Love the idea of a universal basic income? Be careful what you wish for
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Yes, UBI could be an important part of a radical agenda. But beware: its proponents include neoliberals hostile to the very idea of the welfare state.
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WHAT IS NEOLIBERALISM?

- We like markets—a lot.
- We are liberal consequentialists.
- We care about the poor.
- We care about the welfare of everyone in the world, not just those in the UK.
- We base our beliefs on empirics, not principles.
- We try not to be dogmatic.
- We think the world is getting better.
- We believe that property rights are very important…
- But we’re comfortable with redistribution, in principle.
WHAT WELFARE SYSTEM DO WE SUPPORT?

- Negative Income Tax (NIT) vs. Citizen’s Basic Income (CBI)
  - Is there *that* much of a difference?
  - Leaky buckets
  - Administered via tax system by HMRC (experience of tax credits)

- Design of NIT
  - Individuals (including children) not households
  - “Full” amount
  - Withdrawal rate: transparent, uniform, fairly low

- Replacing the welfare state vs. augmenting existing welfare
  - All benefits (including housing benefit) except disability welfare
WHY DO NEOLIBERALS SUPPORT THIS?

- Poverty alleviation: an efficient safety net
- Reducing perverse incentives/escaping poverty traps
- Less paternalistic
- Future-proofing economy
  - Automation
  - Globalisation
- Makes other neoliberal policies more politically palatable
  - Liberalised labour markets: NIT as a superior alternative to the minimum wage, protecting flexibility of Gig Economy
  - Carbon tax: currently unpopular but not regressive if linked to NIT
ANSWERING CRITIQUES FROM THE LEFT

- It's a subsidy to employers who pay below-subsistence wages – they'll lower wages!
- Realistic proposals are too low to have beneficial effects!
- It would be used to undermine various left-wing policies!
- It would have negative effects on marginalised groups who require greater income (e.g. disabled, those with high housing costs, parents of multiple children)!
ANSWERING CRITIQUES FROM THE RIGHT

- It would **cost too much**!
- People will **stop working**!
- It would **increase the size of the state**!
- It will be **bid up by political competition** and special interests will create more and more add-ons!
Thank you!