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Summary: Undocumented migrants are gaining increasing attention in the EU as a vulnerable group exposed to high health risks. Access to health care is subject to national regulations that differ within the EU 27. Accordingly, practice models on how to ensure the human right to health follow different logics. The article provides a first view of the landscape on policies/regulations within 20 EU Member States and highlights examples for related practices. Access to health care ranges from none to full access. This corresponds with policy contexts that range from ignorance to acknowledgement. One practice element decisive in all contexts is the level of structural compensation provided by non-governmental organisations (NGOs).
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Undocumented migrants (UDM) in the EU are gaining increasing attention as a vulnerable group exposed to high health hazards. The health of UDM is greatly at risk due to difficult living and working conditions which are often characterised by uncertainty, exploitation and dependency. At the same time, UDM face considerable barriers in accessing health services. Reviews ask for "greater transparency in countries' approaches to responding to the health and health care utilisation inequalities experienced by this population, within the framework of human rights." 1

Irregular foreign residents in the EU27 account for between 0.39% and 0.77% of the population, or some 1.9 to 3.8 million people. This equated to somewhere between 7% and 13% of the foreign population in 2008. 2 Routes to becoming inhabitants of what we coin here as NowHereland, a land that is nowhere and at the same time part of a European “here and now”, roughly can be outlined as endogenous – legal entry into a country but losing legal status (for example, from overstaying or not leaving when asylum is rejected) and exogenous (for example, when crossing borders undetected). 3 An irregular migrant has been defined as "someone, who owing to illegal entry or the expiry of his or her visa, lacks legal status in a transit or host country. The term applies to migrants who infringe a country’s admission rules and any other person not authorised to remain in the host country (also called clandestine/illegal/undocumented migrant or migrant in an irregular situation)." 4 To date, only estimates are available; 2 there is no official data on the number or characteristics of the inhabitants of NowHereland.

Health care in NowHereland: a management of paradox
Access to health care is defined as a fundamental human right, irrespective of legal status or financial capital, a right that should protect particularly socioeconomically disadvantaged and vulnerable groups from extreme hardship. 5 All EU Member States recognise this human right. At the same time, access to health care for UDM in Europe is a national competence. Regulations are heterogeneous, in most cases access to health care is related to specific documented status. This creates a paradox with contradictory demands of inclusion within the health care system seen as a human right and exclusion from health care through national definitions of inclusion like citizenship, insurance contributions, or a specific status such as registered asylum seeker or refugee.

In practical terms, these contradictory demands create uncertainty for health care organisations and their personnel: if they provide care, they may act against legal and financial regulations; if they do not provide care, they violate human rights and exclude the most vulnerable. This paradox cannot be resolved at a practice level but has to be managed in such a way that neither human rights nor national regulations are violated. This article therefore provides a first insight into the European Nowhereland, painting a landscape on health care regulations in twenty Member States as a frame of reference for emerging practice strategies on how to cope with the challenge of including the UDM within health care systems.

A first landscape of NowHereland
From a bird’s eye view, countries can be grouped into three different categories.
concerning regulations on health care for UDM (see Figure).

**Countries with no access to health care for UDM**

This includes countries without entitlements for UDM to access health care, but where UDM do have access to emergency care. This is done for two reasons: firstly, obligations to provide emergency care exist in general and are, in most cases, not linked to any kind of status. Secondly, access to emergency care only is seen more as a kind of ‘death prevention’, rather than as health care in the curative sense. Countries with no access to health care for UDM make up a large part of Central and Eastern Europe, Scandinavia and the Baltic states. These countries are: Austria, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia and Sweden.

**Countries with partial access to health care for UDM**

This includes countries where there are either explicit entitlements for specific services, and/or for specific sub-groups of UDM (for example, children, pregnant women) and/or for a specific diagnosis (for example, medically necessary treatment) in place. These countries are: Belgium, Italy and the UK.

In Italy, entitlements are in place for a range of services and for specific groups. In the UK, for a limited number of services access is free of charge, whereas for a range of hospital treatments and diagnoses, payment of the full cost is required (for example, for inpatient care, ante and postnatal care and medicines). In Belgium, for some specific groups of UDM (for example, unaccompanied minors) it is possible to obtain compulsory health insurance. UDM who do not fall under these groups, have the right to apply for ‘urgent medical assistance’ (AMU – Aide Médicale Urgente) free of charge. A broad range of medical services fall within this category, albeit with some minor exceptions, as in the case of some prosthetics and medications.

**Countries with full access to health care for UDM**

Four countries that had the same range of services/entitlements to health care for UDM and nationals were included: France, the Netherlands, Portugal and Spain. In all four countries, full access is tied to a variety of pre-conditions including: proof of identity, residence, destitution and minimum duration of stay.

In Spain, UDM have to register in the local civil registry with a valid passport, residence proof and declaration of extreme poverty. In situations where UDM cannot meet these requirements and for certain diseases (for example, HIV and diabetes) it is still possible to access essential treatments in some regions through a specific health care document (DAS – Documento de asistencia sanitaria) that does not require a valid passport.

In Portugal, full access requires UDM to provide documentation indicating that they have been living in Portugal for more than 90 days. With this proof of residence it is possible to obtain a temporary registration at a health centre. For UDM who have been residing in Portugal for less than 90 days or who fail to prove residence or lack of financial means, free access is possible for a limited range of services (emergency care, treatment of contagious diseases, ante and postnatal care, vaccinations and family planning). For other services however, they have to pay the full costs of care.

Since January 2009 a special government fund has been in place in the Netherlands to pay for medical care for UDM. Under this new scheme UDM are entitled to ‘directly accessible’ services (primary care practitioners, midwives, dentists, physiotherapists and hospital emergency departments) and ‘not directly accessible’ services (in hospital departments, nursing homes and outpatient clinics). For ‘directly accessible’ services UDM may make use of any provider available. For ‘not directly accessible’ services only a limited number of specially contracted providers are able to claim back the costs of providing treatment. Between 80% and 100% of service costs (100% in respect of pregnancy and childbirth) can be reimbursed to the service provider. For the reimbursement of these health care costs service providers have to prove that the UDM patient is unable to pay, and thus must send an invoice and a reminder to every UDM. France requires eligibility to the AME (Aide Médicale Était), a parallel administrative system that allows UDM access, free of charge, to the same health care services as nationals. To obtain the AME, UDM have to provide proof of residence in France for at least three months, proof of identity and evidence on their lack of financial means. UDM who do not succeed in obtaining the AME are only entitled to emergency care, screening for sexually transmitted diseases and HIV/AIDS, vaccinations, family planning, as well as screening and treatment of tuberculosis.

An important point is that although entitlements may be in place, this does not necessarily mean that access is ensured in practice. Even under conditions of full entitlement, for various reasons UDM may find it difficult to obtain health care. Conversely, countries with limited entitlements may nevertheless develop practices to provide health care services to UDM.
Health and social care relates to poverty and socioeconomically vulnerable migration (UDM) are not mentioned as a specific target group, but instead are integrated into a definition of socially disadvantaged and particularly vulnerable people. Since 2004, AMBER-MED (see http://amber.diakonie.at), a joint project of the refugees service of Diakonie, Austria and the Austrian Red Cross, provides outpatient treatment, social counselling and medication for people without insurance coverage in Vienna. Services are offered free of charge and anonymously and can include general medicine, gynaecological examinations, paediatric care and diabetes care among others. In 2008, 754 patients, the majority of whom were asylum seekers, refugees and homeless people, made use of AMBER-MEDs services. The work of this organisation is mainly made possible due to the volunteering of doctors, nurses and interpreters, as well as through the support of a large network of medical specialists and institutes. AMBER-MED is financed through donations/subsidies from the Federal Ministry of Health and the Federal Ministry of Social Affairs and the Vienna Health Insurance (Wiener Gebietskrankenkasse).

Practice: partial acceptance

Italy can be used to illustrate emerging practice in a country with partial entitlements to health care for UDM. It is a tax-based health care system with universal coverage, with considerable regional differences following a north-south divide. Since 1998, all migrants without permission to stay have had a right to urgent or primary hospital and outpatient treatment in the case of sickness or accidents, as well as for preventive treatments. Due to the Italian legislation on “health care for foreign nationals who are not registered with the National Health care System (NHS)” (Decree 286, Article 35, 25 July 1998) access is specifically guaranteed to emergency/urgent care, prenatal and maternity care, vaccinations, preventive medicine programmes and the prevention/diagnosis/treatment of infectious diseases. Additionally, there are three categories of undocumented patients with entitlements to health care: minors up to eighteen years, pregnant women up to six months after birth and patients with diagnosed infectious diseases.

To gain access to public health and health care services, UDM need to obtain the so-called regional ‘STP-Code’ (Straniero Temporaneamente Presente – foreign national temporarily present). This anonymous code, available from a hospital administration department or the regional authority any time and free of charge, is valid for six months and can be renewed. It serves to identify the patient to all the health care services that he or she is entitled to and is recognised throughout Italy (Decree 394, Article 43, 31 August 1999). Together with the Dichiarazione di Indigenza which states that UDM have no economic means to pay for treatments, this in effect means that they can receive medical treatment free of charge.

One regional practice example is Reggio Emilia, where two services work in close cooperation to provide health care services for UDM.

1. Dedicated service: centro per la salute della famiglia straniera

Located within the Local Health Authority in Reggio Emilia, the Centre for the Health of Foreign Families provides outpatient care and medical treatment for UDM and foreign nationals without registration in the NHS (see http://tinyurl.com/39mhf5t). Services include gynaecological examinations and counselling, prenatal care and paediatric care. Services for specific target groups are offered on a project basis, for example, psychosocial support and health care for prostitutes. Health care provision is supported by cultural mediators.

The centre keeps precise statistics on patients, made possible through the STP-Code. It shares its database with the Caritas surgery Querce di Mamre (see below) which enables both services to make appointments for patients in the appropriate centre. In 2007, the centre had 3,189 patients; 53.7% were first time service users. For emergencies, the centre can refer UDM to the emergency unit of a local hospital, after calling the responsible doctor there in advance. Continuity of care is an important factor in these services, especially during pregnancy. Staff members therefore try to fix all appointments and steps through pregnancy in advance to assure the continuity of care.

2. NGO: Caritas surgery ‘Querce di Mamre’

Querce di Mamre is an outpatient clinic run by Caritas in cooperation with the Local Health Authority of Reggio Emilia.
A common element in both contexts is the decisive role of civil society organisations. NGOs are important service providers that compensate for the lack of service provision structures within the public health system. Health professionals work as volunteers in the organisational framework of these NGOs. Both under conditions of functional ignorance and partial acceptance, support from such NGOs, as well as informal solidarity between health professionals, is needed to follow humanitarian values without violating state-control-demands.

To date, our map of NowHere.land seems to highlight a vulnerable space, where UDM have limited chances to get the health care they need and where health care providers and policy makers have to cope with the paradoxical demand to act for the inclusion and exclusion of UDM at the same time. However this map also highlights emerging safe places of sanctuary, where UDM can get treatment in accordance with their human rights.
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**New Health System Reviews**

The most recent Health Systems in Transition (HiT) profiles available for free download from the European Observatory on Health Systems web site cover the following countries:

**Georgia** The Rose Revolution in 2003 brought fundamental change to the role of government in providing, financing and managing health care. Challenges include weak regulation and high levels of out-of-pocket payments, which reduce access to services for much of the population.

**The Netherlands** The introduction of a single compulsory health insurance scheme in 2006 has replaced the dual system of public and private insurance. Managed competition for providers and insurers has become a major driver in the health care system.

**Italy** Future challenges for health care in Italy include overcoming the large variability in the quality of health care among regions, providing a national policy for the governance of patient mobility, and the reorganisation of primary health care.

**Korea** Reform implemented over the last ten years have included the integration of existing health insurance funds into a single insurer system in 2000, the incremental expansion of the benefit package, and the establishment of the National Evidence-based Healthcare Collaborating Agency in 2008.

For more information and free download see www.healthobservatory.eu