includes “window shopping” on price comparison sites and actual purchases often shows the opposite pattern, with men being more active shoppers than women on the Internet (Dittmar, Long, & Meek, 2004; Garbarino & Strahilevitz, 2004; Wolin & Korgaonkar, 2003). Nevertheless, there is some evidence to the contrary as well particularly when it comes to younger generations; Gross (2004) found that teenage girls shop more online than boys do. The general male dominance of online shopping might be related to it being interpreted as part of a wider class of activities that could be labeled economic or financial which also include banking and investing. These are primarily aimed at saving money or acquiring goods and not on the more experiential type of consumption as described by Holt (1995). Offline functional consumption is dominated by men and experiential consumption tends to be more female (Dittmar et al., 2004; Holbrook & Hirschman, 1982). Although gender differences have also been found for general information seeking and more participatory activities (see Dutton, Helsper, & Gerber, 2009), this article focuses on those activities that are most clearly gendered and where life-stage differences might be expected.

Figure 1. Life stage and generation model of gendered Internet use
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After a descriptive analysis of the differences in Britain between men and women on the most common Internet uses, this article will examine the influence of life stage and generation on three uses; one clearly male (sexual material), one female (health searches), and one that in the offline world is female gendered but which is less clearly gendered online (shopping). Hypothesis 1 is tested for these specific uses to understand whether the patterns of interaction between gender, life stage, and generation differ for clearly and ambiguously gendered uses.

**Methodology**

This article uses 2007 data from the Oxford Internet Survey (OxIS). These OxIS surveys used a nationally representative random sample of 2,350 people aged 14 and older in Britain (England, Scotland, and Wales). Interviews were conducted face-to-face in people’s homes. A two-stage random sampling design was used. First, a random sample of 175 areas in Britain was selected, stratified by region. Then, within each selected area, a random sample of 10 addresses was selected from the U.K. Postal Address File. The data were weighted according to the U.K. Census based on gender, age, socioeconomic grade, and region. The response rate using this sampling strategy was 77%. The analyses in this article use the data of the 1,578 Internet users.

**Measures**

Four categories of variables were created from OxIS to be able to conduct the analyses and test the hypotheses: gender, generation, life stage, and Internet use. The construction of these variables is described in this section.

**Gender, Generation, and Life Stage**

**Generation.** The participant was asked in which year they were born. Ten-year periods were interpreted as delineating generations, with the exception of the youngest participants which were split into a generation of teenagers and young adults to account for possible generational differences between first- and second-generation digital natives (see Helsper & Eynon, 2009): 14-17 years, 18 to 24 years, 25 to 34 years, 35 to 44 years, 45 to 54 years, 55 to 64 years, 65 to 74 years, 75+ years. This scale from 1 to 8 was used as an ordinal variable in the regressions presented in the article.

**Gender.** Gender was noted by the interviewer—the distribution of men and women was equal over the sample.

**Life stage.** Life stage was divided into marital status, occupation, and children. Marital status was measured through the question “Are you…? ‘single,’ ‘married,’ ‘living together with a partner,’ ‘divorced/separated,’ or ‘widowed.’” Occupation was based on the question “Which of these descriptions best describes your current situation?” Five categories were created: student (part time, full time, postgraduate, and undergraduate), employed (part-time and full-time employed), retired, unemployed (unemployed or unable to work), and caretakers (doing housework or taking care of children or other family members). The
operationalization of occupation was based on the official categories as used by the Office of National Statistics in the United Kingdom. Children measured whether there were people under 18 in the household.

For the regressions presented in this article, the gender, marital status, occupation, and children variables were dummy coded based on the categories described previously (See Table 1 for sample composition).

### Internet Behavior

A factor analysis identified different classes of applications and content out of all the Internet use variables available in OxIS (see Helsper, Dutton, & Gerber, 2008). Three types were selected for detailed analysis based on their gendered nature as observed in previous research. Sexual material searches and health information searches showed up as single item measures, whereas the other classes of content all consisted of several items that loaded higher than .30 on a related factor. Participants were asked for all activities “How often do you . . . ?” and answered on a scale ranging from 1 (never) to 6 (several times a day; intermediate categories were daily, weekly, monthly, less than monthly).

The sexual material question asked how often people looked for “sites with adult (sexual) content” ($M = 1.25; SD = .73$).

The health question asked how often people looked for information on health and medical care on the Internet ($M = 2.13; SD = 1.05$).
Shopping was a composite measure how often people said they “get information about a product or service,” “buying a product or service online,” “making travel reservations/bookings,” and how often they “compare products online.” The scores across these items were averaged ($M = 2.54; SD = .78, α = .78$).

For the descriptive analyses, seven additional classes of content were selected from the factors identified, and these classes of content can be roughly grouped into the communication, entertainment, and economic participation categories identified earlier as potentially interesting in terms of gender distinctions.

**Communication—social networking and personal communication.** Online social networking, the only activity with a 5-point Likert-type scale (from 0 to 4) which measured whether participants had posted messages on discussion boards, kept a blog, created a Web site, and whether they had created a social networking profile in the last year ($M = .65; SD = 1.06, α = .78$). Online personal communication measured how often Internet users participated in the following activities on the Internet (instant messaging, emailing, chat rooms, and making phone calls using the Internet; $M = 2.69; SD = .98, α = .62$).

**Entertainment—play, entertainment, and leisure.** Play measured how frequently Internet users “played games online” and “participated in betting and gambling” ($M = 1.62; SD = .84, r^2 = .18, p < .0001$). The entertainment variable referred to how often people “downloaded music,” “downloaded videos,” and “listened to a radio station” ($M = 1.92; SD = .67, α = .70$). Leisure measured the frequency with which participants looked for information on “travel” and “local events” ($M = 2.40; SD = .87, r^2 = .30, p < .0001$).

**Economic participation—online finance.** Finances measured how often people used the Internet to manage their personal and household finances (paying bills, use bank’s online services, checking investments in stocks/funds/bonds; $M = 1.88; SD = .94, α = .75$).

**Breadth of Use**

This variable measured the range of activities that people undertake on the Internet, a scale that ranged from 0 to 55 (the sum of all the online activities measured in the survey) and which gave equal weight to entertainment, information, commercial, political, and other types of activities ($Mdn = 19; M = 19.23; SD = 8.86, α = .91$). The individual items have been listed in the 2007 OxIS report (Dutton & Helsper, 2007).

**Findings: Breadth of Use**

To establish a baseline for a wide range of online activities, simple descriptive analyses were performed comparing men and women. These two groups were compared for both the average frequency with which they undertook each activity and the percentage within each group that undertook the activity in the last year.

Table 2 shows that men undertook all activities more frequently than women with the exception of leisure and health information activities. The only activity that was undertaken more frequently by women than men was looking for health information. Similarly, the percentage of men who undertook any particular activity in the last year was almost
always higher than the percentage of women who undertook the same activity. There were a few exceptions; men and women did not differ in how likely they were to use the Internet for personal communication, finances, online leisure, and online shopping. Thus, although women used the Internet less frequently for personal communication, finance, and shopping, the likelihood of them doing this at all in the last year did not differ from men.

The analyses that follow will examine the relationship between gender, generation, life stage, and breadth of use. This is followed by a discussion of the findings in relation to clearly gendered (sexual material and health information) and less clearly gendered (shopping) online activities. Moderated stepwise regressions were used to understand if these gender differences in Internet use vary by generation and by life stage. Gender was entered at the first step, the life stage and generation variables at the second step, and the interactions terms with gender at the third step.

**Findings: Explaining Breadth of Use**

The linear regression presented in Table 3 shows that older Internet users undertook a narrower range of online activities and that women and men differed in breadth of use only

---

Table 2 Average Online Behavior of Men and Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth of Internet use</td>
<td>20.44**</td>
<td>9.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>use (0-55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual material (1-6)</td>
<td>1.41**</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health information (1-6)</td>
<td>2.02**</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online shopping (1-6)</td>
<td>2.60**</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online finance (1-6)</td>
<td>1.95**</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online social networking (0-4)</td>
<td>0.76**</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online personal communication (1-6)</td>
<td>2.80**</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online play (1-6)</td>
<td>1.76**</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online entertainment (1-6)</td>
<td>2.07**</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online leisure (1-6)</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Base: Users of the Internet (N = 1,578). Comparisons made between means (t test) and between percentages (χ²).]  
ªPercentage of Internet users that undertakes at least one of the activities in this category.  
* Differences between men and women significant at p < .05, ** Differences between men and women significant at p < .01.
within certain generation groups. Similarly, those who were employed or were students were more active than those of other occupational categories and retired people less active than those from other occupational categories.

Figure 2 shows that the differences between men and women were largest in the oldest generations (Δ = 3.59 for 65-74 years and Δ = 6.36 for 75+ years) and smallest for the generations of so-called digital natives (Δ = 0.80 for 14-17 years and Δ = 0.25 for 18-24
The differences between men and women of the wartime generation (65 to 74 years) were actually smaller than the differences between some of their younger counterparts. Only from the generation of 35 to 44 year olds onwards did men clearly use the Internet more broadly than women and did average breadth of use continuously decrease. In the younger generations, the breadth of use was smaller than in the middle-aged generations. Figure 2 clearly shows a nonlinear relationship between generation and Internet use as well as an interaction between gender and generation ($t = 3.58$, $p < .01$; see Table 3).

### Findings on Specific Uses

To understand whether the effects of gender, generation, and life stage varied for differently gendered activities, moderated regressions were conducted for sexual material, health, and shopping activities. As before, gender was entered at the first step, the life stage and generation variables at the second step, and the interactions terms with gender at the third step. The descriptive findings supported the gendered nature of health (female) and sexual material (male) activities and showed that men used the Internet more frequently for shopping than women in Britain (see Table 4).

From Table 4, one can conclude that for all three uses (looking for sexual material, health services, and shopping online), gender was a significant independent explanatory variable. In addition, gender in interaction with different life-stage characteristics explained

### Table 4 Stepwise Moderated Regression of Online Sexual Material, Health, and Shopping Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sexual Material</th>
<th></th>
<th>Health</th>
<th></th>
<th>Shopping</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 1 (Constant)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(women)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.34**</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12**</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2 Generation</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.30**</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10**</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.12**</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabiting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3 Gender × Generation</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender × Unemployed</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.09*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender × Married</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.31**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Base: Users of the Internet ($N = 1,578$). Variables that were not significant at the step in which they were entered are not depicted in the table. Excluded variables were Single, Divorced, Student, Retired, and Children. Each step includes the variance explained of the variables in the equation on the corresponding and previous step ($R^2$).
two of the three uses significantly. Notably, these were those uses (sexual material and online shopping) which were dominated by men.

As expected, looking for sexual material was clearly related to the gender of the person. Men were more likely to look for sexual material in all generations ($t = -4.03, p < .001$). Employed users were more likely to look for sexual material ($t = 3.64, p < .001$). In addition, the differences between men and women differed according to life stage; unemployment and marriage were the focus of these interactions. Generation did not influence looking for sexual material online neither independently nor in interaction with gender.

For health searches, generation was not a significant factor either, but gender and life stage were significantly related to this type of use. Women looked more frequently for health information than men independent of the life stage or generation group they were in. Employed people were also more likely to search for health information than the other occupational groups. No significant interactions between gender, generation, and life stage were found for health.

For the shopping variable, there were main effects of generation and life stage variables. Older people shopped online less frequently. Whereas employed and cohabiting people shopped more frequently, unemployed people shopped less frequently. In addition, the relationship between gender and shopping differed depending on life stage and generation.

---

**Figure 3.** Looking for sexual material by gender and life stage (occupation)

Note: Base: Internet Users (N = 1,578). Figure 3 depicts the percentage of people who looked at “adult sites” once a month or more. The regressions were performed using the frequency scale from 1 (never) to 6 (several times per day). The results were similar for both average frequency and percentage distributions between men and women but are graphically clearer when using the percentages of men and women within the different groups.

* Differences between men and women significant at $p < .05$.

** Differences between men and women significant at $p < .01$. 

---

---
in this case being married influenced this relationship between gender and online behavior more than other life-stage factors.

In Figures 3 through 6, the significant interactions are depicted. As no interaction effects were found between gender, generation, and life stage for health searches (see Table 4), no graphs are included for these relationships.

Figure 3 illustrates the main effect of gender found in Table 4 that men in all occupation groups used the Internet more for sexual material than women. Figure 3 also shows the interaction between gender and life stage. The difference between men and women was smallest among those who were retired (t = 2.17, p = .03) and more or less equal in the students (t = 3.28, p < .01) and the employed group (t = 6.43, p < .001). Home caretaking women were less likely to use the Internet for sexual material than women with other occupations (t = 2.03, p = .04), with the exception of retired women. Access to sexual material varied more for men within the different occupations than it did for women.

Figure 4 shows that men looked more for sexual material across all marital status groups. In addition, gender differences varied widely across different marital status groups. Men who were single were 3.5 times as likely (t = 5.23, p < .001) to look for sexual material (M = .28; 95% CI = .23-.34) as single women (M = .08; 95% CI = .04-.12), cohabiting men (M = .19; 95% CI = .12-.26) 2.3 times as likely (t = 2.87, p < .01) as cohabiting women (M = .08; 95% CI = .03-.13), married men (M = .15; 95% CI = .11-.19) 3 times as likely (t = 4.43, p < .001) as married women (M = .05; 95% CI = .02-.07) and divorced men (M = .46; 95% CI = .32-.60) 11.5 times as likely (t = 5.59, p < .001) as divorced women.

Figure 4. Looking for sexual material by gender and life stage (marital status)
Note: Base: Internet Users (N = 1,578). While the regressions were performed using the frequency scale from 1 (never) to 6 (several times per day), Figure 4 depicts the percentage of people who looked at “adult sites” once a month or more. The results were similar in terms of the differences between men and women but are graphically clearer when using the percentages of men and women within the different groups.
* Differences between men and women significant at p < .05.
** Differences between men and women significant at p < .01.
Whereas divorce seemed to influence men strongly in their use of sexual material, it barely changed the use of these types of sites for women.

\( M = .04; 95\% \text{ CI } = .00-.08 \). Whereas divorce seemed to influence men strongly in their use of sexual material, it barely changed the use of these types of sites for women.

**Figure 5.** Shopping by gender and generation
Note: Base: Internet users (\( N = 1,578 \)).
**Differences between men and women significant at \( p < .01 \).

**Figure 6.** Shopping by gender and life stage (marital status)
Note: Base: Internet Users (\( N = 1,578 \)).
**Differences between men and women significant at \( p < .01 \).
Figure 5 depicts the significant interaction between generation and gender \( (t = 2.26, p = .02) \) in relation to online shopping. The differences between men and women increased after middle age, becoming significant for the postwar generation of 56- to 64-years-olds \( (t = 2.67, p < .01) \) and those born before that. The drop in shopping activities for women was considerable, while men’s shopping behavior remained constant. The figure also shows that generation was not linearly but curvilinearly related to use; younger and older (female) Internet users were less active online shoppers.

Figure 6 shows that differences between men and women in online shopping were small in all marital status groups, with the exception of the divorced group. The likelihood of shopping online was equal between men and women in the divorced group (90% shops online), and although men tended to do so more frequently, this difference was not significant \( (t = 1.73, p = .08) \). The difference between married men and women in the frequency in which they used the Internet for shopping was significant \( (t = 4.43, p < .001) \); 43% of married men reported doing some type of online shopping activity at least once a month in comparison to 33% of married women.

**Discussion**

This article investigated the interplay between gender, generation, and life stage (i.e., occupation, marital status, and parenthood) as regard Internet use. The descriptive and regression analyses presented showed that the Internet is by no means gender neutral and support earlier research which found that men integrate technologies more broadly into their everyday lives than women (Joiner et al., 2005; Ono & Zavodny, 2003; Wasserman & Richmond-Abbott, 2005). Seven out of nine Internet activities examined were undertaken more frequently by men which suggests that there are more Internet applications that are dominated by men than applications that have a female user base.

The findings on health searches supported previous research (Escoffery et al., 2005; Warner & Procaccino, 2007) which suggests that health is one of the few clearly female activities on the Internet. However, in contrast to previous research (Faulkner, 2002; Jackson et al., 2001; Shaw & Grant, 2002), the findings showed that women were not more likely to use the Internet as a tool to communicate. Activities considered to be typically male offline, such as looking for entertainment and sexual material, were also undertaken more by men online. Of course, it is important to remember that the study used self-report measures and that the difference might be influenced by differences in self-report instead of by differences in actual behavior.

Moderated regressions showed that generation also was an important factor in explaining general Internet use. Nevertheless, for clearly gendered behaviors (i.e., sexual material and health searches) generation had no significant independent effect, instead life stage was more important in determining the influence of gender on Internet use.

This article asked two questions related to life-stage effects which were addressed for self-reports of typically male (sexual material), female (health), and gender ambiguous (shopping) uses of the Internet. Research Question 1 asked if differences between men and
women in Internet use are larger at the employment life stage as life circumstances, such as income and allocation of housework, differ most between men and women at this stage.

Only for the online activity of looking for sexual material were the largest gender differences found among employed, as well as among unemployed/caretaker, men and women. Based on the literature about offline gendered behavior (Evertsson & Nermo, 2007; Sayer, 2005), gender patterns were expected to differ less between men and women who were unemployed than between those who were employed, and thus the prediction that gendered online patterns directly mirror offline patterns was not fully corroborated.

Employed people, independent of their gender, looked more frequently for health information and shopped more online, but occupation did not influence the gender difference for these activities (like it did for sexual material searches). Based on the above, the tentative answer to Research Question 1 is that life stage (occupational status), significantly influences the differences between men and women for clearly (male) gendered uses.

Research Question 2 asked if gendered patterns of online behavior are more pronounced among individuals in long-term relationships reflecting offline patterns. Interactions between gender and marital status were found, but these interactions did not mirror findings in research of offline-gendered behavior. Being in a relationship influenced gender differences for typically male activities (looking for sexual material), but these were smallest, instead of largest, for those who were married or cohabiting. This finding is not too surprising as within relationships this type of activity is often discouraged and there are outlets within the relationships for sexual needs. A more notable finding is that marital status influenced online sexual material use mainly in men and hardly changed the very low use of these types of sites by women. Divorced men were especially likely to look for sexual material whereas almost no divorced women said they looked for this type of material.

For classes of activities that were more typical of women (i.e., health searches), there were no significant marital status relationships with gender differences. Marital status did influence gender differences in online shopping. Married men in particular were more likely to shop online. The descriptives indicated that in general, online shopping is a more male than female behavior. However, the findings in this article do not show what type of shopping is undertaken more often by men, it could be that online shopping concentrates on more expensive purchases, an area traditionally within the realm of men and not on everyday purchases like groceries (Vogler, Brockmann, & Wiggins, 2006; Vogler, Lyonette, & Wiggins, 2008). Future research should address this in more detail. In a pattern opposite to that of looking for sexual material, marital status was related to greater differences in women’s than in men’s behavior. The lowest levels of online shopping were found for women who were married or divorced, suggesting that this activity might be taken over by the husband once women get married.

In answer to Research Question 2, the influence of marital status on online shopping and sexual material searches suggests that life stage, as measured by marital status, influences gender differences only for those activities that men dominate online.

Surprising to note is that neither was having children related to differences in Internet use between men and women nor did it influence the level of use independently.
This finding contradicts the research that suggests that having children is the single most important change in the routines of people’s everyday lives and relationships (Belsky & Pensky, 1988; Cowan & Cowan, 1992) and an important motivator for older generations to use ICTs (van Rompaey, Roe, & Struys, 2002; Venkatesh & Vitalari, 1992).

A limitation is that the cohort survey data used to answer Research Question 1 and Research Question 2 do not allow final conclusions about causal effects of changes in life circumstances. A longitudinal panel study would give a better insight into how Internet use changes when a person gets married, when they find employment, or when they have children. Nevertheless, the regressions did allow for conclusions about the independent effects of generation and life stage.

The article proposed and tested a generation-dependent life-stage model in which gender differences in Internet use are determined by life stage but the level of use was determined by generation (see Figure 1). Hypothesis 1 which tested this model, had to be rejected for breadth of use, sexual material, and health uses of the Internet for different reasons, but there was partial support for this hypothesis in relation to online shopping.

Hypothesis 1 has to be rejected for breadth of use because the largest difference between men and women was found for the oldest generations, and life stage had no influence on gender differences. However, this interaction between generation and gender was not linear, and differences between men and women were actually stable in those generations over 45 years old, where the model predicted the differences would be smaller in older generations. Those who were younger showed hardly any differences in breadth of use.

Hypothesis 1 is also rejected for sexual material because generation did not influence how often people looked for this material online even though life stage did. Similarly, this hypothesis was rejected for health searches because generation did not influence how often people looked for health information online. The lack of a generational effect might be explained by the nonlinearity in the relationship between generation and health searches, whereas younger and older people use the Internet less for health searches. The need to look for health information online for family members at middle age might outstrip the need in the older generations to find solutions for their personal health problems. However, having young people in the household did not influence these relationships, and an alternative explanation might have to be found.

For online shopping, Hypothesis 1 can be supported as generation and life stage interacted with shopping online. Differences were smallest within the youngest generations, and those who were married showed smaller differences than the divorced men and women but larger than cohabiting and single men and women. Although this supports the importance of incorporating different aspects of life stage into understandings of gendered Internet use, it is not obvious that offline patterns are replicated online. The life stages for which the smallest and those where the largest gender differences in shopping were expected based on offline patterns showed the largest and smallest gender differences in online shopping, respectively.

Some findings were quite surprising and raise questions that probably cannot be answered by quantitative research. Qualitative and longitudinal research could help understand, for example, what leads to the high level of self-reported sexual material searches in
Conclusions

This article considered gender differences in Internet use and whether these varied at different life stages and between different generations. It was argued that if generation is the main determinant of ICT use, gender differences should become smaller as the current ICT-savvy generation grows older. However, if life-stage influences ICT use, then gender differences might be more stable across generations and the behavior of this young generation might change as they grow older. A generational life-stage model was proposed in which the level of use is explained by generational differences, but in which gender differences are explained by life stage. This article was able to show that generation is neither the only nor the most important predictor of gender differences in Internet use. Life stage (measured as employment and marital status) influenced the differences between men and women or had an independent effect for most of the online activities studied. Time is therefore unlikely to “heal” all gender divides. Offline gender roles influence online behavior like they do other behavior, and this is likely to continue even when the current tech-savvy generation grows older. Practical issues could underlie this effect of life stage. For example, shopping to support the family might be more important for women when they are in a stable relationship. Similarly, men might have sexual needs which are fulfilled when they are in a stable relationship and which they therefore do not need to seek online. The Internet in this sense reflects the practical reality of offline life circumstances, and online gender differences cannot be seen as separate from offline gender roles.

Furthermore, researchers will need to rethink the influence of generation. A curvilinear effect of generation was observed. Younger people and older people used the Internet less for specific uses than middle-aged persons. This contradicts the linear effect that is often assumed between age and Internet use. This could be due to the types of uses investigated in this article which were adult in nature. The proposed generational life-stage model might therefore be more appropriate for adult types of uses. The life-stage model should be applied to analyses of newer applications to understand whether these gender differences vary in younger generations as well.

This article offers support for the importance of life stage in influencing ICT use. Nevertheless, more research is needed to understand if the Internet now serves, like housework traditionally served, as a platform on which gender relations are symbolically enacted.
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Notes
1. The OxIS databases were available on request at http://www.oii.ox.ac.uk/microsites/oxis/databases.cfm
2. The factor analysis also included information seeking and civic and political participation not represented as separate variables in this article. A confirmatory factor analysis including the scale variables shows that the scale distinctions hold with a reasonable fit of $\chi^2(131) = 920.08$, $p < .00$; CFI = .92, RMSEA = .06 (95% CI: .06-.07). For further details, see Helsper and Gerber (2010).
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