



THE LONDON SCHOOL  
OF ECONOMICS AND  
POLITICAL SCIENCE ■

Department of Accounting

## Information Policies, Collusion, and Honor Among Thieves

**Prof Donald Moser**

University of Pittsburgh

Date: 01 May 2013

Time: 16:00 – 17:30

Venue: OLD.3.21

John H. Evans III\*

Donald V. Moser

Andrew H. Newman

Bryan R. Stikeleather

Joseph M. Katz Graduate School of Business

University of Pittsburgh

\*Corresponding author: University of Pittsburgh

We gratefully acknowledge helpful comments from Jake Birnberg, Margaret Christ, Willie Choi, Bart Dierynck, Jeremy Douthit, Mei Feng, Vicky Hoffman, Jason Kuang, Jane Thayer, Michael Williamson, workshop participants at Florida State University, Tilberg University, the University of Georgia, the University of Pittsburgh, and the 2012 AAA Management Accounting Section Mid-year meeting, and especially Bill Tayler, who provided discussant comments at the 2012 Management Accounting Section Mid-year Meeting.

### ABSTRACT

Firms' information policies determine how much firm-related information is provided to employees beyond that directly needed to do their jobs. Under open policies, firms provide more information, whereas under closed policies, firms provide less. Our experiment tests whether open policies result in more collusion between managers, i.e., cooperation that harms the firm, than closed policies. We examine a setting in which two managers make separate reports to the firm regarding cost information known in common by them but unknown by the firm. Because both managers face the same truth-inducing contract, conventional economic theory predicts that they both will always report truthfully. Thus, there should be no collusion under either policy. In contrast, based on alternative behavioural predictions involving trust and reciprocity, we predict and find more collusion, and thus lower firm welfare, under an open versus closed policy. More collusion occurs under the open policy because both managers more often honor their non-binding agreements to steal from the firm by misreporting costs, i.e., there is more honor among thieves. Thus, we document an important potential cost of open information policies, suggesting that firms should consider whether the potential benefits of such policies outweigh the potential costs of increased collusion.

Keywords: information policy, information sharing, collusion, coordination, trust, reciprocity, truth-inducing contract